



Appendix 3.4

Local Model Validation Report, 2010

Stafford Western Access Improvements

Local Model Validation Report

2nd February 2010

Notice

This document and its contents have been prepared and are intended solely for Staffordshire County Council's information and use in relation to the Stafford Western Access Improvements.

Atkins Transport Planning and Management assumes no responsibility to any other party in respect of or arising out of or in connection with this document and/or its contents.

Document History

JOB NUMBER: 5089310		DOCUMENT REF: Stafford Local Model Validation Report Rev1.docx				
01	Final	DD	CS	PR	PR	02/02/10
00	Draft	DD	CS	PR	PR	08/01/10
Revision	Purpose Description	Originated	Checked	Reviewed	Authorised	Date

Contents

Se	ction	Page
1.	Introduction	6
	Overview	6
	Study Overview	6
	Report Structure	6
2.	Description of the Model & Traffic Data	8
	The Traffic Model	8
	Traffic Data Used in the Development of the Traffic Model	10
3.	Model Development	20
	Overview	20
	Network Development	21
	Matrix Development	24
	Prior Matrix Development	25
	Matrix Merging	35
	Demand Segmentation	36
		37
4.	Model Calibration	39
	Overview	39
	Calibration Process	39
	Network Calibration	39
	Assignment Calibration	
	Link Flow Calibration	53
5.	Model Validation	61
	Model Validation Process	61
	Calibration and Validation Summary	69
6.	Conclusions	70
	Overview	70
	Model Development and Calibration	70
	Model Validation	70
	Conclusions and Recommendations	70
List	of Tables	
Tabl	e 2.1 – User Classes	9
Tabl	e 2.2 - Stafford Roadside Interview Descriptions	11
Tabl	e 2.3 – Stafford Locations of Car Park Surveys	13
Tabl	e 3.1 - Stafford Car Park Expansion Factors: AM Peak 2007	27
Tabl	e 3.2 - Stattord Car Park Expansion Factors: PM Peak 2007	28
Tabl	e 3.3 - Kol Expansion Factors User Classes 1-3: AM Peak 2007	32
Tabl	e 3.5 - RSI Expansion Factors User Class 4: AM Peak 2007	32
Tabl	e 3.6 - RSI Expansion Factors User Class 4: PM Peak 2007	33
50893	310/Stafford Local Model Validation Report Rev1.docx	2

Table 3.7 - RSI Expansion Factors User Classes 5-6: AM Peak 2007	33
Table 3.8 - RSI Expansion Factors User Classes 5-6: PM Peak 2007	34
Table 3.9 - Car Park User Classes Split	36
Table 3.10 – RSI User Classes Split	37
Table 3.11 – National Average Journey Purpose Split	37
Table 3.12 – HGV Split	37
Table 3.13 - Generalised Cost Parameters Used in the 2007 Model	38
Table 4.1 - The Impact of Matrix Estimation on Matrix Totals (AM Peak)	42
Table 4.2 - The Impact of Matrix Estimation on Matrix Totals (PM Peak)	42
Table 4.3 - Comparison between Prior and Estimated Matrices – AM Peak	43
Table 4.4 - Comparison between Prior and Estimated Matrices – PM Peak	44
Table 4.5 - Convergence of the 2007 Model	48
Table 4.6 - DMRB Assignment Acceptability Guidelines	53
Table 4.7 – Summary of Link Flow Calibration	55
Table 4.8 - Summary of HGV Link Flow Calibration	55
Table 4.9 - AM Peak Highway Screenline Calibration	57
Table 4.10 - PM Peak Highway Screenline Calibration	58
Table 4.11 – Screenline Flow Calibration Summary	58
Table 4.12 – Turn Flow Calibration Summary	59
Table 4.13 – R Squared Statistic Summary	59
Table 5.1 - Link Flow Validation Summary	61
Table 5.2 – HGV Link Flow Validation Summary	62
Table 5.3 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 1	62
Table 5.4 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 2	63
Table 5.5 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 3	63
Table 5.6 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 4	63
Table 5.7 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 5	63
Table 5.8 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 6	64
Table 5.9 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 7	64
Table 5.10 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 8	64
Table 5.11 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 9	65
Table 5.12 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 10	65
Table 5.13 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 11	65
Table 5.14 – Journey Time Validation Summary	66
Table 5.15 – Stafford Journey Time Validation: 2007 AM Peak	67
Table 5.16 - Stafford Journey Time Validation: 2007 PM Peak	68
Table 5.17 - Stafford Transport Model Calibration and Validation Summary	69

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 – Stafford Transport Model Study Area	9
Figure 2.2 – Stafford Roadside Interview Locations	11
Figure 2.3 – Stafford Car Park Survey Locations	12
Figure 2.4 – Stafford Journey Time Survey Routes	14
Figure 2.5 – Stafford Traffic Count Locations (2003-2007)	15
Figure 2.6 – Stafford Posted Speed Limits	17
Figure 2.7 – Stafford HGV Bans	18
Figure 2.8 – Stafford Bus Routes	19
Figure 3.1 - Overview of Approach to Highway Model Development	21

Figure 3.2 - Stafford Transport Model Study Area	22
Figure 3.3 - Stafford SATURN Model Simulation Network	23
Figure 3.4 - Stafford SATURN Model Network	23
Figure 3.5 – Stafford Model Zone System within the Key Study Area	24
Figure 3.6 – Stafford Model Zone System within the Study Area	25
Figure 3.7 - Development of Stafford Car Park Matrices for User Classes 1-3 (Cars)	26
Figure 3.8 - Development of Stafford RSI Matrices for all User Classes	30
Figure 3.9 - Stafford Matrix Merge Process	35
Figure 4.1 – Matrix Estimation Process	41
Figure 4.2 – Sector Diagram	43
Figure 4.3 - Trip Length Distribution – AM Peak – Light Vehicles	46
Figure 4.4 - Trip Length Distribution – AM Peak – Heavy Vehicles	46
Figure 4.5 - Trip Length Distribution – PM Peak – Light Vehicles	47
Figure 4.6 - Trip Length Distribution – PM Peak – Heavy Vehicles	47
Figure 4.7 - Route Choice between Stoke-on-Trent and Stafford Town Centre	50
Figure 4.8 – Route Choice between Parkside Avenue and Town Centre	50
Figure 4.9 – Route Choice between Rugeley and Aston-by-Doxey	51
Figure 4.10 – Route Choice between Uttoxeter and Stafford Town Centre	51
Figure 4.11 – Route Choice between Silkmore Lane and Doxey	52
Figure 4.12 – Route Choice between Penkridge and Stafford Town Centre	52
Figure 4.13 - Stafford Calibration Link Count Data Wider Area	54
Figure 4.14 - Stafford Calibration Link Count Data Town Centre	55
Figure 4.15 - Stafford Highway Screenlines	56
Figure 4.16 – Stafford Highway Screenlines, Town Centres	57
Figure 4.17 - Stafford Calibration Turn Count Data	59
Figure 4.18 – Observed versus Modelled Calibration Flows: 2007 AM Peak	60
Figure 4.19 - Observed versus Modelled Calibration Flows: 2007 PM Peak	60
Figure 5.1 – Stafford Validation Link Count Data	62

Appendices

List of Tables

Appendix A	71
Appendix B	92
Appendix C	97
Appendix D	104

Table A.1 – Stafford Link Flow Calibration – AM Peak 2007	72
Table A.2 – Stafford Link Flow Calibration – PM Peak 2007	78
Table A.3 – Stafford Turn Flow Calibration – AM Peak 2007	84
Table A.4 – Stafford Turn Flow Calibration – PM Peak 2007	88
Table B.1 – Screenline Comparison - AM Peak	93
Table B.2 - Screenline Comparison - PM Peak	95
Table C.1 – Stafford Link Flow Validation – AM Peak 2007	98
Table C.2 – Stafford Link Flow Validation – PM Peak 2007	101

List of Figures

Figure D.1 - Journey Time Route 1 – A518: 2007 AM Peak	105
Figure D.2 - Journey Time Route 1 – A518: 2007 PM Peak	106
Figure D.3 - Journey Time Route 2 – Baswich: 2007 AM peak	107
Figure D.4 - Journey Time Route 2 – Baswich: 2007 PM Peak	108
Figure D.5 - Journey Time Route 3 – Beaconside: 2007 AM Peak	109
Figure D.6 - Journey Time Route 3 – Beaconside: 2007 PM Peak	110
Figure D.7 - Journey Time Route 4 – M6: 2007 AM Peak	111
Figure D.8 - Journey Time Route 4 – M6: 2007 PM Peak	112
Figure D.9 - Journey Time Route 5 – Sandon Road: 2007 AM Peak	113
Figure D.10 - Journey Time Route 5 – Sandon Road: 2007 PM Peak	114
Figure D.11 - Journey Time Route 6 Town Centre Ring: 2007 AM Peak	115
Figure D.12 - Journey Time Route 6 – Town Centre Ring: 2007 PM Peak	116
Figure D.13 - Journey Time Route 7 – Triangle: 2007 AM Peak	117
Figure D.14 - Journey Time Route 7 – Triangle: 2007 PM Peak	118
Figure D.15 - Journey Time 8 – Wildwood: 2007 AM Peak	119
Figure D.16 - Journey Time Route 8 – Wildwood: 2007 PM Peak	120
Figure D.17 - Journey Time Route 9 – A449: 2007 AM Peak	121
Figure D.18 - Journey Time Route 9 – A449: 2007 PM Peak	122
Figure D.19 - Journey Time Route 10 – A34: 2007 AM Peak	123
Figure D.20 - Journey Time Route 10 – A34: 2007 PM Peak	124
Figure D.21 - Journey Time Route 11 – Weston Road: 2007 AM Peak	125
Figure D.22 - Journey Time Route 11 – Weston Rd: 2007 PM Peak	126

1. Introduction

Overview

- 1.1 Atkins Transport Planning has been appointed by Staffordshire County Council (SCC) to update the Stafford transport model for the purpose of assessing the impact of the proposed Stafford Western Access Improvements.
- 1.2 The Stafford transport model was originally developed by Atkins in 2008 for a technical study to understand the implications of proposed growth around Stafford on the transportation network. The model has been updated to enable variable demand modelling, in line with DfT WebTAG guidance.

Study Overview

- 1.3 The Stafford Western Access Improvements include a proposed link between the A518 and the A34 utilising stretches of Martin Drive and Doxey Road.
- 1.4 The A518 Primary Route, which provides a key link between the A5/M54 at Telford, the M6, and the A50 at Uttoxeter, passes through Stafford Town Centre, resulting in the severance of many critical Town Centre activities, and acting as a restraint on proposals to regenerate a significant number of edge of centre locations. In particular, two of the largest car parks for the Town Centre are situated immediately adjacent to the A518, resulting in significant pedestrian movements across the traffic flow accessed directly from the A518 and additional traffic volumes are anticipated as a result.
- 1.5 Stafford is also expected to see significant housing and employment growth over the next 15-20 years following the review of the West Midlands Regional Spatial Strategy; one of the few sites currently available to accommodate this growth can currently be accessed only from the A518. The proposed solution is to construct a new link to the West of Stafford Town, open up the major mixed use regeneration site immediately to the west of the West Coast Main Line, address traffic congestion issues to the west of Stafford, remove traffic from existing streets within the urban core, improving conditions for pedestrians and cyclists, and support the regeneration of other edge of centre sites.
- 1.6 The objectives of the Stafford Western Access proposals are: removal of through traffic from central Stafford, enhancing conditions for pedestrians; support for continued retail and leisure growth within the Town Centre by removing severance and enable the integration of edge of centre sites; provide improved access to Stafford Station by all modes; alleviation of congestion and reduction of accidents in the western sector of Stafford; and to facilitate the regeneration of former industrial sites to the west of the Town Centre.

Report Structure

- 1.7 Following this Introduction, the remainder of the report is structured as follows:
 - Section 2 provides a Description of the Model and Traffic Data used to assess the schemes;
 - Section 3 describes the Model Development process;
 - Section 4 provides results from the Model Calibration, demonstrating the extent to which the model meets the criteria set out in the Highways Agency's Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB);

- Section 5 presents the results from Model Validation, in accordance with the criteria set out in DMRB; and
- Section 6 provides some Conclusions and Recommendations on the basis of the preceding analysis.

1.8 The LMVR summarises the process and results from the development of base year traffic models. Detailed supporting information and statistics are presented in appendices, as follow:

- Appendix A presents details of the Link and Turning Flow Calibration;
- Appendix B describes the Screenline Validation;
- Appendix C presents details of the Link Flow Validation; and
- Appendix D describes the Journey Time Validation.

2. Description of the Model & Traffic Data

The Traffic Model

History of the Model

- 2.1 The Stafford transport model was originally developed by Atkins in 2008 for a technical study to understand the implications of proposed growth around Stafford on the transportation network.
- 2.2 As part of this study, the model has been updated using the original 2007 traffic data to ensure DfT compliancy. The key revision to the model has been to include demand segmentation with generalised costs for both time and distance to enable variable demand modelling using DIADEM.

Type of Model

2.3 The traffic model developed to assess the Stafford Western Access Improvements is entirely highway-based. The model is coded in 'simulation' detail in the Stafford area and in the 'buffer' format for the wider Staffordshire area. Adopting detailed 'simulation' coding for the key study area has the benefit of allowing vehicle delay to be modelled, providing a more realistic representation of journey costs and routing decisions. This is beneficial in terms of assessing traffic impacts in the model, and also for subsequent calculation of monetised costs and benefits generated by the Stafford Western Access Improvements.

Software Package Used

Highway Assignment Modelling

2.4 The highway traffic model has been developed using the SATURN 10.9.12 modelling suite, the latest available when work on the model update commenced. SATURN is widely recognised as one of the major software tools for the development of highway traffic models and the subsequent assessment of highway schemes. It is recognised as suitable for the assessment of major highway schemes and for forming the basis of business case development, in accordance with DfT guidance.

Variable Demand Modelling

- 2.5 The updated Stafford model follows a 'variable trip matrix' approach whereby the demand matrix is allowed to change between two scenarios, following a change in travel costs as a result of the highway improvements. The variable demand modelling has been undertaken using DIADEM, the recommended software package for undertaking this type of assessment.
- 2.6 Whilst the majority of the variable demand modelling is undertaken in respect of the future year forecasts, initial 'realism' tests are undertaken on the base year traffic model, to ensure that it is suitable as a basis for the future year modelling. Further details on the realism testing are presented in a separate technical note, to be submitted separately to this LMVR.

Modelled Time Periods

- 2.7 Models have been developed to represent traffic conditions at two different times of day for a 2007 base year:
 - AM peak hour (0800-0900); and
 - PM peak hour (1700-1800).

Modelled User Classes

2.8 Six vehicle user classes have been modelled to enable the different demand responses to changes in travel costs to be accurately reflected, as shown in Table 2.1:

User Class		Description		
Lights UC1		Car – Business		
UC2		Car – Commuting		
UC3		Car – Other		
	UC4	Light Goods Vehicles (LGV)		
Heavies UC5		Other Goods Vehicles 1 (OGV1)		
UC6		Other Goods Vehicles 2 (OGV2)		

Table 2.1 – User Classes

- 2.9 The splitting of the matrix into these six user classes is discussed in Section 3.
- 2.10 A Passenger Car Unit (PCU) factor of 1.0, 1.0 and 2.0 are applied for the Cars, Light Goods and Heavy Goods user classes respectively. A PCU factor of 3.0 is used for buses.

Geographic Coverage

2.11 The study area for the Stafford Transport Model is shown in Figure 2.1 with the extents of the model shown in blue and the Key Study Area (KSA) in red.





2.12 The modelled study area is sufficiently wide in coverage to allow a detailed analysis of the routing decisions that will likely be affected by the proposed Stafford Western Access Improvements, without being so large as to increase the risk of model noise being incorporated into subsequent economic assessment.

Traffic Data Used in the Development of the Traffic Model

Overview

- 2.13 In order for transport models to be deemed suitable for assessing the impact of highway schemes, they must demonstrate an ability to accurately represent observed traffic conditions. A range of traffic data have been employed in the development of the base year traffic models.
- 2.14 An audit of existing data was carried out to assess the requirements and locations for surveys. The existing data was primarily Traffic Count Data (automatic and manual, both passing and turning movements) provided by SCC.
- 2.15 Based on this, the following surveys were undertaken to develop the Stafford Transport Model to a Base Year of 2007:
 - Roadside Interviews (RSI) the data from these is used to identify travel patterns at strategic locations in the model, and then to form a section of the travel demand matrix, which represents origin-destination movements around the model;
 - Car Park Surveys the data from these is used to identify travel patterns to and from car parks in the model, and then to form a section of the travel demand matrix, which represents origin-destination movements around the model;
 - Journey Time Surveys the survey data is used to validate the model to ensure that traffic travelling along important sections of the model is moving at the correct speeds, and to accurately represent levels of congestion on the highway network; and
 - Traffic Counts this data is used to calibrate and validate the model, to ensure that the model provides a robust representation of actual traffic conditions.
- 2.16 In addition to this, the following secondary data was collated from various sources to enable the calibration of the model:
 - Journey to Work Census data;
 - Junction Traffic Signal Timings;
 - Junction Layout and Operational Information;
 - Speed Limits;
 - HGV Bans; and
 - On-Street Parking.
- 2.17 This chapter provides a brief summary of the surveys completed and data collected for this study. However, full details of the data collected as part of the study can be found in the Survey Completion and Survey Analysis Reports.

Roadside Interviews

2.18 Road-Side Interviews (RSIs) have been undertaken at eleven locations in Stafford and were designed to ensure that all key traffic movements entering the town were captured. The surveys were carried out for a 12 hour period between 0700 and 1900, at the locations illustrated in Figure 2.2. Table 2.2 summarises the RSI location descriptions and the methods used in this study.



Figure 2.2 – Stafford Roadside Interview Locations

Table 2.2 - Stafford Roadside Interview Descriptions

Site No	Location	Survey Method
1	A449 Mosspit South of Argos Roundabout/Mill Lane	Interview Bay
2	A34 Stone Road South of A513 (Dual Carriageway Section)	Interview Bay
3	A34 Cannock Road Between Overhill Road & Wildwood Drive	Interview Bay
4	A513 Milford Road Adjacent to The Crescent	All Stop Postcard
5	A518 Weston Road East of A513 Between Beaconside & Blackheath Lane	All Stop Postcard
6	A518 Castle Bank Between Sundown Drive & M6	Interview Bay
7	A5013 Eccleshall Road Between M6 J14 & Crab Lane	All Stop Interview + Postcard
8	A513 Beaconside Between Marston Lane & Parkside Avenue	All Stop Postcard
9	Doxey Road West of Greensome Lane	All Stop Interview
10	B5066 Sandon Road Between Tenby Drive & A513 Beaconside	All Stop Interview
11	Tixall Road West of St Thomas Lane	All Stop Interview

2.19 Vehicle classifications surveyed during the RSIs are as follows:

- Motorcycles;
- Cars / Taxis;

- Light Goods Vehicles (LGVs);
- Other Goods Vehicles category 1 (OGV1);
- Other Goods Vehicles category 2 (OGV2);
- Public Service Vehicles.

Car Park Surveys

- 2.20 Car Park surveys were undertaken in key central car parks to obtain data on key internal traffic movements within the town, and to supplement the RSI survey information.
- 2.21 Interviews were carried out at 19 central area car parks and a count of traffic entering and leaving each car park was carried out throughout the survey period to allow the sample interviews to be expanded.
- 2.22 Due to differing travel conditions in the AM and PM peak, it was decided to survey a mixture of public and private off street car parks, including both short and long stay parking durations. Car park surveys were carried out during the AM (0800-1100) and PM (1500-1800) Peak Periods, between 24 September and 2 October 2007.
- 2.23 Figure 2.3 illustrates the location of the surveyed car parks in Stafford. The survey schedule, including type of car park and car park capacity, is set out in Table 2.3.

Figure 2.3 – Stafford Car Park Survey Locations



Site	Car Park Name	Ownership	Capacity	Term
1	Civic Centre	SBC	79	Short
2	Riverside	SBC	96	Short
3	South Walls	SBC	50	Short
4	Tipping Street	SBC	173	Short
5	Lammascote	SBC	76	Long
6	Kingsmead	SBC	456	Short
7	Kingsmead	SBC	106	Long
8	Kingsmead	SBC	182	Short
9	The Walls	SBC	51	Short
10	North Walls	SBC	52	Short
11	Railway Station	Virgin Trains	350	Long
12	Doxey Road (Sainsbury's)	SBC	716	Short
13	Doxey Road	SBC	130	Long
14	Doxey Road	SBC	336	Long
15	Newport Road Tesco	Tesco	N/A	Short
16	Broad Street	SBC	145	Short
17	Bridge Street	SBC	466	Short
18	Guildhall Shopping Centre	Private	270	Short
19	Queensway Asda	Asda	N/A	Short

Table 2.3 – Stafford Locations of Car Park Surveys

Journey Time Surveys

2.24 Journey Time surveys have been undertaken along eleven routes in and around Stafford by SCC for the following routes during both the AM and PM Peak periods:

- Route 1 A518: Bridge under M6 to A34 / A449 / A518 (Rbt);
- Route 2 Beaconside (A513) / Weston Road (Rbt) Blackheath Lane Baswich Lane A513 Weeping Cross to Brocton Road junction;
- Route 3 A513 Beaconside: From M6 Junction 14 to Weston Road;
- *Route 4* M6: Junction 13 to Junction 15;
- Route 5 Stone Road / Grey Friars / Eccleshall Road (Rbt) A34 / A518 / B5066 (Rbt) Sandon Road – A513 / B5066 junction;
- Route 6 Town Centre: A34 Queensway A518 Chell Road Tenterbanks Victoria Road – Station Road – Newport Road – Lichfield Road;
- Route 7 A34 / M6 (Rbt) A34 Stone Road Eccleshall Road A34 / M6 (Rbt);
- Route 8 A449 / A34 / A518 (Rbt) Cannock Road / Old Croft Road junction;
- Route 9 A449: M6 Junction13 to A449 / A34 / A518 (Rbt);
- Route 10 A34: Redhill Roundabout to A500 to M6 junction 15; and
- Route 11 A34 / A518 / B5066 (Rbt) A518 / Queensway (Rbt) A518 Weston Road / A513 Beaconside.
- 2.25 Surveys of journey times are important to help build up a picture of existing congestion problems on the network and also to provide data against which the journey times predicted by the model can be independently validated. Information from the journey time surveys will enable us to verify the main areas of congestion and also ensure that the speed/flow relationships used in traffic modelling are adequately reflecting local conditions.

- 2.26 The modelling of the route choice between the M6 and A34 corridors to the north of Stafford is an important feature of the buffer network. As a result journey time surveys were also undertaken on the M6 (Route 4). The DfT Journey Time Database through the TRADS interface was also interrogated for information on journey speeds on the M6 between Junctions 13 and 15, however the available data was listed as poor quality.
- 2.27 Figure 2.4 illustrates the eleven Journey Time Routes surveyed for the study.



Figure 2.4 – Stafford Journey Time Survey Routes

Traffic Count Data

- 2.28 Traffic count information is essential for both travel demand and network capacity reasons. A wide range of historic traffic count data from the last four years was made available by SCC both within the town itself and also in the surrounding buffer area. The Highways Agency's TRADS database was also interrogated for count information on the trunk roads. Appropriate growth factors were used to ensure all data was converted to a common base year of 2007.
- 2.29 As a result of land use and network modifications new traffic counts were undertaken at two locations (Newport Road, between its junction with Station Road and Bridge Street, and Lichfield Road between its junction with Riverway and St. Leonard's Avenue) to ensure the model is up to date.
- 2.30 In addition to this, in order to improve the model and for use in model calibration and validation, traffic counts were undertaken at the roadside interview locations (classified manual counts and automatic counts) and at key junctions in the network (turning counts).
- 2.31 Figure 2.5 illustrates all the link and turning traffic counts carried out in the urban area during the last four year period. Further details of these counts can be found in the Survey Completion and Survey Analysis Reports.



Figure 2.5 – Stafford Traffic Count Locations (2003-2007)

2.32 All available count data was processed into a database, and standardised to a neutral month for the model Base Year of 2007. This data has also been compiled into 'estimation deck' format for use within the SATURN Matrix Estimation program, discussed later in this report.

Secondary Data

- 2.33 A range of other data was also collected to enable the building of the Stafford Transport Model networks and matrices. This included the following information:
 - Journey to Work Census Data;
 - Junction Traffic Signal Timings;
 - Junction Layout and Operational Information;
 - Speed Limits;
 - HGV Bans;
 - On-Street Parking; and
 - Bus Routes and Timetable Information.
- 2.34 These data sources and the purpose of their collection are briefly mentioned in this section. All of the data mentioned in this section has been used to update the Stafford Transport Model networks and / or matrices.

Journey to Work Census Data

2.35 Journey to Work Census data from the 2001 Census was interrogated to provide information on traffic movements between zones that will not be picked up by either the RSI or Car Park surveys.

Generic relationships have been used to relate this data to the specific modelled peak hour periods.

- 2.36 Within the urban area this will identify internal traffic movements that are not generated by the Town Centre. It will also be used to identify through traffic movements which use the buffer network but which do not enter Stafford itself. Together with observed peak hour traffic count information, this will then provide total traffic flows on all links in the buffer network. This is essential to the accurate determination of travel speeds and route choice in this part of the model.
- 2.37 The development of the Journey to Work matrices will be further detailed in the Matrix Development chapter later in this report.

Junction Traffic Signal Timings

- 2.38 The major factor affecting network capacity in urban areas are junctions, and in Stafford a significant number of junctions are traffic signal controlled. The system is a SCOOT based package which continually monitors traffic flow across a series of traffic loops in the road. The system then updates the signal settings in response to changes in vehicle demand. This enables the operation of the system to be optimised and delays to traffic to be minimised.
- 2.39 A significant amount of information is available from the system and SCC's Traffic Engineers have provided signal settings including cycle time, stage diagrams, green times, inter green times and pedestrian stages for all junctions in the study area for the modelled AM and PM peak periods.

Junction Layout and Operational Information

- 2.40 Information on the layout and operation of all junctions including signalised, roundabout and traditional priority junctions has also been collected. In order to gather this information Atkins has performed site visits to Stafford to collect junction layout information at various times during the data collection and model development stages of the study.
- 2.41 Aerial photographs and Ordnance Survey maps have also been used to supplement information on the physical characteristics of junctions throughout Stafford.

Speed Limits

2.42 During the site visits to Stafford, posted highway speed limits on the main highway routes were noted and are presented in Figure 2.6.



Figure 2.6 – Stafford Posted Speed Limits

2.43 Figure 2.6 shows that the majority of the network has a 30mph speed limit. These speeds were then used as an input to the Stafford Transport Model to ensure that traffic speeds are accurately reflected in the model.

Heavy Goods Vehicle Bans

- 2.44 Heavy Goods Vehicle (HGV) bans were noted on the following road sections (>7.5 tonnes), and are shown in Figure 2.7:
 - Tithe Barn Road;
 - Riverway;
 - West Way;
 - Sundown Drive; and
 - Mill Lane.





On Street Parking

2.45 On street parking that encroaches into the carriageway can sometimes reduce capacity on a route in the parked direction and also has an impact on the capacity in the opposite direction. The effect of this on operating conditions on the network is reflected in the journey time surveys that were carried out. Observed travel times on links in the simulation network were then input directly into the model.

Bus Routes and Timetable Information

- 2.46 Bus routes and peak hour timetable information was collected to be incorporated within the model. This information was mostly obtained from published timetables provided by Staffordshire County Council, and has been scrutinised heavily before inclusion into the model.
- 2.47 Figure 2.8 shows the 22 Bus Routes servicing Stafford as included in the model.



Figure 2.8 – Stafford Bus Routes

3. Model Development

Overview

- 3.1 The main elements of the model are as follow:
 - a detailed representation of the road network;
 - matrices of trips divided by time period; and
 - a procedure for assigning, or loading, the matrix of trips onto the road network.
- 3.2 An overview of the model process adopted for the development, calibration and validation of the current 2007 base year highway traffic model is shown in Figure 3.1. The relationship between network and matrix development, and the interaction of the assignment process with observed traffic data at the model calibration stage, is clearly displayed. The approach to model development is shown to be an iterative procedure, with movement from calibration to validation dependent on the extent to which the models satisfy the requirements for a 'good' model. The calibration and validation of the highway model is discussed in more detail in Sections 4 and 5.





Network Development

3.3 The study area for the Stafford Transport Model is shown in Figure 3.2 with the extents of the model shown in blue and the Key Study Area (KSA) in red.

3.4 Two levels of detail are modelled in the SATURN network, 'Buffer' in the outer (blue) areas of the model and 'Simulation' in the KSA (red). Within the KSA, the model network is represented by coding each junction to a high level of detail – this is referred to as simulation coding. In the Buffer area the network is less detailed, and is only represented by major road links. The difference in modelled detail will be further explained later in this chapter.



Figure 3.2 - Stafford Transport Model Study Area

- 3.5 Within the Simulation network, junctions are modelled explicitly in SATURN and therefore will accurately replicate delays experienced by vehicles at these junctions. All major 'A' and 'B' and most collector roads are included in the Highway model within the Simulation area. For further details relating to how links and junctions were coded within the simulation area, please refer to the Network Development Report (Atkins, 2007).
- 3.6 In the Buffer area, the network is sparser, but still includes all major routes. Junctions are not explicitly modelled; however their effects are approximated on the links. The Buffer network is used to ensure that trips from the more peripheral and external zones use appropriate routes to access the Simulation network, and ensure that the model is robust. For further details relating to how links in the buffer area were coded, please refer to the Network Development Report (Atkins, 2007).
- 3.7 All available information was used to develop the modelled networks including the Secondary Data as detailed in the Data Collection chapter:
 - Junction Traffic Signal Timings;
 - Junction Layout and Operational Information;
 - Speed Limits;
 - HGV Bans; and
 - On Street Parking.

- 3.8 This information was supplemented using freely available aerial mapping websites, and used to develop accurate Highway model networks.
- 3.9 The SATURN Highway network developed for the Stafford Transport Model is shown for the Simulation and overall model networks in Figure 3.3 and Figure 3.4 respectively.



Figure 3.3 - Stafford SATURN Model Simulation Network

Figure 3.4 - Stafford SATURN Model Network



Matrix Development

Zone Structure

- 3.10 A three tier zoning system has been developed for the Stafford Transport Model:
 - Internal Zones within Stafford Town Centre: This is the most detailed zone level, which has been based on Census Output Areas and aggregations of these Output Areas. This also includes zones that represent Car Parks in the Town Centre;
 - Zones within the Buffer Area: An intermediate level of zonal detail in the Buffer area surrounding the KSA, and the rest of Staffordshire including towns such as Stone, Penkridge, Gnosall etc, that have been modelled in less detail based on Ward Boundaries and aggregations; and
 - *External Zones:* The third tier of zones includes large, external (regional) zones to represent regions of the rest of the United Kingdom.
- 3.11 Each zone boundary has been determined following consideration of its land use characteristics and how traffic from that area is expected to load onto the highway network. The zones have been based on aggregations of Census Output Areas.
- 3.12 The zone system for the Simulation network in the Key Study Area is presented in Figure 3.5. The zone system for the study area (both Simulation and Buffer) is shown in Figure 3.6.



Figure 3.5 – Stafford Model Zone System within the Key Study Area



Figure 3.6 – Stafford Model Zone System within the Study Area

Prior Matrix Development

- 3.13 The development of the 'Prior' Demand Matrices for the Stafford Transport Model comprised of the following sub-tasks:
 - Car Park Matrices;
 - RSI Matrices;
 - Preparation of Pre-Merge Matrices; and
 - Matrix Merging.
- 3.14 These sub-tasks are described in the following sections.

Car Park Matrices

- 3.15 O-D interview surveys were undertaken at nineteen Car Parks in Stafford. LGV and HGV trips into and out of Car Parks were not observed during the surveys, and hence only Cars (User Classes 1 to 3) were considered for the Car Park Site Matrices.
- 3.16 The process of creating Car Park Site Matrices for User Classes 1-3 (Cars) for the AM and PM Peak periods is presented in Figure 3.7. The splitting of cars into separate journey purposes is considered in Section 3.34.



Figure 3.7 - Development of Stafford Car Park Matrices for User Classes 1-3 (Cars)

- 3.17 The development of the User Classes 1 to 3 Car Park Matrices are summarised as follows:
 - The Raw Car Park data was 'geo-coded' to give coordinates for the Origin and Destination (O-D) postcodes, and imported into MapInfo for processing;
 - Each Car Park trip pair was assigned Stafford Model zones for the stated Origin and (intended) Destination corresponding to the post code coordinates and a zone number representing the Car Park. Therefore each interview represents two trips, being from the Origin to the Car Park, and from the Car Park to the intended Destination at a later time (this was a part of the interview). This information was exported back to the Car Park database;
 - The Car Park trips were imported into MX along with a 'Flat' matrix (zeros in all cells), to produce Unexpanded User Classes 1 to 3 Car Park Matrices;
 - The observed Car Park matrices were expanded to Entry and Exit counts collected on the day of the interviews to create Expanded User Classes 1 to 3 Matrices for each Car Park.
- 3.18 The overall expansion factors for the Car Park Matrices are contained in Table 3.1 and Table 3.2 for the AM and PM Peaks respectively. The Car Park site locations were previously shown in Figure 2.3 and are detailed in Table 2.3.

Car Park No.	Entry – Ex (0800-	kit Counts -0900)	Car Park Interviews		views Expansion Factors	
	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
1	19	23	12	11	1.6	2.1
2	46	5	31	18 (0)	1.5	0.3
3	29	6	23	7	1.3	0.9
4	60	7	54	18 (0)	1.1	0.4
5	71	0	56	0	1.3	0.0
6-10	333	48	314	26	1.1	1.8
11	42	25	50	2	0.8	12.5
12	95	40	68	38	1.4	1.1
13	51	1	47	39 (0)	1.1	0.0
14	202	4	137	39 (1)	1.5	0.1
15	220	138	73	75	3.0	1.8
16	74	20	28	12	2.6	1.7
17	115	1	110	3	1.0	0.3
18	72	3	27	4	2.7	0.8
19	198	136	71	82	2.8	1.7

Table 3.1 - Stafford Car Park Expansion Factors: AM Peak 2007

Car Park No.	Entry – Ex (1700-	kit Counts -1800)	Car Park Interviews		Expansio	Expansion Factors	
	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	
1	40	45	15 (9)	17	2.7	2.6	
2	44	39	28	47	1.6	0.8	
3	19	53	15 (6)	23	1.3	2.3	
4	1	63	4	61	0.3	1.0	
5	3	45	3	46	1.0	1.0	
6-10	34	319	27	301	1.3	1.1	
11	25	62	3	42	8.3	1.5	
12	152	190	55	99	2.8	1.9	
13	1	25	56 (0)	43	0.02	0.6	
14	5	134	56 (1)	109	0.1	1.2	
15	424	441	75	77	5.7	5.7	
16	90	115	20	23	4.5	5.0	
17	3	99	3	103	1.0	1.0	
18	3	47	3	37	1.0	1.3	
19	354	368	53	62	6.7	5.9	

Table 3.2 -	Stafford	Car Park	Expansion	Factors:	PM Peak 2007
I able J.Z -	Stanoru			i actors.	FINIFEAK 2007

3.19 The following points should be noted about the development of the Car Park User Classes 1 to 3 Matrices:

- Due to the interdependency of Car Parks six to ten (in the northeast of the Town Centre as shown in Figure 2.2) and their common accesses, these five Car Parks have been aggregated into one zone in the model;
- Where sample sizes were low (and producing corresponding high expansion factors) these distributions were aggregated with those from nearby car parks to boost the sample rates. Where this has occurred, the original sample is included beside the aggregated sample in brackets. This was observed at a few sites in the off peak direction in each time period, being the AM Peak 'Out' and the PM Peak 'In'. For example, in Table 3.1, Car Park 2, 'Out' direction shows a value of 18 (0), meaning the interview sample was 0 for this location. The 'Out' interview distributions of Car Parks 1 and 3 were then aggregated to give a sample of 18 (11+7) for this site;
- As is common practice with interview surveys, longer Car Park interview periods were used to give a broader sample of the types of journeys being made in and around the Peak Periods. As the trip distributions in these extended interview periods were observed to be similar, this methodology ensures that Peak hour trips before the modelled periods are included in and will enhance the trip distributions;
- In the AM Peak (Table 3.1) the interview sample period of 07:30 to 09:30 (two hours) was used for the 'In' direction, and 07:30 to 10:30 (3 hours) for the 'Out' direction;
- In the PM Peak (Table 3.2) the interview sample period of 16:30 to 18:30 (two hours) was generally used for both the 'In' and 'Out' directions. Car Parks 15, 16 and 19 did not observe cars entering the site after 18:30, and therefore a sample period of 16:00 to 18:00 (two hours) was used;

• Some locations in Table 3.1 and Table 3.2 show expansion factors less than one, indicating that less trips were counted entering or exiting the Car Park than are included in the longer interview sample period used. Hence the observed matrices were reduced to match the counts.

RSI Matrices

- 3.20 As reviewed in the Survey Completion and Survey Analysis Reports, and in the previous chapter of this report, eleven RSI surveys were undertaken in and around Stafford. The process of creating the RSI Site Matrices for the six user classes for the AM and PM Peak periods is presented in Figure 3.8 and summarised as follows:
 - The Raw RSI data was 'geo-coded' to give coordinates for the Origin and Destination (O-D) postcodes, and imported into MapInfo for processing;
 - The data was 'cleaned' by interrogating trips for logic between their specified Origin, RSI Site, and Destination locations, and any illogical trips were removed from the observed data. This is a very time consuming process, but is extremely important to ensure that the RSI matrices contain as few errors as possible as these are multiplied when expanding the sample to observed counts;
 - Each RSI trip was assigned Stafford Model zones for the Origin and Destination corresponding to the post code coordinates, and this information was exported back to the RSI database;
 - The RSI trips were imported into MX (the matrix manipulation suite in SATURN) along with a 'Flat' matrix (zeros in all cells), to produce Unexpanded RSI Matrices;
 - In the Interview direction, the observed matrices were expanded to standardised counts. These comprised classified manual counts collected on the day of the interview surveys, standardised against two week automatic counts at the same locations;
 - In the Non-Interview direction, O-D patterns were used from the transpose of the Interview direction in the opposite time period. For example, the AM Peak Non-Interview patterns are the transpose of the PM Peak Interview direction matrices. These were then expanded to the standardised counts in the Non-Interview direction;
 - The expanded matrices from both directions were then merged to create Expanded Matrices for each RSI site and User Class; and
 - There is inherently double counting between the RSI and Car Park surveys for User Classes 1 to 3, as drivers can be interviewed at both locations. These trips were identified in the RSI matrices, and then removed to ensure that in the combined observed matrices (RSI + Car Park) double counting was minimised. This resulted in the final Expanded Matrices for each RSI site and User Class.



Figure 3.8 - Development of Stafford RSI Matrices for all User Classes

- 3.21 The expansion factors used to factor the observed trips to the counts (before removal of the double counted trips) are shown in the following tables for the AM and PM Peaks respectively:
 - User Classes 1 to 3 (Cars): Table 3.3 and Table 3.4;
 - User Class 4 (LGVs): Table 3.5 and Table 3.6; and
 - User Class 5 to 6 (HGVs): Table 3.7 and Table 3.8.
- 3.22 The locations of the RSI Sites are shown in Figure 2.1 and detailed in Table 2.1.
- 3.23 The following points should be noted about the development of the RSI Matrices for the three user classes:
 - As mentioned previously for the Car Park surveys, longer RSI sample periods were used to give a broader sample of the types of journeys being made in and around the Peak Periods;
 - For User Classes 1 to 3 (Cars), an interview sample of 07:30 to 09:30 was used for the AM Peak, and 16:30 to 18:30 for the PM Peak, being a two hour period for each Peak;
 - For Site 11, Interview direction in the PM Peak, only 14 interviews were recorded, generating an expansion factor of 14.6. This was not considered acceptable, and therefore a generic expansion factor of 5.0 was used (indicated using a '*'). Matrix estimation has been used on trips through this site during the calibration process to achieve any further expansion, rather than unreasonably expanding the observed trips;
 - For User Classes 4 to 6 (LGVs and HGVs), an interview sample of 07:00 to 10:00 was used for the AM Peak, and 15:30 to 18:30 for the PM Peak, being a three hour period for each Peak. This was extended to three hours from the two hours used for User Classes 1 to 3 to further assist the sample rates, and obtain a better distribution;
 - After reviewing the sample rates for HGVs (User Classes 5 to 6) as presented in the Survey Analysis Report, the distributions for the LGVs (User Class 4) were used to bolster the distributions. This was considered reasonable, as the LGVs trip patterns are the most similar to the HGVs. The original sample sizes for the HGVs are included in parentheses for the Interview direction only in Table 3.7 and Table 3.8; and
 - As shown in the Car Park expansion factors, some RSI locations show expansion factors less than one, indicating that less trips were counted passing through the interview site than are included in the longer interview sample period used. Hence the observed matrices were reduced to match the counts.
- 3.24 The Cars, LGV and HGV RSI Matrices were then summed to create RSI Site Matrices for the eleven sites.

RSI Site	In	Interview Direction			Non-Interview Direction		
	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors	
Site 1	714	185	3.9	817	274	3.0	
Site 2	704	306	2.3	401	219	1.8	
Site 3	707	381	1.9	602	329	1.8	
Site 4	290	164	1.8	330	114	2.9	
Site 5	735	141	5.2	354	82	4.3	
Site 6	405	240	1.7	193	163	1.2	
Site 7	725	239	3.0	402	125	3.2	
Site 8	980	106	9.2	538	91	5.9	
Site 9	257	258	1.0	117	69	1.7	
Site 10	443	258	1.7	303	87	3.5	
Site 11	700	202	3.5	158	14	5.0 *	

Table 3.3 – RSI	Expansion	Factors	User	Classes	1-3: /	AM Pe	ak 2007
	Expansion	1 401013	0301	0103503	1 0.7		

Table 3.4 - RSI Expansion Factors User Classes 1-3: PM Peak 2007

RSI Site	Interview Direction			Non-Interview Direction		
	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors
Site 1	861	274	3.1	604	185	3.3
Site 2	488	219	2.2	729	306	2.4
Site 3	614	329	1.9	507	381	1.3
Site 4	369	114	3.2	332	164	2.0
Site 5	569	82	6.9	903	141	6.4
Site 6	185	163	1.1	497	240	2.1
Site 7	487	125	3.896	645	239	2.7
Site 8	541	91	5.9	825	106	7.8
Site 9	106	69	1.5	289	258	1.1
Site 10	357	87	4.1	456	258	1.8
Site 11	204	14	5.0 *	570	202	2.8

Table 3.5 - RSI Ex	xpansion Factors	User Class	4: AM	Peak 2007
--------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------	-----------

RSI Site	Interview Direction			Non-Interview Direction			
	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors	
Site 1	60	40	1.5	50	27	1.9	
Site 2	99	54	1.8	43	30	1.4	
Site 3	40	27	1.5	44	36	1.2	
Site 4	17	8	2.1	31	5	6.2	
Site 5	56	4	14.0	45	4	11.3	

RSI Site	Interview Direction			Non-Interview Direction			
	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors	
Site 6	28	26	1.1	27	34	0.8	
Site 7	49	14	3.5	59	7	8.4	
Site 8	145	6	24.2	95	7	13.6	
Site 9	14	9	1.6	14	8	1.8	
Site 10	48	39	1.2	31	11	2.8	
Site 11	32	7	4.6	18	2	9.0	

Table 3.6 - RSI Expansion Factors User Class 4: PM Peak 2007

RSI Site	Interview Direction			Non-Interview Direction		
	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors
Site 1	49	27	1.8	38	40	1.0
Site 2	40	30	1.3	60	54	1.1
Site 3	21	36	0.6	17	27	0.6
Site 4	19	5	3.8	14	8	1.8
Site 5	62	4	15.5	51	4	12.8
Site 6	14	34	0.4	22	26	0.8
Site 7	42	7	6.0	38	14	2.7
Site 8	74	7	10.6	96	6	16.0
Site 9	4	8	0.5	25	9	2.8
Site 10	23	11	2.1	30	39	0.8
Site 11	11	2	5.5	24	7	3.4

Table 3.7 - RSI Expansion Factors User Classes 5-6: AM Peak 2007

RSI Site	Interview Direction			Non-Interview Direction		
	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors
Site 1	66	53 (13)	1.2	87	30	2.9
Site 2	51	60 (6)	0.9	43	30	1.4
Site 3	40	29 (2)	1.4	70	37	1.9
Site 4	20	9 (1)	2.2	27	5	5.4
Site 5	28	5 (1)	5.6	58	5	11.6
Site 6	44	37 (11)	1.2	16	34	0.5
Site 7	35	16 (2)	2.2	32	7	4.8
Site 8	143	6 (0)	23.8	128	9	14.2
Site 9	8	10 (1)	0.8	7	9	0.8
Site 10	14	44 (5)	0.3	27	11	2.5
Site 11	15	7 (0)	2.1	12	2	6.0

RSI Site	Interview Direction			Non-Interview Direction		
	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors	Counts (0800-0900)	Interviews (0730-0930)	Expansion Factors
Site 1	56	30 (3)	1.9	36	53	0.7
Site 2	20	30 (0)	0.7	25	60	0.4
Site 3	13	37 (1)	0.4	8	29	0.3
Site 4	10	5 (0)	2.0	18	9	2.0
Site 5	35	5 (1)	7.0	37	5	7.4
Site 6	4	34 (0)	0.1	10	37	0.3
Site 7	25	7 (0)	3.6	18	16	1.1
Site 8	67	9 (2)	7.4	81	6	13.5
Site 9	8	9 (1)	0.9	4	10	0.4
Site 10	20	11 (0)	1.8	18	44	0.4
Site 11	9	2 (0)	4.5	4	7	0.6

	Table 3.8 - RSI	Expansion	Factors L	Jser Classes	5-6: PM	Peak 2007
--	-----------------	-----------	-----------	--------------	---------	-----------

Preparation of Pre-Merge Matrices

- 3.25 The 'Pre-Merge' Matrices for the Stafford Transport Model refer to the base matrices assigned to the model, prior to the replacement of trips with observed O-D movements as will be explained in the next section. These matrices represent an initial 'best estimate' of existing movements based on available data, and will be subject to change during the calibration process.
- 3.26 Two techniques were used for the development of Pre-Merge Matrices, Journey to Work for Cars, and a combination of Road Side Interview information for LGVs and HGVs. These two methodologies will be explained in this section.

Journey to Work Matrices

- 3.27 Journey to Work (JTW) Census data from the 2001 Census was interrogated to provide information on traffic movements between all Stafford Model Zones (aside from the 15 Car Park Zones). This produced a matrix of all journey to work trips for a typical workday for a 24 hour period. This matrix was then factored to 2007 using NRTF (1997). Finally, trips between external zones that would not logically travel through the Stafford Study Area were removed, to produce the Journey to Work Matrix.
- 3.28 The Cars (User Classes 1 to 3) JTW Matrix for the AM Peak was developed by taking 35.4% of the JTW Matrix, added to 1.9% of the transpose of the JTW Matrix. These proportions were extracted from the RSI Survey data which showed that 35.4% of all 'Home to Work' trip purposes (out of the 12 hour survey period) were observed in the AM Peak hour, and 1.9% in the PM Peak. It is acknowledged that this makes the assumption that no journeys to work occur outside the 12 hour survey period, however this assumption is considered a reasonable estimate for the starting point of this matrix. Conversely the PM Peak Cars JTW Matrix was 35.4% of the transpose of the Journey to Work Matrix, added to 1.9% of Journey to Work Matrix.

Road Side Interview Matrices

3.29 The JTW approach is only applicable to Car Drivers, and therefore a different technique was required for LGVs and HGVs, as movements from these two user classes are predominantly commercial and these trips (generally) have different Origins and Destinations than Cars.
- 3.30 The Pre-Merge Matrices for User Classes 4 to 6 (LGVs and HGVs) were developed using all of the available twelve hour information from the eleven Road Side Interviews. The sample rates for HGVs were low, and therefore the matrices for both user classes were added together, and applied as a base for both LGVs and HGVs. A global factor was applied to each matrix to approximate the correct volume of traffic against the count data. It was also necessary to use count information to manually 'seed in' longer distance through trips (i.e. the M6 North to South etc) as the RSI information does not include these.
- 3.31 The output matrices from this process are the Pre-Merge Matrices for User Class 4 (LGVs) and User Classes 5 to 6 (HGVs).

Matrix Merging

3.32 This section details the merging of the RSI Site, Car Park and Pre-Merge Matrices into the 2007 AM and PM Peak Prior Matrices. The process of merging the matrices is described in Figure 3.9.



Figure 3.9 - Stafford Matrix Merge Process

- 3.33 The process described in Figure 3.9 is summarised as follows:
 - The Pre-Merge Matrices were assigned to the Stafford Network;
 - Select Link Matrices were produced for each RSI Site location, and then deleted from the Pre-Merge Matrices;
 - Double counting between RSI sites was minimised by identifying those O-D movements also observed at other RSIs, and overwriting those movements where necessary. The RSI Site Matrices were then merged together; and

• The RSI and Car Park Matrices were added to the modified Pre-Merge Matrices to produce the Prior Matrices.

Demand Segmentation

- 3.34 The prior matrices have been split into six user classes using the following methodology.
- 3.35 For User Classes 1 to 3 (Cars):
 - The Car Park matrices have been split between journey purposes based on observed splits at each car park site. The user class splits for each site are shown in Table 3.9.
 - The RSI site matrices have been split between journey purposes based on observed splits at each RSI site. The user class splits for each site are shown in Table 3.10.
 - The other trips within the matrices have been split bases on national average journey purpose splits, shown in Table 3.11.
- 3.36 For User Classes 5 to 6 (HGVs)
 - The HGV matrices have been split between OGV1 and OGV2 based on observed traffic counts, shown in Table 3.12.

Car Park		AM Peak			PM Peak	
Site	Business	Commuting	Other	Business	Commuting	Other
1	10%	20%	70%	6%	33%	61%
2	0%	100%	0%	6%	49%	45%
3	15%	38%	46%	6%	75%	20%
4	8%	80%	13%	16%	73%	11%
5	3%	90%	6%	2%	88%	10%
6-10	8%	73%	19%	6%	72%	22%
11	7%	65%	28%	6%	74%	21%
12	7%	11%	83%	6%	15%	80%
13	7%	73%	20%	5%	74%	21%
14	7%	76%	17%	6%	0%	95%
15	7%	33%	61%	6%	35%	59%
16	7%	24%	70%	9%	36%	55%
17	3%	87%	10%	5%	81%	13%
18	7%	50%	43%	6%	45%	50%
19	8%	22%	69%	6%	38%	56%

Table 3.9 - Car Park User Classes Split

RSI Site		AM Peak		PM Peak					
	Business	Commuting	Other	Business	Commuting	Other			
1	7%	71%	22%	16%	66%	18%			
2	6%	72%	23%	11%	49%	40%			
3	13%	55%	32%	11%	45%	43%			
4	5%	68%	27%	7%	67%	26%			
5	7%	81%	12%	12% 15%		17%			
6	5%	61%	34%	4%	43%	53%			
7	6%	77%	17%	6%	71%	23%			
8	9%	87%	5%	6%	84%	10%			
9	4%	70%	26%	3%	49%	49%			
10	5%	75%	20%	5%	76%	20%			
11	4%	77%	19%	0%	57%	43%			

Table 3.10 – RSI User Classes Split

Table 3.11 – National Average Journey Purpose Split

	AM Peak		PM Peak				
Business	Commuting	Other	Business	Commuting	Other		
6.8%	40.6%	52.7%	5.5%	32.3%	62.2%		

Table 3.12 – HGV Split

	AM Peak	PM Peak
OGV1	67%	54%
OGV2	33%	46%

Assignment Parameters

- 3.37 The cost of travel is expressed in terms of generalised cost which combines separate components such as journey, vehicle operating costs and tolls. Each component has a separate value that is used to convert units into monetary terms. SATURN employs two values, 'pence per minute' (PPM) and 'pence per kilometre' (PPK).
- 3.38 Values of PPK and PPM have been calculated following advice in WebTAG, separately for each of the six user classes. Table 3.13 shows these values for each time-period modelled.

Lloor Cl		AM I	Peak	PM Peak			
User CI	855	РРМ	РРК	РРМ	РРК		
	Car - Business	52.55	9.17	50.84	9.10		
Light	Car - Commuting	10.61	5.97	10.36	5.89		
	Car – Other	12.89	5.97	13.52	5.89		
	Light Goods Vehicles	18.92	13.05	18.92	13.30		
Hoover	Other Goods Vehicles 1	19.53	29.13	19.53	29.13		
Heavy	Other Goods Vehicles 2	22.87	40.54	22.87	40.38		

Table 3.13 - Generalised Cost Parameters Used in the 2007 Model

4. Model Calibration

Overview

- 4.1 The calibration of the highway model has been undertaken using a standard approach where the network and matrices are adjusted to ensure that the model gives plausible and expected routeing and speeds.
- 4.2 The process incorporated matrix estimation to aid in the development of trip matrices, which contain travel patterns that reflect the observed traffic counts. The results of the matrix estimation process have been closely monitored to ensure both stability and realistic trip matrices. The match between observed and modelled traffic flows has been closely monitored to improve model routing and travel patterns.

Calibration Process

- 4.3 The calibration procedure involved the following activities.
 - Adjustment and checking of the network to ensure plausible and realistic routing of traffic;
 - Checks to ensure that link speeds on the network were realistic, and speed flow calculations were operating as expected;
 - Checks to ensure that delay calculations at junctions were realistic; and
 - Use of matrix estimation to adjust the prior trip matrices to match observed traffic flows from link and turning counts.
- 4.4 The outcomes from these processes are set out below, examining the extent of calibration of the network, matrix and assignment.

Network Calibration

- 4.5 Highway network calibration was undertaken in order to achieve observed traffic characteristics in terms of speeds, throughputs and delays by investigating pinch points and problem areas highlighted by the initial model assignments.
- 4.6 The process involved checking and adjusting of the highway network principally along the major corridors. Checks were undertaken to ensure that link lengths and turn capacities and saturation flows were correct, using saturation flows which fall within the acceptable range of flows used in other SATURN models.
- 4.7 The allocation of centroid connectors for internal zones was examined to verify that trips were loading onto the network at locations that are both sensible and realistic.
- 4.8 Once highway network calibration had been satisfactorily completed, matrix estimation was applied to the prior matrix to calibrate the matrices against observed directional link counts and turning counts at key intersections across screenlines, making use of appropriate traffic counts.

Matrix Calibration

- 4.9 Matrix calibration involved checking the validity of the trip data used to produce the matrices and focused principally on ensuring that traffic was correctly assigning itself to the network.
- 4.10 The SATURN modules SATME2 and SATPIJA are used to make minor controlled adjustments to the trip matrices in recognition that only a proportion of each movement will have been interviewed and that some elements of the matrices would have been over estimated as a result and some underestimated. That adjustment process is known as matrix estimation and in combination attempts to match assigned link flows in the model with observed traffic counts.

Matrix Estimation

4.11 The matrix estimation process is an integral part of the model calibration. It is undertaken within SATURN using the SATME2 element of the program suite. The basic function of matrix estimation is to produce an updated matrix using traffic counts. Trips are adjusted in the matrix to produce an estimated matrix that is consistent with the traffic counts. The equation used may be written as:

	T _{ij}	= tij ∏ _a X _a ^{Pija}
where:	T _{ij}	is the output matrix of OD pairs ij;
	tij	is the prior matrix of OD pairs ij;
	\prod_{a}	product over all counted links a;
	X _a	is the balancing factor associated with counted link;
	Pija	is the fraction of trips from I to j using link a.

- 4.12 This process is dependent on several factors including the quality of the prior matrix, traffic routeing, and the order and consistency of the observed traffic counts. It is, therefore, essential that the process be monitored closely to ensure the following.
 - The trip matrix is converging to a stable solution; and
 - Travel patterns at a sector level are reasonable.
- 4.13 The matrix estimation from maximum entropy (ME2) process provides a method by which an initial estimate of the trip matrix can be adjusted in order to reflect observed traffic count data. This process is accomplished within SATURN through use of the SATPIJA program, which creates a file in which each element represents the proportion (P) of the trips between a particular origin-destination pair (IJ) which uses the counted link (A). The SATME2 program which then uses the PIJA file to adjust the prior matrix to create the most likely trip matrix consistent with the information contained in the count file. Finally the output matrix is assigned back to the model network, and is compared to the observed count and journey time data to gauge the degree to which these match. This process is looped for a limited number of iterations until satisfactory model calibration is achieved. Figure 4.1 shows the matrix estimation process as a flow chart.
- 4.14 All observed RSI and Car Park trips in the Prior Matrix were frozen during the ME2 process. This ensured that the interview O-D data observed as a part of this study was retained in full, and only those matrix trips not observed were eligible for manipulation by ME2. Freezing these matrix cells ensures that the integrity of the observed matrix was maintained throughout.





Results from the Matrix Estimation Process: Comparison of Prior & Post ME2 Matrices

4.15 The matrix estimation process was monitored to ensure the estimated matrix converged to a stable solution. The total trips produced at each stage of the matrix estimation procedure are shown in Tables 4.1 and 4.2 for the AM and PM Peak models respectively.

					· ·	,	
	UC1	UC2	UC3	UC4	UC5	UC6	Total
Prior	1680	13015	9591	2183	3210	1590	31269
lt 1	1790	13705	10438	2839	2760	1864	33396
	7%	5%	9%	30%	-14%	17%	7%
lt 2	1796	13750	10484	2940	3836	1902	34708
	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	3.6%	39.0%	2.0%	3.9%
lt 3	1814	13854	10611	2915	3764	1866	34824
	1.0%	0.8%	1.2%	-0.9%	-1.9%	-1.9%	0.3%
Overall	8%	6%	11%	34%	17%	17%	11%

Table 4.1 - The Impact of Matrix Estimation on Matrix Totals (AM Peak)

Table 4.2 - The Impact of Matrix Estimation on Matrix Totals (PM Peak)

	UC1	UC2	UC3	UC4	UC5	UC6	Total
Prior	1902	12286	12953	1992	2067	1726	32926
lt 1	1992	12779	13892	2795	2488	2077	36023
	5%	4%	7%	40%	20%	20%	9%
lt 2	1996	12804	13939	2804	2541	2121	36205
	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	2.1%	2.1%	0.5%
lt 3	2004	12836	14040	2790	2508	2094	36272
	0.4%	0.2%	0.7%	-0.5%	-1.3%	-1.3%	0.2%
lt 4	2004	12855	14033	2827	2543	2124	36386
	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%	0.3%
lt 5	2014	12920	14143	2801	2512	2098	36488
	0.5%	0.5%	0.8%	-0.9%	-1.2%	-1.2%	0.3%
lt 6	2016	12892	14165	2814	2543	2124	36554
	0.1%	-0.2%	0.2%	0.5%	1.2%	1.2%	0.2%
Overall	6%	5%	9%	41%	23%	23%	11%

4.16 The tables confirm that for both the AM and PM peak the matrix converged upon a solution rapidly.

Comparison of Prior and Post Matrix Estimation Sector Movements

4.17 The effects of matrix estimation on the prior trip matrices (compressed to 9 sectors) are shown for each time period in Tables 4.3 and 4.4. The sector diagram is shown in Figure 4.2.

Figure 4.2 – Sector Diagram



4.18 Tables 4.3 and 4.4 show that the matrix estimation process has increased the number of trips in the AM and PM peaks by 11%.

Origin S	Sector		Destination										
Oligin	000101		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	
	Prior	121	102	122	87	178	59	155	61	142	1027		
1	Central	Post	126	90	93	94	215	56	153	61	142	1031	
' Stafford	Diff	5	-11	-29	7	37	-3	-2	0	0	4		
		% Diff	4%	-11%	-24%	8%	21%	-5%	-1%	0%	0%	0%	
2 East Stafford	Prior	355	165	183	85	335	172	268	57	203	1823		
	Post	348	209	229	111	327	167	264	70	240	1964		
	East Stallolu	Diff	-7	44	46	25	-8	-5	-4	13	37	141	
		% Diff	-2%	27%	25%	30%	-2%	-3%	-2%	23%	18%	8%	
	Prior	468	223	340	175	536	206	470	88	224	2730		
2	Couth Stofford	Post	342	261	285	236	305	255	443	87	235	2450	
3	South Stanord	Diff	-126	38	-55	61	-231	49	-27	-1	11	-280	
		% Diff	-27%	17%	-16%	35%	-43%	24%	-6%	-1%	5%	-10%	
		Prior	341	106	204	139	378	132	216	105	248	1870	
4	Moot Stofford	Post	255	108	222	338	514	140	213	142	263	2193	
4		Diff	-87	2	18	199	135	8	-3	37	15	323	
		% Diff	-25%	2%	9%	143%	36%	6%	-1%	35%	6%	17%	

Table 4.3 - Comparison between Prior and Estimated Matrices – AM Peak

Origin	Sector	Destination											
Origin	Sector		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	
		Prior	420	231	284	207	701	288	309	209	522	3173	
5 North Stafford	Post	374	290	357	196	1428	361	405	251	568	4229		
5	North Stanoru	Diff	-46	59	73	-11	728	72	96	41	45	1056	
		% Diff	-11%	25%	26%	-6%	104%	25%	31%	20%	9%	33%	
		Prior	357	167	189	84	532	431	208	244	396	2607	
6	East	Post	357	170	176	81	830	584	161	255	590	3204	
0	Staffordshire	Diff	0	3	-13	-3	298	153	-46	10	194	596	
		% Diff	0%	2%	-7%	-3%	56%	36%	-22%	4%	49%	23%	
		Prior	513	121	311	144	546	387	410	113	3913	6457	
7 South Staffordsh	South	Post	498	195	291	141	754	253	383	126	4221	6862	
	Staffordshire	Diff	-15	75	-19	-3	208	-134	-27	13	308	404	
		% Diff	-3%	62%	-6%	-2%	38%	-35%	-7%	11%	8%	6%	
		Prior	362	109	123	148	421	370	127	258	480	2397	
0	West	Post	362	114	123	157	505	434	134	280	540	2648	
0	Staffordshire	Diff	0	6	0	9	84	64	7	23	60	251	
		% Diff	0%	5%	0%	6%	20%	17%	5%	9%	12%	10%	
		Prior	597	157	211	196	703	284	3923	317	2796	9185	
0	North	Post	591	278	215	199	768	613	4163	575	2845	10246	
9	Staffordshire	Diff	-6	121	4	3	65	329	239	258	49	1061	
		% Diff	-1%	77%	2%	1%	9%	116%	6%	81%	2%	12%	
		Prior	3535	1380	1967	1267	4329	2329	6085	1452	8924	31269	
Total		Post	3252	1716	1991	1553	5646	2863	6318	1846	9642	34825	
TULAI		Diff	-283	336	24	286	1316	533	232	393	718	3557	
		% Diff	-8%	24%	1%	23%	30%	23%	4%	27%	8%	11%	

Values are in pcus

Table 4.4 - Comparison between Prior and Estimated Matrices – PM Peak	Table 4.4 - Comparison	between Prior	and Estimated	Matrices – P	M Peak
-----------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------	---------------	---------------	--------------	--------

Origin	Sector					D	estinatio	n				
Ongin			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
	Prior	202	368	530	429	565	402	381	371	602	3851	
4	Central	Post	216	280	340	641	605	402	373	369	602	3828
Stafford	Diff	15	-88	-191	212	40	0	-8	-2	0	-23	
		% Diff	7%	-24%	-36%	49%	7%	0%	-2%	-1%	0%	-1%
2 East Stafford	Prior	165	172	227	109	239	167	122	95	196	1492	
		Post	235	196	418	49	193	160	167	98	157	1674
	East Stalloru	Diff	70	24	191	-60	-46	-7	46	3	-39	182
		% Diff	42%	14%	84%	-55%	-19%	-4%	37%	3%	-20%	12%
		Prior	223	186	328	202	248	193	340	125	195	2040
2	Couth Stofford	Post	214	266	427	290	291	166	344	125	270	2392
3	South Stanord	Diff	-9	80	99	88	43	-27	3	1	75	353
		% Diff	-4%	43%	30%	44%	17%	-14%	1%	1%	38%	17%
		Prior	170	84	154	139	198	75	131	163	188	1302
	Maat Chaffard	Post	239	84	177	301	318	73	122	140	162	1616
4	west stanord	Diff	69	-1	23	161	120	-2	-8	-22	-26	314
		% Diff	40%	-1%	15%	116%	61%	-3%	-6%	-14%	-14%	24%

Origin	Contor					D	estinatio	n				
Origin	Sector		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
		Prior	333	316	470	381	710	549	417	375	661	4213
5	North Stafford	Post	463	319	347	311	1590	621	493	429	742	5313
5	North Stanord	Diff	129	2	-123	-71	880	71	76	53	81	1100
		% Diff	39%	1%	-26%	-19%	124%	13%	18%	14%	12%	26%
		Prior	121	197	220	150	334	434	384	396	423	2657
6	East	Post	114	192	237	145	378	639	290	439	646	3079
0	Staffordshire	Diff	-7	-5	17	-5	44	206	-94	43	223	421
		% Diff	-6%	-2%	8%	-3%	13%	47%	-25%	11%	53%	16%
		Prior	166	292	575	273	324	274	477	152	4009	6541
7	South	Post	163	261	536	246	396	189	449	144	4107	6492
	Staffordshire	Diff	-3	-31	-39	-26	71	-85	-28	-8	98	-49
		% Diff	-2%	-11%	-7%	-10%	22%	-31%	-6%	-5%	2%	-1%
		Prior	76	49	66	94	185	244	91	276	330	1411
0	West	Post	76	43	66	157	259	258	143	310	515	1826
0	Staffordshire	Diff	0	-7	0	63	74	13	52	34	184	415
		% Diff	0%	-14%	0%	67%	40%	6%	58%	12%	56%	29%
		Prior	158	207	201	245	489	457	3897	526	3239	9418
	North	Post	187	415	195	241	481	537	4300	491	3489	10335
9	9 Staffordshire	Diff	29	208	-6	-4	-8	79	404	-35	250	917
		% Diff	18%	101%	-3%	-1%	-2%	17%	10%	-7%	8%	10%
		Prior	1614	1871	2771	2022	3292	2795	6239	2479	9843	32926
Total		Post	1907	2054	2742	2380	4510	3045	6681	2547	10689	36555
TULAI		Diff	293	183	-28	359	1218	250	442	67	846	3628
		% Diff	18%	10%	-1%	18%	37%	9%	7%	3%	9%	11%

Values are in pcus

4.19 It can be observed from Tables 4.3 and 4.4 that the main increase in trips occurs for trips to and from Sector 5 (North Stafford). The majority of this increase is internal unobserved trips within Sector 5.

Trip Length Distributions

- 4.20 An analysis of the trip-length distributions of the matrices split by light and heavy vehicles before and after matrix-estimation has been undertaken. The results of this are shown in Figures 4.3 to 4.6 for the AM and PM Peaks, respectively. Each figure shows the number of trips in each category: the number in the matrix before matrix estimation (prior) is represented by the blue column to the left; the number in the matrix after matrix estimation (post) is represented by the maroon column to the right.
- 4.21 To indicate a stable traffic model the trip-length distribution between the prior and post matrices should not change significantly. The analysis presented in Figures 4.3 to 4.6 shows that this is the case for the Stafford model.



Figure 4.3 - Trip Length Distribution – AM Peak – Light Vehicles

Figure 4.4 - Trip Length Distribution – AM Peak – Heavy Vehicles





Figure 4.5 - Trip Length Distribution – PM Peak – Light Vehicles

Figure 4.6 - Trip Length Distribution – PM Peak – Heavy Vehicles



Assignment Calibration

Assignment Convergence

- 4.22 Model assignment of trips to the highway network was undertaken using a standard approach based on a 'Wardrop User Equilibrium', which seeks to minimise travel costs for all vehicles in the network. The Wardrop User Equilibrium is based on the following proposition,
- 4.23 'Traffic arranges itself on congested networks such that the cost of travel on all routes used between each origin-destination pair is equal to the minimum cost of travel and unused routes have equal or greater costs.'
- 4.24 The Highway Agency's Traffic Appraisal in Urban Areas (DMRB Vol. 12a) advice recommends two criteria for Wardrop User Equilibrium assignment to ensure a satisfactory model convergence:
 - Delta should be less than 1%, or at least stable, with convergence fully documented and all other criteria met. Delta is the measure of convergence of the final assignment to ensure that the alternative routes used in the assignment process do not differ significantly from the final minimum cost. It is the difference between costs on the various multiple assigned routes and those along the final minimum cost routes, as a percentage of minimum cost routes.
 - Flow change (P) should be less than 5% for four consecutive iterations for 90% of links. P is the measure of convergence of assignment-simulation loops. It is the percentage of links where assigned flows change by less than 5% between successive assignment-simulation loops.
- 4.25 The terminating criteria for the assignment-simulation iterative procedure used in the model resulted in flow changes of less than 5% on 99% of all model links, which ensured that the above criteria would definitely be met by the model. The convergence for each model period is summarised in Table 4.5. This shows that the model for each time period converged satisfactorily within the DMRB guidelines.

Time Period	Assignment / Simulation Iteration	Delta (%)	Percentage Flow within 5% of previous
	13		99.58
AM Peak	12	0.014	99.29
	11	0.014	98.91
	10		98.73
	13		100.00
PM Peak	12	0.011	99.98
	11	0.011	99.84
	10		99.09

Table 4.5 - Convergence	of the	2007	Model

- 4.26 The modelled routing of traffic throughout the network has been assessed utilising the 'Forest Trees' option within SATURN, which provides the proportional split of vehicles routing between an origin and destination zone.
- 4.27 A check on the validity of route choices in the model was undertaken by examining key modelled routes to and within Stafford. This enables the assessment of the accuracy of observed and

predicted routes in the model. Diagrammatic representations of six routing trees are provided for the 2007 AM Peak in Figures 4.7 to Figure 4.12.

- 4.28 Figures 4.7 to 4.12 shows that 'sensible' route choice decisions are being made in the Stafford Transport Model, with the majority of vehicles using the quicker, better-quality routes. However, where congestion is more significant, some multi-routing is shown, particularly in and around Stafford Town Centre. Note that the green and red stars represent origin and destination respectively.
- 4.29 The various diagrams, presented below, show that multi-routing is occurring in the network and therefore reflect the rat-running in the Stafford area.



Figure 4.7 - Route Choice between Stoke-on-Trent and Stafford Town Centre



Figure 4.9 – Route Choice between Rugeley and Aston-by-Doxey

Figure 4.10 – Route Choice between Uttoxeter and Stafford Town Centre





Figure 4.12 – Route Choice between Penkridge and Stafford Town Centre



Link Flow Calibration

Calibration Guidelines

Flow & GEH Guidelines

4.30 The assignment acceptability guidelines set out in Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) are shown in Table 4.6. The flow criteria are less stringent at low traffic flows as compared to GEH criteria, but are more stringent at higher traffic flows.

	Criteria and Measure	Acceptabili	ty Guideline
1	Observed flow < 700 vph	Modelled flow within ±100 vph	
	Observed flow 700 - 2,700 vph	Modelled flow within ±15%	> 85 % of links
	Observed flow > 2,700 vph	Modelled flow within ±400 vph	
2	Total screenline flows (normally >5 links) to be within ±5%		All (or nearly all) screenlines
3	GEH Statistic for individual links < 5		> 85 % of links
4	GEH Statistic for screenline totals < 4		All (or nearly all) screenlines

Table 4.6 - DMRB	Assignment	Accentability	Guidelines
	Assignment	Acceptabilit	y Guidennes

Note: 1. Criteria relate to comparison of assigned model hourly flows with observed flows 2. All comparisons should be based on directional hourly flows.

GEH Statistic

4.31 The GEH statistic is based on a comparison of observed and modelled flows and is used as an indicator of 'goodness of fit'. The form of the GEH statistic is as follows:

$$GEH = \sqrt{\frac{(M-C)^2}{(M+C)/2}}$$

where M = modelled flow;
C = observed flow (or count)

4.32 A GEH value can be calculated for individual links or groups of links. Multiple links can be combined either as screenlines or across networks.

R-Squared

- 4.33 The R-Squared statistic is a correlation coefficient between two data sets and is obtained by undertaking a statistical regression of the two data sets. 'Goodness of fit' is measured as a value between 0 and 1, with zero indicating no correlation and 1 indicating a perfect fit. R-squared values of 0.95 or greater are generally accepted as being good fits. The statistical regression can also be used to estimate the extent of over or under-modelling via analysis of the gradient of the fitted straight line. A value of 1 equates to no over or under-modelling. Gradient values between 0.9 and 1.1 are generally acceptable.
- 4.34 Following the update of both the network and matrices and their subsequent assignment, checks have been undertaken to ensure that the Stafford base year 2007 model is fit for purpose.

Calibration Results

Link Flow Calibration

4.35 Figures 4.13 and 4.14 show the location of calibration link count data in the wider and Town Centre areas respectively. A summary of the flow calibration carried out is given in Table 4.7 which confirms that in both the AM and PM peak, the modelled flows calibrate well against the observed data.







Figure 4.14 - Stafford Calibration Link Count Data Town Centre

Table 4.7 – Summary of Link Flow Calibration

	AM Peak	PM Peak
Minimum DMRB Criteria	85%	85%
% links meeting Flow Criterion	85%	86%
% links meeting GEH Criterion	86%	85%

- 4.36 The link flow calibration shows a good correlation between the observed and modelled data with the Stafford Transport Model exceeding the 85% criteria in both time periods. The full link flow calibration tables for the AM and PM Peak periods are provided in Appendix A. There was one count (PC90) that was only undertaken in the AM Peak, and therefore PM Peak results have not been presented.
- 4.37 The link flow calibration for HGVs has also been determined to ensure that these have been modelled correctly, as presented in Table 4.8. It can be observed that there is very good correlation between the observed and modelled HGV flows.

	AM Peak	PM Peak
% links meeting Flow Criterion	100%	99%

Table 48 -	Summary	of HGV	I ink Flow	Calibration
1 abic 4.0 -	Summary	011101		Calibration

Screenline Calibration

- 4.38 Ten highway screenlines were considered as part of the Highway model calibration these are shown in red in Figures 4.15 and 4.16.
- 4.39 The identities of each of the screenlines are as follows:
 - Screenline 1: North Town Centre;
 - Screenline 2: South Town Centre;
 - Screenline 3: East Town Centre;
 - Screenline 4: West Town Centre;
 - Screenline 5: Inner North;
 - Screenline 6: Inner South;
 - Screenline 7: Inner East;
 - Screenline 8: Inner West;
 - Screenline 9: Wider North; and
 - Screenline 10: Wider South.

Figure 4.15 - Stafford Highway Screenlines



© Crown copyright. All rights reserved. Staffordshire County Council. Licence No. 100019422, 2007.



Figure 4.16 – Stafford Highway Screenlines, Town Centres

- 4.40 Tables 4.9 and 4.10 show the comparisons between modelled and observed flows at these screenlines in the AM and PM Peak periods respectively.
- 4.41 It can be observed that there is good correlations between the observed and modelled screenline flows in all peak periods. All but three of the screenlines (85%) in each of the Peak periods show GEH of less than 4 as detailed in DRMB criteria.

Screenline	Observed Flow In	Modelled Flow In	GEH	Observed Flow Out	Modelled Flow Out	GEH
1	2167	2159	0	1340	1424	2
2	1627	1792	4	1670	1588	2
3	1893	2219	7	1032	1232	6
4	757	765	0	373	429	3
5	2041	2086	1	1541	1497	1
6	922	975	2	731	741	0
7	1753	1825	2	1490	1598	3
8	1705	1735	1	1106	1121	0
9	6584	6792	3	6019	6038	0
10	6205	6562	4	5873	5994	2

Table 4.9 - AM Peak Highway Screenline Calibration

Screenline	Observed Flow In	Modelled Flow In	GEH	Observed Flow Out	Modelled Flow Out	GEH
1	1502	1635	3	2019	1972	1
2	1614	1573	1	1211	1402	5
3	1288	1325	1	1953	1984	1
4	321	448	6	847	815	1
5	1660	1765	3	2147	2200	1
6	794	783	0	1013	1063	2
7	1675	1630	1	1717	2037	7
8	1412	1282	4	1830	1786	1
9	6086	6262	2	6291	6477	2
10	5922	6018	1	6354	6180	2

Table 4.10 - PM Peak Highway Screenline Calibration

4.42 Table 4.11 contains a summary of the screenline calibration and shows that 85% of screenlines have a GEH of less than 4 in both peak periods. These results show that the model fulfils the GEH criteria, giving confidence that both the local and strategic routes travelling on the major arterials through Stafford and its environs are well represented in the SATURN model.

 Table 4.11 – Screenline Flow Calibration Summary

Area	AM Peak	PM Peak
Minimum DMRB Criteria	85%	85%
Study Area	85%	85%

4.43 The individual screenline link calibration statistics are provided in Appendix B.

Turn Flow Calibration

4.44 Figure 4.17 shows the location of available calibration turn count data in Stafford.



Figure 4.17 - Stafford Calibration Turn Count Data

4.45 The DRMB suggests that turn flow calibration is only undertaken at 'key' junctions which exert influence over the model. However, given the limited number of turn counts, all available turn count data was utilised. Table 4.12 summarises the turn flow calibration of in terms of the number of counts satisfying the flow criteria.

Table 4.12 – Turn Flow Calibration Summary

Area	AM Peak	PM Peak
Study Area	82%	83%

4.46 It can be observed from Table 4.12 that a good level of turn flow calibration is achieved.

R Squared Statistic

- 4.47 Figures 4.18 and 4.19 show plots of the observed versus modelled calibration link-flows for the AM and PM peak hours. The linear regression trend line is also shown on each Figure. The associated R-squared value is above 0.97 in each time-period indicating a very good fit between modelled and observed flows.
- 4.48 The R squared results are summarised in Table 4.13.

Table 4.13 – R Squared Statistic Summary

Area	AM Peak	PM Peak				
Study Area	0.981	0.976				



Figure 4.18 – Observed versus Modelled Calibration Flows: 2007 AM Peak

Stafford Transport Model Count vs Modelled: 2007 AM Peak





Stafford Transport Model Count vs Modelled: 2007 PM Peak

5. Model Validation

Model Validation Process

- 5.1 The validation of a model is undertaken to demonstrate that the model reproduces the existing travel patterns within the study area in a robust manner. As such, analysis of the model assignments is required to summarise the accuracy of the base model and establish that it is suitable as a basis from which to prepare forecasts.
- 5.2 Validation of the model considers the following aspects:
 - Network validation, such as range checks and logical routing; this is undertaken to establish that the network structure is suitable and characteristics of the network are realistically represented in the model;
 - Matrix validation; this is undertaken to check that the key routing patterns in the study area are accurately represented in the model;
 - Assignment validation / traffic flow validation (link based validation). This is undertaken to establish that the traffic flow volumes on a selection of key roads across the study area are accurately represented and overall travel patterns are consistent with expectations;
 - Journey time validation. This is undertaken to ensure that travel times are accurately represented and delays (congestion) are represented at appropriate junctions.

Independent Link Flow Validation

- 5.3 The majority of the available link count data (204 out of 289 counts) were used for the development and calibration of the model and demand matrices to ensure that it was as robust as possible. However, the remaining 85 counts were kept aside for independent validation purposes. Figure 5.1 shows the location of validation link count data in Stafford.
- 5.4 Table 5.1 summarises the link flow validation of this data in terms of the number of counts satisfying the flow and GEH criteria (DMRB Vol12a).

Area	AM Peak	PM Peak
Minimum DMRB criteria	85%	85%
% links meeting Flow Criterion	84%	83%
% links meeting GEH Criterion	86%	85%

Table 5.1 - Link Flow	Validation	Summary
-----------------------	------------	---------

- 5.5 The link flow validation shows a good correlation between the observed and modelled data with the Stafford Transport Model exceeding the 85% criteria in both time periods for the GEH Criterion. Although the model is slightly outside the required criteria for the Flow Criterion, the model validates well in the area of interest around the proposed scheme. It should be noted that if the flow criteria for low flows was increased from 'within 100' to 'within 110' the 85% validation would be achieved.
- 5.6 The full link flow validation tables for the AM and PM Peak periods are provided in Appendix C.
- 5.7 The link flow validation for HGVs has also been determined to ensure that these have been modelled correctly, as presented in Table 5.2. It can be observed that there is very good correlation between the observed and modelled HGV flows.

Area	AM Peak	PM Peak
% links meeting Flow Criterion	95%	95%

Table 5.2 – HGV Link Flow Validation Summary

Figure 5.1 – Stafford Validation Link Count Data



Roadside Interview Matrix Calibration

5.8 In addition to the comparison of the whole prior and estimated matrices, comparisons of observed and modelled trip matrices at the eleven Roadside Interview (RSI) survey locations were also carried out on a sector basis. This was to indicate that the pattern and volume of trips through the RSI site is represented in the model. The sector locations are shown on Figure 4.2.

		Sectors									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	
AM Peak											
Observed destination	13%	3%	17%	5%	8%	3%	39%	4%	8%	1794	
Modelled destination	17%	2%	10%	4%	14%	7%	36%	7%	3%	1902	
Observed origins	4%	6%	23%	10%	6%	4%	40%	3%	5%	1794	
Modelled origins	5%	7%	18%	7%	7%	3%	36%	16%	2%	1902	
				PM Peal	k						
Observed destination	4%	7%	25%	11%	6%	4%	35%	3%	5%	1644	
Modelled destination	6%	6%	21%	8%	6%	2%	34%	14%	2%	1670	
Observed origins	11%	3%	15%	5%	7%	3%	44%	4%	9%	1644	
Modelled origins	14%	3%	14%	4%	12%	5%	42%	5%	3%	1670	

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total		
AM Peak												
Observed destination	21%	4%	8%	7%	24%	2%	4%	2%	26%	1341		
Modelled destination	29%	2%	8%	7%	16%	2%	3%	2%	31%	1525		
Observed origins	7%	1%	5%	8%	24%	2%	4%	2%	46%	1341		
Modelled origins	8%	3%	6%	8%	19%	1%	1%	1%	54%	1525		
				PM Peal	<		-					
Observed destination	8%	1%	6%	9%	25%	2%	4%	2%	44%	1362		
Modelled destination	8%	7%	6%	7%	21%	1%	1%	1%	48%	1466		
Observed origins	20%	4%	7%	7%	24%	3%	4%	2%	29%	1362		
Modelled origins	30%	2%	5%	6%	18%	3%	1%	2%	34%	1466		

Table 5.4 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 2

Table 5.5 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 3

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total		
AM Peak												
Observed destination	18%	8%	14%	2%	17%	2%	36%	1%	2%	1503		
Modelled destination	20%	11%	10%	1%	22%	0%	33%	1%	1%	1468		
Observed origins	5%	13%	24%	2%	8%	2%	41%	2%	3%	1503		
Modelled origins	5%	10%	20%	2%	10%	1%	45%	4%	4%	1468		
				PM Peal	ĸ							
Observed destination	6%	13%	27%	3%	9%	2%	36%	2%	4%	1180		
Modelled destination	6%	12%	22%	2%	11%	1%	37%	3%	6%	1322		
Observed origins	15%	8%	14%	1%	15%	2%	41%	1%	2%	1180		
Modelled origins	18%	10%	11%	1%	18%	0%	39%	2%	1%	1322		

Table 5.6 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 4

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	
AM Peak											
Observed destination	13%	16%	13%	4%	5%	40%	6%	0%	2%	715	
Modelled destination	16%	7%	13%	7%	7%	48%	0%	2%	0%	766	
Observed origins	2%	25%	17%	6%	6%	31%	4%	7%	1%	715	
Modelled origins	3%	14%	14%	9%	6%	47%	0%	6%	0%	766	
				PM Peal	<						
Observed destination	3%	26%	17%	7%	5%	32%	4%	5%	1%	762	
Modelled destination	6%	13%	13%	7%	2%	53%	0%	5%	0%	826	
Observed origins	14%	17%	13%	4%	5%	39%	6%	1%	2%	762	
Modelled origins	20%	8%	12%	6%	11%	41%	0%	2%	0%	826	

Table 5.7 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 5

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	
AM Peak											
Observed destination	11%	7%	5%	2%	41%	21%	1%	4%	7%	1276	
Modelled destination	8%	12%	3%	2%	38%	23%	4%	2%	8%	1550	
Observed origins	1%	11%	8%	2%	18%	36%	4%	6%	14%	1276	
Modelled origins	3%	11%	6%	2%	16%	35%	8%	5%	13%	1550	

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	
PM Peak											
Observed destination	2%	11%	8%	3%	19%	34%	4%	6%	14%	1657	
Modelled destination	4%	18%	4%	3%	22%	30%	5%	4%	12%	1617	
Observed origins	10%	8%	5%	2%	40%	22%	1%	4%	8%	1657	
Modelled origins	11%	8%	5%	1%	27%	25%	5%	1%	16%	1617	

Table 5.8 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 6

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	
AM Peak											
Observed destination	16%	4%	8%	16%	15%	6%	4%	26%	4%	713	
Modelled destination	16%	4%	7%	11%	14%	11%	4%	30%	3%	782	
Observed origins	5%	3%	8%	14%	5%	2%	2%	58%	3%	713	
Modelled origins	4%	2%	6%	9%	6%	5%	2%	62%	5%	782	
				PM Peal	ĸ						
Observed destination	4%	2%	7%	13%	4%	2%	2%	63%	2%	732	
Modelled destination	6%	1%	4%	8%	5%	6%	2%	56%	11%	740	
Observed origins	19%	4%	10%	16%	15%	6%	4%	22%	4%	732	
Modelled origins	11%	5%	9%	12%	10%	10%	4%	36%	3%	740	

Table 5.9 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 7

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
AM Peak										
Observed destination	26%	4%	6%	7%	19%	2%	10%	5%	21%	1302
Modelled destination	21%	4%	7%	10%	18%	2%	6%	9%	23%	1424
Observed origins	2%	2%	4%	19%	15%	0%	8%	18%	31%	1302
Modelled origins	4%	4%	5%	15%	15%	4%	6%	17%	30%	1424
				PM Peal	κ					
Observed destination	3%	2%	5%	21%	17%	0%	7%	17%	28%	1255
Modelled destination	5%	4%	5%	18%	12%	6%	4%	18%	28%	1389
Observed origins	23%	4%	5%	7%	18%	2%	11%	7%	24%	1255
Modelled origins	23%	3%	6%	9%	16%	3%	6%	8%	26%	1389

Table 5.10 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 8

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	
	AM Peak										
Observed destination	5%	6%	2%	3%	47%	7%	6%	5%	20%	2029	
Modelled destination	0%	9%	0%	1%	54%	9%	2%	7%	18%	1685	
Observed origins	2%	9%	5%	1%	27%	2%	12%	19%	23%	2029	
Modelled origins	0%	7%	2%	2%	30%	6%	5%	11%	37%	1685	
				PM Peal	<						
Observed destination	2%	9%	6%	1%	28%	3%	11%	17%	23%	1684	
Modelled destination	0%	16%	0%	2%	38%	2%	2%	8%	30%	1531	
Observed origins	4%	5%	2%	3%	46%	6%	7%	5%	22%	1684	
Modelled origins	2%	5%	2%	1%	43%	11%	6%	4%	26%	1531	

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	
	AM Peak										
Observed destination	28%	3%	4%	26%	18%	1%	1%	11%	9%	417	
Modelled destination	22%	12%	6%	36%	7%	4%	2%	8%	3%	374	
Observed origins	12%	1%	4%	32%	6%	0%	1%	39%	5%	417	
Modelled origins	11%	7%	3%	32%	9%	1%	0%	33%	2%	374	
				PM Peal	<						
Observed destination	10%	1%	3%	33%	4%	0%	1%	43%	5%	436	
Modelled destination	7%	5%	3%	25%	5%	1%	1%	51%	2%	482	
Observed origins	30%	3%	4%	23%	20%	1%	1%	9%	9%	436	
Modelled origins	32%	7%	4%	26%	14%	3%	1%	6%	7%	482	

Table 5.11 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 9

Table 5.12 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 10

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
	AM Peak									
Observed destination	20%	2%	2%	6%	47%	6%	4%	1%	12%	866
Modelled destination	10%	3%	2%	4%	59%	7%	0%	5%	11%	604
Observed origins	4%	2%	5%	7%	39%	15%	3%	2%	22%	866
Modelled origins	6%	1%	4%	3%	58%	12%	3%	5%	9%	604
				PM Peal	<					
Observed destination	5%	2%	6%	8%	39%	15%	3%	2%	21%	904
Modelled destination	10%	0%	1%	2%	52%	4%	1%	5%	26%	710
Observed origins	20%	2%	2%	6%	48%	6%	4%	1%	12%	904
Modelled origins	7%	1%	12%	2%	39%	11%	2%	11%	15%	710

Table 5.13 - Summary of RSI Matrix Calibration at Site 11

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
	AM Peak									
Observed destination	35%	15%	3%	6%	32%	2%	2%	3%	2%	847
Modelled destination	23%	20%	2%	3%	39%	4%	2%	1%	5%	1110
Observed origins	4%	33%	7%	2%	8%	33%	11%	1%	1%	847
Modelled origins	3%	37%	5%	1%	12%	27%	12%	3%	1%	1110
				PM Peal	<					
Observed destination	5%	33%	7%	3%	7%	33%	10%	1%	1%	688
Modelled destination	8%	36%	5%	1%	14%	21%	10%	3%	2%	847
Observed origins	34%	16%	3%	6%	31%	3%	2%	3%	2%	688
Modelled origins	20%	24%	2%	2%	34%	7%	4%	1%	7%	847

5.9 Tables 5.3 to Table 5.13 demonstrate that there is a good correlation between the modelled SLA and the observed RSI data at the 11 sites, with the key modelled movements similar to the observed.

Journey Time Validation

5.10 The eleven Journey Time routes collated as part of the data collection exercise have been used to check the modelled journey times against observed data. The purpose of monitoring these specific Journey Time routes is to identify to what extent the model is capable of reflecting the

current congestion conditions i.e. journey times and delays. The eleven routes were chosen to provide a broad coverage of strategic and local routes around Stafford as shown previously in Figure 2.3.

- 5.11 The DMRB recommends that for a 'good fit', modelled times should be within 15% (or 1 minute or lower) for 85% of routes.
- 5.12 Journey Time Variability (JTV) is defined by the DfT as unpredictable variation in journey times, and hence JTV is confined to random effects. It excludes predictable variation relating to varying levels of demand by time of day, day of week, and seasonal effects.
- 5.13 Table 5.15 and Table 5.16 show comparisons between the average observed and modelled journey time on the eleven Journey Time routes.
- 5.14 In both Peak periods, over 85% of routes lie within +/-15% of observed values as shown in Table 5.14. All but three of the journey time routes fall within the required 15% interval in both peak periods. In the AM peak, 86% of journey times meet the criteria of being within 15% of the observed values whilst 91% achieve this criteria in the PM peak.
- 5.15 Cumulative Journey Time plots of the observed versus modelled highway journey times are shown for the eleven routes in the AM and PM Peak periods in Appendix D. These cumulative diagrams indicate that the routes show a good correlation between modelled and observed data along each route. This is a sign that junction delays and free flowing sections are paralleled in the model.
- 5.16 The plots in Appendix D highlight that the modelled cumulative time profile mirrors that of the observed times. This demonstrates that the modelled delays are generally at the correct locations.
- 5.17 In general it is considered that the modelled journey times are representative of those observed, and that the Stafford Transport Model accurately replicates observed 2007 journey times and delays.

Area	AM Peak	PM Peak
Minimum DMRB criteria	85%	85%
% Routes meeting Criterion	86%	91%

Table 5.14 – Journey Time Validation Summary

Draft Local Model Validation Report

-	_																							_
	<+/-	3 % CI	>	>	>	>	>	>	×	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	×	>	>	>	>	×	>
	% Diff		-7%	-8%	-13%	10%	-11%	-14%	16%	4%	5%	3%	5%	13%	-14%	1%	-10%	18%	%6	-14%	-12%	-6%	-37%	-2%
		+15%	340	437	698	681	431	422	1086	1150	405	362	348	394	507	489	402	483	525	475	1224	1210	479	434
	d Time (Sec)	Upper CI	327	515	641	639	523	385	993	1107	385	358	322	355	487	447	389	504	650	439	1302	1186	520	436
	eak Observe	Average	296	380	607	592	375	367	945	1000	353	315	303	343	441	425	350	420	456	413	1065	1053	416	378
	2007 AM Pe	Lower CI	265	245	573	545	227	349	897	872	320	272	284	330	395	403	311	336	263	387	827	919	313	320
		-15%	252	323	516	503	319	312	803	850	300	268	258	291	375	361	297	357	388	351	905	895	354	321
	Modelled	(Dae) allill	274	348	530	652	333	317	1100	1042	371	323	319	386	380	429	316	494	498	357	934	992	263	370
	Direction		WB	EB	EB	WB	EB	WB	RB	SB	WB	EB	AC	с	AC	ပ	EB	WB	BB	SB	NB	SB	EB	WB
	Description		Route 1 A518 E to W	Route 1 A518 W to E	Route 2 Baswich NW to SE	Route 2 Baswich SE to NW	Route 3 Beaconside W to E	Route 3 Beaconside E to W	Route 4 M6 S to N	Route 4 M6 N to S	Route 5 Sandon E to W	Route 5 Sandon W to E	Route 6 TC Anticlockwise	Route 6 TC Clockwise	Route 7 Triangle Anticlockwise	Route 7 Triangle Clockwise	Route 8 Wildwood W to E	Route 8 Wildwood E to W	Route 9 A449 S to N	Route 9 A449 N to S	Route 10 A34 S to N	Route 10 A34 N to S	Route 11 Weston Rd Eastbound	Route 11 Weston Rd Westbound
-	Date		2000	7002	1000	7002	1000	7002	1000	7002	1000	2002	1000	1002	2000	1002	1000	2002	1000	1002	£000	1002	2000	2002
	Route		•	-	c	N	c	0	-	4	L	ი	ú	D	٦		c	Ø	c	'n	Ċ	2	7	Ξ

Table 5.15 – Stafford Journey Time Validation: 2007 AM Peak

5089310/Stafford Local Model Validation Report Rev1.docx

VLKINZ

Report
Validation
Model
Local
Draft

-/+>	15%?	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	×	>	>	>	×	>	>	>
% Diff		-3%	12%	10%	-5%	-3%	-8%	2%	8%	2%	8%	11%	15%	-2%	-8%	-18%	-5%	-5%	-2%	-17%	-12%	-2%	-1%
	+15%	338	365	662	639	413	409	1190	1143	436	349	362	390	438	537	628	466	515	451	1307	1267	315	421
d Time (Sec)	Upper CI	327	366	608	582	366	397	1063	1012	492	335	356	403	411	490	694	432	470	431	1248	1186	328	471
eak Observe	Average	294	318	576	556	359	356	1035	994	379	304	315	340	381	467	546	405	448	392	1137	1102	274	366
2007 AM P	Lower CI	261	270	544	530	351	314	1007	976	266	273	274	276	350	444	398	378	425	353	1026	1018	220	261
	-15%	250	270	489	473	305	302	879	845	322	258	268	289	323	397	464	344	381	333	966	937	233	311
Modelled	Time (sec)	285	356	636	529	347	326	1050	1071	386	328	350	390	371	430	445	386	426	382	948	964	268	361
Direction		WB	EB	B	WB	B	WB	NB	SB	WB	B	AC	U	AC	U	EB	WB	NB	SB	NB	SB	EB	WB
Description		Route 1 A518 E to W	Route 1 A518 W to E	Route 2 Baswich NW to SE	Route 2 Baswich SE to NW	Route 3 Beaconside W to E	Route 3 Beaconside E to W	Route 4 M6 S to N	Route 4 M6 N to S	Route 5 Sandon E to W	Route 5 Sandon W to E	Route 6 TC Anticlockwise	Route 6 TC Clockwise	Route 7 Triangle Anticlockwise	Route 7 Triangle Clockwise	Route 8 Wildwood W to E	Route 8 Wildwood E to W	Route 9 A449 S to N	Route 9 A449 N to S	Route 10 A34 S to N	Route 10 A34 N to S	Route 11 Weston Rd Eastbound	Route 11 Weston Rd Westbound
Date		1000	- 7002	1000	- 7002	1000	- 7002	1000	- 7002	1000	- 7002	1000	- 1002	2000	- 1002	1000	1002	2000	1002	2000	1002	2000	7007
Route			-	c	N	ç	n	4		L	n	L.	٥	1	-	c	0	c	ת	0	2	7	Ξ

Table 5.16 - Stafford Journey Time Validation: 2007 PM Peak

5089310/Stafford Local Model Validation Report Rev1.docx

VLKINZ

Calibration and Validation Summary

- 5.18 The SATURN highway model was built from Car Park Surveys and Roadside Interviews undertaken in the key modelled area. The comparison of the observed and modelled flows at these Car Park and RSI sites show very good correlation and gives confidence to the starting point of validation.
- 5.19 Based on the calibration and validation results summarised in Table 5.17, for the AM and PM peaks, it has been clearly demonstrated that the Stafford Transport Model is 'fit for purpose' and hence is considered acceptable for the development of future year forecasts and assessment of future growth and land use development in Stafford.

Criteria	AM Peak	PM Peak
Link Flow Calibration	86%	86%
Screenline Calibration	85%	85%
Turn Flow Calibration	82%	83%
R Squared Stat.	0.981	0.976
Link Flow Validation	86%	85%
Journey Time Validation	86%	91%

Table 5.17 - Stafford Transport Model C	Calibration and Validation Summary
-----------------------------------------	------------------------------------

6. Conclusions

Overview

- 6.1 This Stafford Transport Model Validation Report has been prepared as part of the Stafford Western Access Improvements study. It details the calibration and validation of the Base Year AM and PM Peak models to 2007, and highlights the high levels of validation achieved.
- 6.2 Our modelling exercise has focused on the ensuring the highway model provides a good representation of traffic flows and operating conditions in the Stafford area.

Model Development and Calibration

- 6.3 The Stafford SATURN model was developed by Atkins in 2008 as part of a study to understand the implications of proposed growth around Stafford on the transportation network. The model has been updated as part of this study to include demand segmentation to enable variable demand modelling, in line with DfT guidance.
- 6.4 The calibration monitoring process has confirmed that the model network, matrices and assignments have converged to a satisfactory level. There is a good level of fit between modelled and observed flows.

Model Validation

- 6.5 Model validation was undertaken by comparing modelled traffic flows at a number of independent validation sites, using screenline data, and against observed journey times around the study area.
- 6.6 The model is shown to validate well against observed count data in both time periods, meeting DMRB criteria on over 85% of links in the model.
- 6.7 The validation across screenlines was achieved, meeting the DMRB criteria for the majority of observations. Only 3/20 screenline locations were outside the DMRB criteria in the AM and PM peaks.
- 6.8 The journey time validation showed that the majority of journey time routes around the study area were accurately modelled: 86% and 91% in the AM peak and PM peak respectively.

Conclusions and Recommendations

- 6.9 The models have been through a rigorous model development, calibration and validation process, using the most appropriate source data to provide accurate representations of 2007 base traffic conditions in and around the study area.
- 6.10 Both time period models are shown to calibrate and validate well against observed traffic data across the majority of the traffic model, with good calibration and validation in the areas of most interest to the Stafford Western Access Improvements.
- 6.11 The models are therefore deemed an accurate representation of current network conditions and suitable for use in future forecasting.
Appendix A Observed v Modelled Count Calibration

						2007 AM	Peak - PO	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
RSI01	2007	A449 MOSS PIT	NB	840	989	149	18%	5	√	×
	2007		SB	955	912	-42	-4%	1	\checkmark	~
RSI02	2007	A34 STONE ROAD	SB	854	977	123	14%	4	✓	 ✓
DCI02	2007		NB	486	5/6	90	19%	4	✓	✓ ✓
KS103	2007	A34 CANNOCK ROAD	IND SB	700	676	-40	2%	2	×	V
RSI04	2007	A513 MILEORD ROAD	WB	327	359	-40	10%	2	▼ ✓	• ✓
11010-1	2007		EB	387	384	-3	-1%	0	~	· ~
RSI05	2007	A518 WESTON ROAD	WB	819	958	139	17%	5	~	×
	2007		EB	456	617	160	35%	7	×	×
RSI06	2007	A518 CASTLE BANK	EB	478	529	51	11%	2	~	~
	2007		WB	236	274	38	16%	2	\checkmark	√
RSI07	2007	A5013 ECCLESHALL ROAD	EB	809	850	41	5%	1	~	~
DOIGO	2007		WB	494	574	80	16%	3	√	 ✓
RSI08	2007	A513 BEACONSIDE	EB	1268	1093	-175	-14%	5	✓	✓
DC100	2007	DOVEY BOAD	VVB	761	606	-155	-20%	0	×	×
K3109	2007	DOAET ROAD	WB	137	250	-43	-13%	2	v ./	v ./
RSI10	2007	B5066 SANDON ROAD	SB	504	332	-173	-34%	8	×	×
Rono	2007	Boood of WEON NOVE	NB	361	275	-86	-24%	5	√ 	√
RSI11	2007	TIXALL ROAD	WB	747	903	155	21%	5	~	×
	2007		EB	188	231	43	23%	3	~	~
TRADS01	2007	M6 J13-14	NB	5081	4975	-106	-2%	1	√	\checkmark
	2007		SB	4696	4671	-25	-1%	0	√	✓
TRADS02	2007	M6 J14-15	NB	4554	4510	-44	-1%	1	~	~
	2007		SB	4386	4360	-26	-1%	0	✓	✓
TRADS03	2007	M6 J12-13	NB	4910	5002	92	2%	1	~	~
	2007		SB	4803	4848	45	1%	1	\checkmark	√
M6 J13	2005	A449 (N) SLIP	NB	857	989	132	15%	4	✓	×
	2005		SB	976	912	-63	-6%	2	√	~
M6 J13	2005	M6 (S) SLIP	SB	454	572	118	26%	5	~	×
MC 140	2005	A 440 (C) CLID	NB	328	5/8	249	76%	12	×	×
IVID J 13	2005	A449 (5) 5LIP	SD NB	041 802	820	-37	-0%	1	× (V (
M6 113	2005	M6 (N) SLIP	NB	574	551	-23	-1%	1	* √	*
1010 010	2005		SB	421	396	-25	-6%	1	· ·	· ~
M6 J13	2005	ROUNDABOUT	CW	305	366	61	20%	3	· ~	· ~
	2005		CW	827	706	-121	-15%	4	~	~
	2005		CW	514	679	166	32%	7	×	x
	2005		CW	741	959	218	29%	7	×	×
M6 RBT	2005	ON ROUNDABOUT	CW	1165	1168	3	0%	0	~	~
	2005	ON ROUNDABOUT	CW	1552	1500	-51	-3%	1	~	~
	2005	ON ROUNDABOUT	CW	953	947	-6	-1%	0	~	~
	2005	ON ROUNDABOUT	CW	1065	998	-67	-6%	2	~	✓
	2005		CW	697	721	23	3%	1	✓	✓ ✓
	2005		VVB	4//	461	-17	-3%	1	✓	✓ (
	2005			201	113	-3	129/	2	v ./	v .(
	2005	FROM M6 NORTH	SB	538	540	2	0%	0	· ~	* ~
	2005	TO A34	CW	1137	1093	-43	-4%	1	· ·	·
	2005	FROM A34	CW	978	902	-77	-8%	2	~	✓
	2005	TO ECCLESHALL ROAD	EB	866	850	-16	-2%	1	√	✓
	2005	FROM ECCLESHALL ROAD	WB	523	574	51	10%	2	~	√
	2005	TO M6 SOUTH	SB	890	851	-39	-4%	1	✓	~
	2005	TO M6 NORTH	NB	945	908	-37	-4%	1	\checkmark	~
RBT01	2004	FROM QUEENSWAY	SB	912	939	27	3%	1	~	~
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	CW	665	658	-7	-1%	0	~	√
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	CW	554	525	-28	-5%	1	✓	 ✓
	2004		EB	709	741	32	5%	1	 ✓ 	 ✓
	2004		WB	825	898	/3	9%	2	✓	✓ ✓
	2004		SD ND	040 704	223	0	1%	0	√	×
	2004		C\W	83/	01Z 870	36	4%	3	v v	×
	2004		WR	433	404	-28	-7%	1	*	* ./
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	CW	1133	1278	146	13%	4	•	* ✓
	2004	FROM NEWPORT ROAD	EB	683	658	-25	-4%	1	✓	✓
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	CW	1852	1978	127	7%	3	~	✓
	2004	TO QUEENSWAY	NB	1180	1412	232	20%	6	×	×
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	CW	462	459	-2	-1%	0	\checkmark	✓
PC03	2004	A518 TENTERBANKS	SEB	749	735	-13	-2%	0	✓	✓
	2004		NWB	992	993	1	0%	0	\checkmark	\checkmark

Table A.1 – Stafford Link Flow Calibration – AM Peak 2007

						2007 AN	l Peak - P	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
PC20	2004	D385 SCHOOL LANE	NEB	20	10	-10	-51%	3	√	√
DC20	2004		SWB	8	15	7	96%	2	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
PC39	2005	ASI9 NEWCASILE ROAD	SB	237	232	-5 0	-2%	0	✓ ✓	
PC42	2005	A5013 CRESSWELL GROVE	SEB	745	775	31	4%	1	· ✓	· ✓
	2005		NWB	510	461	-49	-10%	2	√	\checkmark
PC45	2005	A34 QUEENSWAY	SEB	1170	1183	12	1%	0	√	\checkmark
	2005		NWB	940	835	-105	-11%	4	√	√
PC53	2006	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD	NEB	619	639	19	3%	1	√	✓
	2006		SWB	509	512	3	1%	0	√	√
PC54	2006	A5013 STAFFORD ROAD	SEB	403	467	63	16%	3	✓	✓
PC56	2006	A51	NWB	235	236	-7	-1%	0	✓ √	√ .(
F 0.30	2000	A31	NWB	550	581	-7	6%	1	* ✓	×
PC60	2006	A34 STONE ROAD	NB	1070	1066	-5	0%	0	· ~	· ~
	2006		SB	1477	1657	181	12%	5	~	✓
PC62	2006	D385 SCHOOL LANE	NEB	33	10	-23	-70%	5	✓	√
	2006		SWB	15	15	0	-2%	0	✓	\checkmark
PC63	2006	A518	NEB	440	435	-5	-1%	0	~	~
	2006		SWB	745	765	21	3%	1	✓	\checkmark
PC64	2006	A34 STONE ROAD	NEB	1019	993	-26	-3%	1	√	✓
PC65	2006	A51 STONE POAD	SWB	1068	946	-122	-11%	4	√ √	√ ./
F 000	2006	AST STONE ROAD	SED NWB	300	289	-44	-12%	2	×	v ./
PC08	2000	A513	SEB	422	462	40	9%	2	v √	 ✓
	2004		NWB	597	617	20	3%	1	√	· · ·
PC48	2006	D33 WEST WAY	SEB	466	378	-88	-19%	4	✓	~
	2006		NWB	379	424	45	12%	2	✓	\checkmark
PC71	2007	A520 LONGTON ROAD	NB	364	346	-18	-5%	1	~	\checkmark
	2007		SB	407	402	-5	-1%	0	√	√
PC72	2007	A513 BEACONSIDE	SEB	908	621	-287	-32%	10	×	×
DO70	2007	424	NWB	5/5	583	8	1%	0	✓	✓
PC/3	2007	A34		447	730	204	20%	6	×	×
PC74	2007	A518 LITTOXETER ROAD	NFB	338	302	-35	-10%	2	~	~
	2007		SWB	441	405	-36	-8%	2	~	· · ·
PC75	2007	B5026 CHESTER ROAD	SEB	205	245	40	20%	3	√	√
	2007		NWB	78	89	11	14%	1	✓	~
PC76	2007	A520 STAFFORD ROAD	NEB	753	760	7	1%	0	✓	\checkmark
	2007		SWB	857	965	108	13%	4	✓	\checkmark
PC77	2007	A34 STAFFORD ROAD	SEB	1950	1936	-14	-1%	0	✓	✓
DC79	2007	A518 WESTON BOAD	NER	646	767	40	10%	5	v ./	~
F 070	2007	ASIG WESTON ROAD	SWB	891	972	81	9%	3	* ✓	~
PC79	2007	B5066 SANDON ROAD	NEB	331	323	-7	-2%	0	· ✓	✓
	2007		SWB	514	485	-29	-6%	1	√	\checkmark
PC80	2007	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD	NB	229	234	5	2%	0	√	\checkmark
	2007		SB	198	209	11	5%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
PC81	2007	A449 WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	SEB	753	874	121	16%	4	✓	×
D OOO	2007	101	NWB	722	959	237	33%	8	×	×
PC82	2007	A34	SEB	1050	1067	17	2%	1	✓ ✓	✓
TC11-1	2007	DEZ KINGSWAY	INV/D SB	226	1217	-43	-3%	0	v .(V
IOTI-I	2004	Bor KindowAl	NB	105	67	-38	-36%	4	· ·	· ·
TC11-2	2004	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	WB	512	469	-43	-8%	2	√	· · ·
-	2004		EB	1000	1063	62	6%	2	√	~
TC11-3	2004	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	EB	900	930	30	3%	1	√	\checkmark
	2004		WB	544	504	-40	-7%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC13-1	2004	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD (NE)	SWB	160	235	75	47%	5	✓	~
TOTOS	2004		NEB	194	232	38	20%	3	 ✓ 	✓
1013-3	2004	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD (SW)	NEB	228	241	13	6%	1	✓ /	✓ /
TC12.4	2004		2WR	210	20/	48 _/19	∠3% _17%	3	✓	× ./
1013-4	2004		WR	194	182	-40	-6%	1	× ✓	× ✓
TC14-3	2004	A513 MAIN ROAD (SE)	NWB	574	700	125	22%	5	• ✓	×
	2004		SEB	418	486	68	16%	3	✓	√
SDR38	2006	A513 MAIN ROAD MILFORD	EB	388	488	100	26%	5	~	×
	2006		WB	413	435	22	5%	1	✓	\checkmark
TC20-1	2004	A449 RISING BROOK (N)	SB	652	791	138	21%	5	~	x
	2004		NB	804	1193	389	48%	12	×	×
TC20-2	2004	C75 RICKERSCOTE ROAD	WB	541	457	-84	-16%	4	 ✓ 	✓
L	2004	l	EB	408	311	-97	-24%	5	√	

						2007 AM	Peak - P	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
TC20-4	2004	D32 CHURCHILL WAY	EB	32	0	-32	-100%	8	×	~
	2004		WB	64	12	-52	-81%	8	×	✓
TC22-1	2004	D8 CORPORATION ST (NW)	SEB	290	285	-5	-2%	0	✓	✓
TC22.2	2004	D7 PROSPECT ROAD	NWB	276	254	-22	-8%	1	✓	✓ ✓
1022-2	2004	DI FROSFECT ROAD	FB	108	86	-31	-20%	2	✓ √	×
TC22-3	2004	D8 CORPORATION ST (SE)	NWB	330	537	207	63%	10	×	×
1022 0	2004		SEB	358	380	22	6%	1	√ 	√
TC22-4	2004	D7 CROOKED BRIDGE ROAD	EB	189	163	-27	-14%	2	~	~
	2004		WB	189	355	166	88%	10	×	×
TC23-3	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (W)	EB	1284	1093	-191	-15%	6	×	\checkmark
	2005		WB	769	606	-163	-21%	6	×	×
TC24-2	2005	D14 SANDALWOOD DRIVE	WB	33	72	39	119%	5	~	√
TOOLO	2005		EB	13	43	30	228%	6	×	✓
IC24-3	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD (S)	NB	418	398	-20	-5%	1	✓	✓
TCOF 1	2005		SB	596	588	-8	-1%	0	✓	<
1020-1	2005	Do COMMON ROAD (N)	NB	226	3/2	-20	-7 % 52%	7	v	v
TC25-2	2005	D44 ASTONEIELDS ROAD	WB	220	291	11	4%	1	~	~
1023-2	2005	DHI ACTONI IEEBO NOAD	FB	380	290	-90	-24%	5	· ·	· ·
TC25-3	2005	D6 COMMON ROAD (S)	NB	184	202	17	9%	1	√	~
	2005		SB	161	184	23	14%	2	~	~
TC28-1	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	SB	894	881	-13	-1%	0	~	~
	2005		NB	744	685	-59	-8%	2	✓	\checkmark
TC28-3	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	NB	669	646	-24	-4%	1	\checkmark	~
	2005		SB	1158	1059	-99	-9%	3	~	\checkmark
TC29-3	2005	D68 TOLLGATE DRIVE	NEB	151	127	-24	-16%	2	~	\checkmark
	2005		SWB	313	287	-26	-8%	2	\checkmark	~
TC30-2	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	NB	741	724	-17	-2%	1	√	√
	2005		SB	1114	1081	-32	-3%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC40-3	2006	D37 PARK STREET	WB	242	163	-79	-33%	6	×	√
IC41-3	2006	D37 TELEGRAPH STREET	WB	25	0	-25	-100%	7	×	√
TC42-3	2006	D37 AUSTIN FRIARS	EB	158	188	30	19%	2	✓	✓
1043-2	2006	D37 FRIARS ROAD		191	103	-28	-15%	2	✓	V (
TC45-1	2006		SB	187	188	Z	1%	0	✓	✓
1043-1	2007		NB	507	514	-30	-1/1%	3	v ./	v
TC45-2	2007	D3019 FAIRWAY	WB	327	339	12	4%	1	* √	• √
10102	2007	Doorto i Alicente	FB	174	157	-17	-10%	1	· ·	· ·
TC45-3	2007	C376 RIVERWAY (S)	NB	381	232	-148	-39%	8	×	×
	2007		SB	368	275	-93	-25%	5	~	\checkmark
TC46-4	2007	A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	EB	809	857	48	6%	2	~	√
	2007		WB	928	927	-1	0%	0	~	\checkmark
TC48-1	2007	C376 RIVERWAY	SWB	300	275	-25	-8%	1	~	~
	2007		NEB	390	232	-158	-40%	9	×	×
TC48-2	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	NWB	763	823	60	8%	2	~	✓
	2007		SEB	760	782	23	3%	1	√	√
IC48-3	2007	N/A UNKNOWN	NEB	354	332	-21	-6%	1	~	~
TC 40.4	2007		SWB	80	217	137	1/1%	11	×	×
1049-1	2007		SEB	1090	014	-15	-2%	1	✓ ./	× ./
TC/0-2	2007		W/R	71	1/1	70	90%	7	* *	*
1040-2	2007		EB	309	369	60	20%	3	~	
TC50-2	2007	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (E)	WB	39	41	2	4%	0	~	~
	2007		EB	215	211	-4	-2%	0	\checkmark	✓
TC52-1	2007	U/C TESCO SUPERSTORE	NB	151	133	-18	-12%	1	√	√
	2007		SB	161	220	59	37%	4	\checkmark	✓
TC52-2	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	EB	576	631	55	10%	2	~	~
	2007		WB	500	544	44	9%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC52-3	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	WB	515	596	81	16%	3	~	✓
T0	2007		EB	581	596	15	3%	1	√	√
IC53-1	2007	D58 BRIDGE STREET	SB	278	325	47	17%	3	 ✓ 	 ✓
TOFO	2007		NB	25	47	22	91%	4	 ✓ 	 ✓
1053-2	2007	AS18 LICHFIELD ROAD	VVB	382	404	22	٥% ٥٩/	1	✓	✓
TC52-2	2007		ED ED	7 10 525	000	-07	-0%	2	√	×
1000-0	2007		W/R	4/6	432	-13	7%	3	× √	× √
TC54-1	2007	A5187 STATION ROAD	SB	566	549	-17	-3%	1	•	· ·
1004-1	2007		NB	729	848	119	16%	4	· ·	×
TC54-3	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	EB	873	932	59	7%	2	~	~
	2007	- \ /	WB	550	541	-9	-2%	0	√	√
		•								*

						2007 AN	Peak - PO	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
TC32-1	2005 2005	A519 NEWPORT ROAD (N)	SB NB	312 340	340 349	28 8	9% 2%	2 0	√ √	✓ ✓
TC33-2	2005	B5027 LICHFIELD STREET	WB	485	439	-46	-9%	2	~	~
TC07.4	2005		EB	257	331	73	29%	4	 ✓ 	 ✓
1037-1	2006	B5026 STONE ROAD (NE)	SVVB NEB	185	191	-15	-6%	0	√ √	✓ ✓
TC38-3	2006	B5066 SANDON ROAD	NB	166	163	-3	-2%	0	· √	· ✓
	2006		SB	470	463	-6	-1%	0	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC47-3	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	NWB	1404	1467	63	4%	2	✓	✓
TCOG 2	2007		SEB	881	921	40	5%	1	√ 	✓ ✓
TC06-2 TC06-3	2004	SOUTH WALLS (W)	EB	226	215	-11	-5%	1	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
	2004		WB	548	598	51	9%	2	\checkmark	~
TC08-1	2004	GREENGATE STREET (N)	SB	103	211	108	105%	9	×	×
TC08-2	2004	SOUTH WALLS	WB	302	500	199	66%	10	×	×
TC08-4	2004	MILL BANK	EB	313	210	-41	-35%	7	×	×
	2004		WB	229	426	197	86%	11	×	×
TC02-3	2004	A34 (N)	SB	1469	1703	234	16%	6	×	×
T040.0	2004		NB	938	1063	125	13%	4	√	 ✓
1010-2	2004	AS13 BEACONSIDE	EB EB	1064	803	-261	-25%	9	×	×
TC10-4	2004	A34 TO/FROM M6 J14	EB	1142	1039	-102	-9%	3	· ✓	· ✓
	2004		WB	968	867	-101	-10%	3	\checkmark	~
PVOL24	2007	A34 QUEENSWAY (NORTH OF ASDA) STAFFORD	NB	1164	1428	264	23%	7	×	×
852	2007		SB	960	954	-6	-1%	0	√ 	✓ ✓
SF3 SF4	2005	NORTH WALLS	SEB	914 246	201	-45	-18%	4	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
	20005	A34 QUEENSWAY EAST ENTRY/EXIT TO GAOL	500	2.10	201	10	1070	Ű		
SF5	2005	SQUARE	ED	1190	1183	-8	-1%	0	~	✓
050	2005		WB	791	685	-106	-13%	4	 ✓ 	 ✓
5F6	2005	A518 CHELL ROAD	FB FB	1090	988	-102	-9%	3	v v	✓ ✓
	2005	A34 FOREGATE ROAD NORTH ENTRY/EXIT TO GAOL		1007	090	-177	-17 /0	0	~	^
SF7	2005	SQUARE	NB	1046	1031	-15	-1%	0	\checkmark	✓
	2005		SB	1509	1679	169	11%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark
ACLS01	2004	B5066 SANDON ROAD, HILDERSTONE	NB	213	202	-11	-5%	1	√ √	✓ ✓
ACLS02	2004	D321 ST. THOMAS LANE, STAFFORD	EB	70	66	-37	-11%	0	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
	2005		WB	459	404	-55	-12%	3	~	√
ACLS03	2006	C375 SILKMORE LANE, STAFFORD	NB	400	360	-40	-10%	2	~	~
401.004	2006		SB	400	399	-1	0%	0	 ✓ 	✓
ACLS04	2006	C278 COMMON LANE, BEDNALL	SB	36	92 102	54 67	188%	7	x	✓ ✓
ACLS05	2006	D3041 PARKSIDE AVENUE, STAFFORD	NB	173	246	73	42%	5	~	√
	2006		SB	113	196	83	73%	7	×	✓
ACLS07	2004	D304 ACTON HILL ROAD ACTON TRUSSELL	SB	140	140	0	0%	0	 ✓ 	 ✓
	2004	D34 BARNES ROAD STAFFORD	NB SB	88 5	62	-27	-30%	3 10	v v	✓ ✓
AOLOOO	2006	DOT DARRED ROAD, OTATIOND	NB	110	87	-23	-21%	2	~	· ✓
ACLS10	2006	D34 BARNES ROAD, STAFFORD	NB	40	115	75	189%	9	×	\checkmark
ACI 014	2006		SB	120	100	-21	-17%	2	 ✓ 	 ✓
ACLS11	2005	D41 PARKSIDE AVENUE, STAFFURD	EB WR	52 96	87 61	-35 -35	-36%	4	√ √	✓ ✓
ACLS12	2005	B5027 DAYHILLS, MILWICH	EB	68	73	5	7%	1	√	✓
	2005		WB	90	110	20	22%	2	~	\checkmark
ACLS13	2005	C93 HYDE LEA, STAFFORD	SB	38	57	19	52%	3	✓	✓
ACI S14	2005		NB	/3 195	/4 200	1	1% 7%	0	√ √	✓ ✓
1.02014	2004		NB	229	233	4	2%	0	· ·	· ✓
ACLS15	2004	C27 TIXALL ROAD, TIXALL	EB	150	166	16	11%	1	\checkmark	~
	2004		WB	290	212	-78	-27%	5	\checkmark	\checkmark
ACLS16	2004	C28 IIXALL ROAD, TIXALL	EB	133	266	133	100%	9	×	×
ACLS17	2004	C27 HOLDIFORD ROAD. TIXALL	NB	301	411	109	36%	6	×	×
	2004		SB	125	143	18	14%	2	~	✓
LCLS01	2006	A51 LICHFIELD ROADSANDON	NB	511	529	18	3%	1	~	~
101004	2006		SB	506	501	-5	-1%	0	 ✓ 	 ✓
LCLS04	2006	A513 WEEPING CRUSS STAFFURD	EB WR	507	469 542	-38 6	-7% 1%	2	√ √	\checkmark
LCLS05	2007	A34 STONE ROAD TITTENSOR CHASE	SB	1083	1060	-23	-2%	1	• •	, √
LCLS06	2007	A34 STONE ROAD DARLASTON	NB	1110	1015	-95	-9%	3	\checkmark	\checkmark
LCLS07	2007	A34 STONE ROAD DARLASTON	SB	1043	1060	17	2%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark

						2007 AN	Peak - PO	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH	Flow
	2007		CD	1000	4404	105	00/	2	criteria	criteria
LCLS00	2007		3D NB	763	847	-105	-9%	3	v ./	v V
LOLOUS	2007		SB	715	755	40	6%	1	· ·	· ~
LCLS10	2007	A34 CANNOCK ROAD BROCTON	NB	808	803	-5	-1%	0	√	√
	2007		SB	754	644	-111	-15%	4	~	~
LCLS11	2007	A34 CANNOCK ROAD BROCTON	NB	767	880	114	15%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2007		SB	654	685	31	5%	1	\checkmark	√
PVOL07	2007	A34 STONE ROAD STAFFORD	NB	684	576	-107	-16%	4	\checkmark	×
	2007		SB	979	977	-2	0%	0	\checkmark	\checkmark
LCLS13	2007	A449 MOSS PIT STAFFORD	NB	688	964	276	40%	10	×	×
101814	2007		SB	686	860	1/4	25%	6	×	×
LOLO 14	2007	A449 DONSTON	SB	651	604	-10	-2%	2	×	V ./
LCLS15	2007	A51 LICHEIELD ROAD COLWICH	NB	628	636	8	1%	0	· ~	•
202010	2007		SB	628	584	-44	-7%	2	· ~	·
LCLS16	2007	A34 STONE ROAD STAFFORD	NB	524	557	33	6%	1	~	✓
	2007		SB	1092	1202	110	10%	3	\checkmark	✓
LCLS17	2007	A449 RISING BROOK STAFFORD	NB	704	1083	380	54%	13	×	×
	2007		SB	661	814	153	23%	6	×	×
LCLS18	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD STAFFORD	EB	549	694	146	27%	6	×	×
	2007		WB	289	300	11	4%	1	\checkmark	✓
LCLS19	2007	A518 WESTON ROAD STAFFORD	EB	544	606	62	11%	3	~	~
	2007		WB	843	795	-48	-6%	2	~	~
PVOL01	2007	A34 RADFORD BANK	EB	1128	1095	-33	-3%	1	~	~
DV/CL AC	2007		WB	1132	1473	341	30%	9	×	×
PVOL02	2007	B5405 WOODSEAVES ROAD, BROAD HEATH	EB	283	176	-107	-38%	7	×	×
	2007		VVB	155	161	1	4%	1	×	✓
P VOL03	2007	B3020 STONE ROAD, ECCLESHALL		172	234	19	1.0%	1	v ./	v ./
	2007	451 HIXON	NB	828	784	-44	-5%	2	* √	*
I VOLO4	2007		SB	683	671	-12	-2%	0	· ·	· ·
PVOL05	2007	A5013 STAFFORD ROAD. ECCLESHALL	NB	247	237	-11	-4%	1	· ~	· ~
	2007	,,	SB	441	441	0	0%	0	· √	· · ·
PVOL06	2007	A518 WESTON ROAD STAFFORD	EB	600	606	6	1%	0	\checkmark	✓
	2007		WB	868	795	-73	-8%	3	\checkmark	✓
PVOL10	2007	C93 HYDE LEA BANK STAFFORD	NB	108	74	-34	-31%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2007		SB	46	57	11	24%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
PVOL11	2007	A513 MAIN ROAD MILFORD	EB	457	411	-46	-10%	2	\checkmark	~
	2007		WB	425	419	-6	-1%	0	\checkmark	\checkmark
PVOL12	2007	C252 BLACKHEATH LANE STAFFORD	NB	517	463	-54	-10%	2	\checkmark	~
DVOL 40	2007		SB	271	264	-7	-3%	0	\checkmark	~
PVOL13	2007	D6 COMMON ROAD STAFFORD	NB	192	61	-131	-68%	12	×	×
DVOL 14	2007		SB	370	101	-269	-73%	18	×	×
FVOL14	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD STAFFORD	IND SB	925	808	72	9%	2	v .(v .(
PVOI 15	2007	A449 MOSS PIT STAFFORD	NB	776	946	170	22%	6	×	×
TVOLIS	2007		SB	952	909	-43	-5%	1	~	~
PVOL16	2007	A34 QUEENSWAY (GAOL SQUARE) STAFFORD CMT	EB	1198	1183	-15	-1%	0	✓	√
	2007		WB	925	835	-90	-10%	3	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR01	2006	B5026 ECCLESHALL ROAD WALTON	EB	353	303	-51	-14%	3	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2006		WB	292	316	24	8%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR02	2006	B5026 ECCLESHALL ROAD NORTON BRIDGE	SB	351	366	15	4%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
L	2006		NB	275	374	99	36%	5	\checkmark	✓
LCLS31	2007	A51 LONDON ROAD WESTON	NEB	722	722	0	0%	0	✓	✓
00004	2007		SWB	697	745	48	7%	2	 ✓ 	✓
SDR04	2007	A51 LICHFIELD ROAD SANDON	NB	648	680	32	5%	1	✓ 	✓
SUDOF	2007		SB	9/6	103	-222	-23% 10/	8	× ./	× ./
SURUS	2007	GZO HAALL KUAD STAFFUKD	WR	92 274	303	119	44%	7	~	v v
SDR06	2007	C252 BLACKHEATH LANE STAFFORD	NR	643	570	-73	-11%	3	~	~
00100	2007		SB	262	426	164	62%	9	×	×
SDR07	2007	A5013 CRESWELL GROVE CRESWELL	SB	721	775	54	7%	2	√	√
	2007		NB	395	462	67	17%	3	\checkmark	✓
SF9	2005	B5066 GAOL ROAD	NB	485	465	-19	-4%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2005		SB	502	407	-95	-19%	4	✓	✓
SDR09	2006	D3041 PARKSIDE AVENUE STAFFORD	SB	82	61	-20	-25%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2006		NB	44	87	43	96%	5	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR10	2006	A5103 ECCLESHALL ROAD STAFFORD	SB	1009	847	-162	-16%	5	\checkmark	×
	2006		NB	502	414	-88	-18%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR11	2006	A5013 ECCLESHALL ROAD STAFFORD	SB	1036	1003	-33	-3%	1	✓	✓
	2006		NB	478	517	39	8%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark

						2007 AN	l Peak - Po	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
SDR12	2006	D3040 FIRST AVENUE STAFFORD	NB	75	80	5	6%	1	✓	~
	2006		SB	81	57	-24	-30%	3	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR14	2006	D3040 HOLMCROFT ROAD STAFFORD	WB	123	158	35	29%	3	✓	~
	2006		EB	142	193	51	36%	4	√	\checkmark
SDR15	2006	A518 UTTOXETER ROAD STOWE	EB	362	365	3	1%	0	✓	√
	2006		WB	355	370	15	4%	1	√	✓
SDR16	2007	A518 WESTON BANK WESTON	EB	495	511	16	3%	1	✓	✓
00010	2007		WB	832	793	-39	-5%	1	✓	✓
SDR19	2006	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD COTES HEATH	NB	201	234	33	16%	2	✓	✓
00000	2006		SB	171	209	38	22%	3	✓	✓
SDR20	2006	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD HANCHURCH	SB	273	235	-38	-14%	2	✓	V
SDD21	2000	BE037 STONE BOAD MILWICH		233	232	-3	-1%	0	v (V (
SURZI	2000	B3027 STONE ROAD MILWICH	ED W/B	100	110	1	19/	0	v (v (
SDD33	2000		EB	80	60	-20	-25%	2	v ./	v ./
301122	2000		WB	101	65	-20	-25%	2 1	•	v √
SDR23	2000	C279 MILL LANE ACTON TRUSSEL	SB	150	171	22	15%	7	•	•
ODIV20	2000		NB	325	185	-140	-//3%	9	v	· ·
TC23-1	2000	A513 BEACONSIDE (E)	WB	640	564	-76	-12%	3	~	~
1025-1	2005		FB	932	1012	79	8%	3	· ·	· ·
SDR26	2006	D44 AL STONEIEL DS ROAD STAFFORD	FB	256	290	34	13%	2		
ODITEO	2006		WB	324	291	-33	-10%	2	·	· ·
SDR27	2006	C26 TEDDESLEY ROAD BROCTON	FB	153	141	-12	-8%	1	~	· ·
001121	2006		WB	308	118	-190	-62%	13	×	×
SDR28	2006	A518 NEWPORT ROAD HAUGHTON	EB	460	429	-31	-7%	1	√	√
02.120	2006		WB	271	247	-23	-9%	1	~	· ·
SDR29	2006	A5005 LIGHTWOOD ROAD ROUGH CLOSE	NB	271	165	-106	-39%	7	×	x
02.120	2006		SB	309	202	-106	-34%	7	×	×
SDR30	2005	C26 TEDDESLEY ROAD ACTON TRUSSELL	SB	187	189	1	1%	0	√	√
	2005		NB	119	130	12	10%	1	✓	√
SDR31	2006	C278 BEDNALL ROAD ACTON TRUSSELL	WB	140	144	4	3%	0	~	~
	2006		EB	77	111	34	43%	3	~	~
VOL01	2004	B5066 NORTH WALLS STAFFORD	EB	180	167	-14	-8%	1	~	√
TC03-1	2004	CHELL ROAD	SWB	861	1000	139	16%	5	√	x
	2004		NEB	1035	908	-126	-12%	4	✓	√
TC03-2	2004	BROAD STREET	WB	57	63	5	9%	1	√	√
	2004		EB	358	635	277	77%	12	×	×
TC03-4	2004	BROAD EYE HILL	SEB	832	803	-29	-3%	1	√	√
	2004		NWB	557	580	23	4%	1	✓	√
TC51-6	2004	BRIDGE STREET SERVICE ROAD	SEB	1	1	0	-8%	0	√	~
	2004		NWB	115	108	-7	-7%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC51-7	2004	OUTBOUND LICHFIELD ROAD CENTRAL	WB	51	43	-8	-16%	1	✓	\checkmark
TC51-7	2004	INBOUND LICHFIELD ROAD CENTRAL	WB	114	132	18	16%	2	√	\checkmark
VOL02	2005	C230 BILLINGTON LANE DERRINGTON	NB	167	94	-73	-44%	6	×	✓
	2005		SB	69	53	-16	-23%	2	√	\checkmark
ACLS19	2005	C230 DERRINGTON LANE DERRINGTON	NB	128	60	-69	-53%	7	×	~
	2005		SB	75	75	0	0%	0	√	\checkmark
LCLS03	2006	A518 CASTLE BANKSTAFFORD	EB	579	694	115	20%	5	✓	×
0.0.0	2006		WB	297	300	2	1%	0	√	 ✓
CP12-14	2007	CAR PARK 12-14	NB	348	338	-10	-3%		✓	✓
	2007		SB	45	44	-1	-2%	0	✓	✓
CP1-2	2007	CAR PARK 1-2	SB	65	57	-8	-13%	1	✓	✓ ✓
ODO 4	2007		INB	28	30	2	6%	0	✓	✓
6P3-4	2007	UAR PARK 3-4	INB	89 10	/6	-13	-14%	1	×	V (
CDF	2007		SD ED	13	10	-3	-24%	1	×	V
640	2007	UAR PARK D		0	02	-9	-13%		×	V
CP11	2007			12	42	0	-1%	0	*	*
UP 11	2007		FR	+Z 25	+2 24	_1	-1%	0	*	×
CP16	2007	CAR PARK 16	NR	7/	72		_3%	0	* ./	× ✓
0110	2007		SB	20	19	-2	-3%	0	• ✓	
CP17	2007	CAR PARK 17	NR	115	108	-7	-7%	1	· ·	•
0.17	2007		SB	1	1	,	-8%	0	•	
PC90	2006	D3010 CASTLE STREET	NEB	27	33	6	20%	1	✓	, √
	2006		SWB	38	20	-18	-47%	3	~	~
1	2000		0110	50		10	.170		· · ·	· · · · ·

						2007 PM	l Peak - PO	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
RSI01	2007	A449 MOSS PIT	NB	966	850	-116	-12%	4	~	~
	2007		SB	679	761	82	12%	3	\checkmark	\checkmark
RSI02	2007	A34 STONE ROAD	SB	548	673	125	23%	5	✓	×
DOIOO	2007		NB	814	820	6	1%	0	✓	✓
R5103	2007	A34 CANNOCK ROAD	NB SB	648 532	642	110	11%	3	✓ .(~
RSI04	2007	A513 MILEORD ROAD	WB	398	361	-37	-9%	2	✓ ✓	× √
110104	2007		FB	364	489	125	34%	6	×	×
RSI05	2007	A518 WESTON ROAD	WB	666	699	33	5%	1	√	√
	2007		EB	991	925	-66	-7%	2	~	~
RSI06	2007	A518 CASTLE BANK	EB	203	305	102	50%	6	×	×
	2007		WB	529	441	-88	-17%	4	✓	✓
RSI07	2007	A5013 ECCLESHALL ROAD	EB	554	593	39	7%	2	~	~
DOIGO	2007		WB	701	805	104	15%	4	 ✓ 	√
RS108	2007	A513 BEACONSIDE	EB	682	626	-56	-8%	2	✓	✓
DSI00	2007	DOVEY BOAD	VVB	1002	931	-72	-1%	2	✓	✓ /
K3109	2007	DOXET ROAD	WB	318	374	56	18%	2	✓ ✓	× ✓
RSI10	2007	B5066 SANDON ROAD	SB	400	368	-32	-8%	2	· ·	· ·
nono	2007		NB	504	347	-156	-31%	8	×	×
RSI11	2007	TIXALL ROAD	WB	224	266	42	19%	3	~	~
	2007		EB	598	570	-28	-5%	1	~	~
TRADS01	2007	M6 J13-14	NB	4766	4673	-93	-2%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2007		SB	5068	4822	-246	-5%	3	~	\checkmark
TRADS02	2007	M6 J14-15	NB	4186	4293	107	3%	2	√	✓
	2007		SB	4652	4507	-145	-3%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
TRADS03	2007	M6 J12-13	NB	4607	4605	-2	0%	0	√	✓
	2007		SB	4923	4858	-65	-1%	1	\checkmark	√
M6 J13	2005	A449 (N) SLIP	NB	984	850	-133	-14%	4	✓	~
NO 140	2005	N(0, (0), 0) (D	SB	692	826	134	19%	5	~	×
M6 J13	2005	M6 (S) SLIP	SB	265	458	193	73%	10	×	×
MC 142	2005		NB	323	385	62	19%	3	✓	√ ∕
IVIO J 13	2005	A449 (5) SLIP	SD NB	969	702	-37	Z%	1	× (× (
M6 113	2005	M6 (N) SI IP	NB	503	452	-57	-4 /0	2	v ./	v ./
100 010	2005		SB	556	433	-134	-24%	6	v	v v
M6 J13	2005	ROUNDABOUT	CW	354	370	16	5%	1	~	~
	2005		CW	781	738	-43	-5%	2	· ~	· ~
	2005		CW	417	421	4	1%	0	~	~
	2005		CW	782	799	17	2%	1	✓	\checkmark
M6 RBT	2005	ON ROUNDABOUT	CW	1064	1179	114	11%	3	~	√
	2005	ON ROUNDABOUT	CW	1264	1217	-48	-4%	1	✓	✓
	2005	ON ROUNDABOUT	CW	628	731	103	16%	4	✓	×
	2005	ON ROUNDABOUT	CW	1060	1029	-32	-3%	1	~	~
	2005	ON ROUNDABOUT	CW	963	1059	96	10%	3	~	~
	2005		WB	763	729	-34	-4%	1	 ✓ 	 ✓
	2005	FROM CRESSWELL GROVE	EB	540	505	-35	-7%	2	~	~
	2005		NB	340	467	126	31%	b A	×	×
	2005		2R	3/1	400	69	24%	4	√ ./	✓ ./
	2005	FROM A 3/	C\W	1007	801	-02	-0%	2 /	*	* ./
	2005	TO ECCLESHALL ROAD	EB	588	593	5	1%	0		✓
	2005	FROM ECCLESHALL ROAD	WB	751	805	54	7%	2	√	✓
	2005	TO M6 SOUTH	SB	848	775	-74	-9%	3	\checkmark	✓
	2005	TO M6 NORTH	NB	865	848	-17	-2%	1	√	~
RBT01	2004	FROM QUEENSWAY	SB	1124	1411	287	25%	8	×	×
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	CW	1015	1120	105	10%	3	\checkmark	~
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	CW	941	1046	105	11%	3	~	~
	2004	TO LICHFIELD ROAD	EB	708	979	271	38%	9	×	×
	2004	FROM LICHFIELD ROAD	WB	649	700	51	8%	2	✓	~
	2004	IO WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	SB	804	871	67	8%	2	✓	✓
	2004	FROM WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	NB	598	609	11	2%	0	 ✓ 	 ✓
	2004			186	8/5	89	11%	3	✓ ✓	✓ /
	2004		C/M	044 8/0	8//	50	10%	4	√	¥
	2004		FR	808	625	-183	-23%	7	v	v
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	CW/	1737	1633	-103	-6%	3	× √	× √
	2004	TO QUEENSWAY	NB	1201	1036	-165	-14%	5		· ~
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	CW	599	688	89	15%	4	√	✓
PC03	2004	A518 TENTERBANKS	SEB	836	772	-64	-8%	2	√	~
	2004		NWB	849	961	112	13%	4	✓	✓

Table A.2 – Stafford Link Flow Calibration – PM Peak 2007

						2007 PM	l Peak - P	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
PC20	2004	D385 SCHOOL LANE	NEB	13	82	69	546%	10	×	~
DODO	2004		SWB	20	18	-2	-9%	0	 ✓ 	 ✓
PC39	2005	A519 NEWCAS ILE ROAD	NB	244	246	2	1%	0	√ .(√ ./
PC42	2005	A5013 CRESSWELL GROVE	SEB	424	505	81	12%	4	v √	↓
	2005		NWB	751	729	-23	-3%	1	~	√
PC45	2005	A34 QUEENSWAY	SEB	875	998	123	14%	4	~	\checkmark
	2005		NWB	1179	774	-405	-34%	13	×	×
PC53	2006	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD	NEB	565	569	5	1%	0	 ✓ 	 ✓
PC54	2006		SWB	254	008	-24	2%	0	√ .(√ ./
FC34	2006	ASUIS STAFFORD ROAD	NWB	360	403	-24	-9% 12%	2	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
PC56	2006	A51	SEB	540	593	52	10%	2	~	~
	2006		NWB	504	516	11	2%	0	~	✓
PC60	2006	A34 STONE ROAD	NB	1379	1451	72	5%	2	~	~
	2006		SB	974	1250	276	28%	8	×	×
PC62	2006	D385 SCHOOL LANE	NEB	8	82	74	916%	11	×	✓ ✓
PC63	2006	A518	NEB	20	18 560	-8	-32%	2	×	×
1 003	2006		SWB	501	481	-20	-4%	1	✓ ✓	· ✓
PC64	2006	A34 STONE ROAD	NEB	1311	989	-323	-25%	10	×	x
	2006		SWB	1694	1096	-598	-35%	16	×	×
PC65	2006	A51 STONE ROAD	SEB	361	313	-48	-13%	3	~	~
Boss	2006	4510	NWB	347	326	-21	-6%	1	~	~
PC08	2004	A513	SEB	547	686	139	25%	6	×	×
PC48	2004	D33 WEST WAY	SEB	390	326	-63	-16%	2	✓ ✓	 ✓
1040	2006	Doo webr wat	NWB	501	474	-26	-5%	1	✓ ✓	· ✓
PC71	2007	A520 LONGTON ROAD	NB	559	537	-22	-4%	1	~	~
	2007		SB	382	344	-37	-10%	2	√	\checkmark
PC72	2007	A513 BEACONSIDE	SEB	634	541	-93	-15%	4	~	✓
0.070	2007	4.04	NWB	670	683	14	2%	1	 ✓ 	✓
PC73	2007	A34	NB	546	582	36	7% %	2	✓ (✓ ✓
PC74	2007	A518 LITTOXETER ROAD	NEB	354	347	-7	-2%	2	✓ ✓	 ✓
1014	2007		SWB	428	412	-15	-4%	1	· √	· ✓
PC75	2007	B5026 CHESTER ROAD	SEB	96	122	26	27%	2	~	~
	2007		NWB	217	235	18	8%	1	~	\checkmark
PC76	2007	A520 STAFFORD ROAD	NEB	879	959	80	9%	3	~	✓
DC77	2007		SWB	826	849	23	3%	1	√ ,	✓
PC//	2007	A34 STAFFORD ROAD	NWB	1043	1420	-153	-8%	2 4	√ √	✓ ✓
PC78	2007	A518 WESTON ROAD	NEB	899	902	3	0%	0	· ✓	· ✓
	2007		SWB	756	743	-13	-2%	0	\checkmark	✓
PC79	2007	B5066 SANDON ROAD	NEB	441	729	288	65%	12	×	×
	2007		SWB	377	435	58	15%	3	\checkmark	✓
PC80	2007	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD	NB	177	209	32	18%	2	√	✓
PC81	2007		SEB	245 617	228	-17	-1%	1	v v	~
1 001	2007		NWB	830	847	130	2%	1	~	~
PC82	2007	A34	SEB	1160	1204	44	4%	1	~	✓
	2007		NWB	1108	1107	-1	0%	0	~	~
TC11-1	2004	D67 KINGSWAY	SB	107	101	-6	-6%	1	~	 ✓
TC44.2	2004		NB	283	280	-3	-1%	0	√ ∕	✓ ✓
1011-2	2004	ADIO NEVERUKI KUAD (E)	FR	1156 4Q1	466	-14	-1%	1	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
TC11-3	2004	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	EB	598	563	-34	-6%	1	√	· ~
	2004		WB	1087	1060	-27	-2%	1	~	✓
TC13-1	2004	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD (NE)	SWB	224	296	72	32%	4	✓	✓
	2004		NEB	179	246	67	37%	5	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC13-3	2004	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD (SW)	NEB	223	277	54	24%	3	✓	✓ ✓
TC12-4	2004		2WR	2/3	285	-12	4%	1	√ √	√ √
1013-4	2004		WB	375	253	-9	-4%	7	×	×
TC14-3	2004	A513 MAIN ROAD (SE)	NWB	515	546	31	6%	1	~	√
	2004	- \- /	SEB	529	758	229	43%	9	×	×
SDR38	2006	A513 MAIN ROAD MILFORD	EB	406	566	160	39%	7	×	×
TOOL	2006		WB	440	503	63	14%	3	√	✓
IC20-1	2004	A449 RISING BROOK (N)	SB	944	1076	132	14%	4	√ 	√
TC20-2	2004	C75 RICKERSCOTE ROAD	WR	539	525	-10	-1%	1	v v	v √
	2004		EB	703	571	-132	-19%	5	✓	×
·		•			•		•			*

						2007 PN	l Peak - PO	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
TC20-4	2004	D32 CHURCHILL WAY	EB	33	0	-33	-100%	8	×	~
	2004		WB	58	73	15	26%	2	\checkmark	✓
TC22-1	2004	D8 CORPORATION ST (NW)	SEB	300	317	16	5%	1	✓	✓
TC22.2	2004	DZ BROSBECT ROAD	NWB	334	299	-35	-11%	2	✓	√ ∕
1022-2	2004	DI FROSFECT ROAD	FB	200	188	-32	-57%	4	✓ √	×
TC22-3	2004	D8 CORPORATION ST (SE)	NWB	407	555	148	36%	7	×	×
1022 0	2004		SEB	371	396	25	7%	1	√ 	√
TC22-4	2004	D7 CROOKED BRIDGE ROAD	EB	298	256	-42	-14%	3	~	~
	2004		WB	184	297	113	62%	7	×	×
TC23-3	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (W)	EB	603	626	23	4%	1	~	\checkmark
	2005		WB	1024	931	-93	-9%	3	✓	\checkmark
TC24-2	2005	D14 SANDALWOOD DRIVE	WB	15	28	13	83%	3	~	√
TOOLO	2005		EB	37	97	59	159%	7	×	✓
IC24-3	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD (S)	NB	775	769	-6	-1%	0	✓	✓
TC25-1	2005		SB	227	366	-95	-10%	4	v	v
1020-1	2005	D8 CONINION ROAD (N)	NB	322	352	31	10%	2	×	×
TC25-2	2005	D44 ASTONEIELDS ROAD	WB	294	229	-65	-22%	4	▼ ✓	× √
1020 2	2005		EB	349	334	-15	-4%	. 1	~	✓
TC25-3	2005	D6 COMMON ROAD (S)	NB	280	318	39	14%	2	~	~
	2005		SB	169	227	58	35%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC28-1	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	SB	784	730	-54	-7%	2	√	√
	2005		NB	749	728	-21	-3%	1	~	\checkmark
TC28-3	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	NB	936	914	-22	-2%	1	~	~
	2005		SB	711	693	-18	-3%	1	\checkmark	~
TC29-3	2005	D68 TOLLGATE DRIVE	NEB	273	305	32	12%	2	√	√
	2005		SWB	84	62	-22	-26%	3	\checkmark	✓
TC30-2	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	NB	904	885	-19	-2%	1	✓	~
TO 40.0	2005		SB	765	642	-123	-16%	5	✓	×
TC40-3	2006		WB	122	1/4	52	42%	4	✓	✓ ✓
TC41-3	2006			10	102	-10	-100%	0	×	✓
TC42-3	2006	D37 FRIARS ROAD	NB	144	192	-21	21%	2	v ./	v ./
10102	2006	Borritario Rone	SB	163	192	30	18%	2	· ·	· ·
TC45-1	2000	C376 RIVERWAY (N)	SB	545	529	-16	-3%	1	· ~	~
	2007		NB	567	454	-112	-20%	5	~	×
TC45-2	2007	D3019 FAIRWAY	WB	286	217	-69	-24%	4	~	√
	2007		EB	187	178	-9	-5%	1	~	\checkmark
TC45-3	2007	C376 RIVERWAY (S)	NB	423	341	-82	-19%	4	~	\checkmark
	2007		SB	501	455	-46	-9%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC46-4	2007	A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	EB	1079	1058	-21	-2%	1	~	√
	2007		WB	1022	930	-92	-9%	3	√	√
TC48-1	2007	C376 RIVERWAY	SWB	427	455	28	6%	1	✓	✓
TC 40.0	2007		NEB	299	341	43	14%	2	✓	✓
1048-2	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	NWB	484	1005	184	38%	8	x	x
TC/8-3	2007		NEB	326	359	320	10%	2	×	×
10-10-0	2007		SWB	62	179	118	191%	11	×	×
TC49-1	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	SEB	1055	1367	312	30%	9	×	×
	2007	- \ /	NWB	836	892	56	7%	2	√	√
TC49-2	2007	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVENUE	WB	161	232	71	44%	5	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2007		EB	166	79	-87	-52%	8	×	~
TC50-2	2007	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (E)	WB	189	198	9	5%	1	~	~
	2007		EB	32	69	37	119%	5	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC52-1	2007	U/C TESCO SUPERSTORE	NB	405	420	15	4%	1	✓	✓
TOTO O	2007		SB	419	367	-51	-12%	3	 ✓ 	✓
1052-2	2007	ASTO NEWPORT ROAD (W)	EB W/P	4/4	483	9	2%	0	✓ /	✓ ✓
TC52-2	2007			004 I 002	1040	12	10%	0	v v	v v
1032-3	2007	ASTO INE WE OKT KOAD (E)	FR	411	494	83	20%	4	*	× √
TC53-1	2007	D58 BRIDGE STREET	SB	485	565	81	17%	4	✓	
	2007		NB	37	51	14	37%	2	✓	√
TC53-2	2007	A518 LICHFIELD ROAD	WB	640	640	0	0%	0	~	~
	2007		EB	647	625	-22	-3%	1	√	√
TC53-3	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD	EB	368	307	-61	-17%	3	√	√
	2007		WB	809	836	28	3%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC54-1	2007	A5187 STATION ROAD	SB	840	781	-59	-7%	2	\checkmark	~
	2007		NB	802	839	38	5%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC54-3	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	EB	635	585	-49	-8%	2	✓	✓
	2007		WB	1231	1101	-129	-11%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark

						2007 PN	l Peak - PO	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
TC32-1	2005 2005	A519 NEWPORT ROAD (N)	SB NB	351 299	355 300	3	1% 0%	0	√ √	√ √
TC33-2	2005	B5027 LICHFIELD STREET	WB	439	451	12	3%	1	~	~
TC37-1	2005	B5026 STONE ROAD (NE)	EB SWB	464 199	429 191	-35 -7	-7% -4%	2	√ √	✓ ✓
100.1	2006		NEB	189	169	-19	-10%	1	· ✓	· ~
TC38-3	2006	B5066 SANDON ROAD	NB	456	447	-10	-2%	0	 ✓ 	 ✓
TC47-3	2006	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	SB NWB	230 943	228 956	-2	-1% 1%	0	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
	2007		SEB	1577	1576	0	0%	0	~	√
TC06-2	2004	COPE STREET	NB	595	657	63	11%	3	√	√
1006-3	2004	SOUTH WALLS (W)	WB	359	337	-22	-6%	4	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
TC08-1	2004	GREENGATE STREET (N)	SB	165	255	90	55%	6	×	\checkmark
TC08-2	2004	SOUTH WALLS	WB	318	287	-31	-10%	2	√ 	✓
TC08-4	2004	MILL BANK	EB	370	525	99 155	40%	6 7	x	×
	2004		WB	182	205	22	12%	2	~	✓
TC02-3	2004	A34 (N)	SB	934	1266	332	36%	10	×	×
TC10-2	2004	A513 BEACONSIDE	WB	1200	899	-227	-20%	° 7	×	x
	2004		EB	932	841	-91	-10%	3	√	\checkmark
TC10-4	2004	A34 TO/FROM M6 J14	EB	890	838	-53	-6%	2	 ✓ 	✓
PVOL24	2004	A34 QUEENSWAY (NORTH OF ASDA) STAFFORD	NB	903 1230	833	-70	-8% -13%	2	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
	2007		SB	1106	1292	186	17%	5	\checkmark	×
SF3	2005	SOUTH WALLS	WB	584	517	-67	-11%	3	 ✓ 	~
SF4	2005	A34 OUEENSWAY EAST ENTRY/EXIT TO GAOI	SEB	634	768	134	21%	5	~	×
SF5	2005	SQUARE	EB	892	998	106	12%	3	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2005		WB	1292	1216	-76	-6%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
SF6	2005	A518 CHELL ROAD	WB	1070	872	-198	-19%	6	×	×
	2005	A34 FOREGATE ROAD NORTH ENTRY/EXIT TO GAOL		1150	1004	-52	-378	2	•	•
SF7	2005	SQUARE	NB	1602	1579	-23	-1%	1	~	~
ACI 801	2005		SB	1096	1188	92	8%	3	 ✓ 	✓
ACLOUT	2004	B3000 SANDON ROAD, HILDERSTONE	SB	214	194	-39	-9%	1	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
ACLS02	2005	D321 ST. THOMAS LANE, STAFFORD	EB	277	272	-5	-2%	0	~	\checkmark
ACI 802	2005		WB	98	138	39	40%	4	 ✓ 	✓
ACLOUS	2006	C375 SILKMORE LANE, STAFFORD	SB	618	561	-76 -57	-11%	2	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
ACLS04	2006	C278 COMMON LANE, BEDNALL	NB	33	105	72	215%	9	×	~
A CL COF	2006		SB	22	112	91	422%	11	×	 ✓
ACLS05	2006	D3041 PARKSIDE AVENUE, STAFFORD	SB	95	238	143	151%	∠ 11	×	×
ACLS07	2004	D304 ACTON HILL ROAD ACTON TRUSSELL	SB	50	46	-4	-8%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
4.01.000	2004		NB	118	126	8	6%	1	 ✓ 	 ✓
ACLS08	2006	D34 BARNES ROAD,STAFFORD	SB NB	4 200	18 208	14	321%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark
ACLS10	2006	D34 BARNES ROAD, STAFFORD	NB	27	58	31	116%	5	\checkmark	~
101011	2006		SB	261	207	-53	-20%	3	 ✓ 	 ✓
ACLS11	2005	D41 PARKSIDE AVENUE, STAFFORD	EB WB	51 72	35 145	-16	-31% 100%	2	×	✓ ✓
ACLS12	2005	B5027 DAYHILLS, MILWICH	EB	64	88	24	37%	3	✓	~
	2005		WB	63	96	32	51%	4	~	~
ACLS13	2005	C93 HYDE LEA, STAFFORD	SB	61 38	76 60	15 22	25% 59%	2	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
ACLS14	2004	A519 SLINDON NR. ECCLESHALL	SB	226	228	3	1%	0	√	, ,
101015	2004		NB	227	209	-18	-8%	1	~	 ✓
ACLS15	2004	G27 TIXALL ROAD, TIXALL	EB WR	241	187 149	-54 26	-22% 21%	4	\checkmark	✓ ✓
ACLS16	2004	C28 TIXALL ROAD, TIXALL	EB	352	375	23	7%	1	√	✓
101017	2004		WB	134	257	123	91%	9	×	×
ACLS17	2004	C27 HOLDIFORD ROAD, TIXALL	NB	127 228	165 314	38 86	30%	3	√ √	√ √
LCLS01	2004	A51 LICHFIELD ROADSANDON	NB	568	514	-57	-10%	2	✓ ✓	v √
	2006		SB	513	496	-17	-3%	1	\checkmark	~
LCLS04	2006	A513 WEEPING CROSS STAFFORD	EB	546	678	132	24%	5	√ √	×
LCLS05	2006	A34 STONE ROAD TITTENSOR CHASE	SB	1129	996	-49 -134	-9%	4	× √	v √
LCLS06	2007	A34 STONE ROAD DARLASTON	NB	1144	1025	-119	-10%	4	\checkmark	~
LCLS07	2007	A34 STONE ROAD DARLASTON	SB	1057	996	-61	-6%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark

						2007 PN	Peak - P	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
LCLS08	2007	A34 THE FILLEYBROOKS STONE	SB	1115	876	-239	-21%	8	×	×
LCLS09	2007	A34 CANNOCK ROAD STAFFORD	NB	763	776	13	2%	0	✓	\checkmark
	2007		SB	808	668	-141	-17%	5	✓	×
LCLS10	2007	A34 CANNOCK ROAD BROCTON	NB	758	656	-101	-13%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2007		SB	824	652	-172	-21%	6	×	×
LCLS11	2007	A34 CANNOCK ROAD BROCTON	NB	668	706	38	6%	1	✓	✓
	2007		SB	762	720	-42	-6%	2	\checkmark	✓
PVOL07	2007	A34 STONE ROAD STAFFORD	NB	951	820	-131	-14%	4	~	
1 10201	2007		SB	690	673	-16	-2%	1		
101513	2007	A449 MOSS PIT STAFFORD	NB	817	777	-10	-2 /0	1		
LOLOIS	2007	A449 MOSS FIT STALLORD		017	694	-40	-0 /0	1	•	
101014	2007		SD ND	700	004	21	3%	1	v	v
LCLS14	2007	A449 DUNSTON	IND	769	700	62	0% 400/	2	~	~
1.01.0.1.5	2007		SB	857	703	-155	-18%	6	×	×
LCLS15	2007	A51 LICHFIELD ROAD COLWICH	NB	568	558	-10	-2%	0	~	✓
	2007		SB	595	598	3	1%	0	~	~
LCLS16	2007	A34 STONE ROAD STAFFORD	NB	1004	1039	35	3%	1	~	~
	2007		SB	677	680	2	0%	0	\checkmark	\checkmark
LCLS17	2007	A449 RISING BROOK STAFFORD	NB	812	896	83	10%	3	~	\checkmark
	2007		SB	680	866	186	27%	7	×	×
LCLS18	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD STAFFORD	EB	416	370	-46	-11%	2	✓	\checkmark
	2007		WB	747	698	-49	-7%	2	✓	√
LCLS19	2007	A518 WESTON ROAD STAFFORD	EB	742	752	9	1%	0	~	~
202010	2007		WR	674	604	-70	-10%	3		~
	2007		FB	1224	1601	267	200/	7	, v	
F VOLUT	2007			11004	1001	207	20%	1	× /	×
DV/OL 00	2007		VVB	1120	1062	-03	-0%	2	×	✓
PVOL02	2007	B5405 WOODSEAVES ROAD, BROAD HEATH	EB	151	149	-2	-1%	0	~	✓
	2007		WB	284	186	-99	-35%	6	×	\checkmark
PVOL03	2007	B5026 STONE ROAD, ECCLESHALL	EB	174	169	-5	-3%	0	~	~
	2007		WB	214	191	-23	-11%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
PVOL04	2007	A51 HIXON	NB	674	654	-20	-3%	1	~	✓
	2007		SB	814	777	-37	-5%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
PVOL05	2007	A5013 STAFFORD ROAD, ECCLESHALL	NB	407	376	-31	-8%	2	✓	✓
	2007		SB	242	217	-24	-10%	2	✓	✓
PVOL06	2007	A518 WESTON ROAD STAFFORD	FB	745	752	7	1%	0		
1 10200	2007			640	604	14	70/	2		
DVOL 10	2007		VV D	649	004	-44	-7%	2	•	v
PVOLIU	2007	C93 HTDE LEA BANK STAFFORD	IND	00	60	10	20%	1	✓	×
	2007		5B	95	76	-19	-20%	2	~	~
PVOL11	2007	A513 MAIN ROAD MILFORD	EB	407	542	135	33%	6	×	×
	2007		WB	470	395	-75	-16%	4	~	\checkmark
PVOL12	2007	C252 BLACKHEATH LANE STAFFORD	NB	269	290	22	8%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2007		SB	580	493	-87	-15%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark
PVOL13	2007	D6 COMMON ROAD STAFFORD	NB	291	121	-170	-58%	12	x	×
	2007		SB	140	65	-75	-53%	7	×	\checkmark
PVOL14	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD STAFFORD	NB	638	979	341	54%	12	×	×
_	2007		SB	653	700	48	7%	2	\checkmark	✓
PVOI 15	2007	A449 MOSS PIT STAFFORD	NR	989	909	-79	-8%	3	~	~
1.40210	2007		CD CD	702	761	59	80/	2		
	2007			000	000	50	70/	2	•	*
FVULIO	2007	ANT QUELING WAT (GAUL SQUARE) STAFFURD GWIT		1000	330	00	1 70	2	× 	×
CDD04	2007		VVD	1008	1/4	-234	-23%	Ö	×	×
SURUT	2006	DOUZO ECCLEORALL KOAD WALTON	EB	320	183	-137	-43%	9	×	×
0.0.0.0.0	2006		VVB	245	240	-5	-2%	0	√	✓
SDR02	2006	B5026 ECCLESHALL ROAD NORTON BRIDGE	SB	267	331	64	24%	4	✓	✓
	2006		NB	278	286	8	3%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
LCLS31	2007	A51 LONDON ROAD WESTON	NEB	649	674	26	4%	1	✓	√
	2007		SWB	641	638	-3	0%	0	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR04	2007	A51 LICHFIELD ROAD SANDON	NB	923	869	-53	-6%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2007		SB	611	634	23	4%	1	~	√
SDR05	2007	C28 TIXALL ROAD STAFFORD	EB	219	217	-2	-1%	0	✓	\checkmark
	2007		WB	94	102	7	8%	1	~	✓
SDR06	2007	C252 BLACKHEATH LANE STAFFORD	NB	290	447	157	54%	8	x	x
55100	2007		SB	620	659	39	6%	2		
SDP07	2007	A5013 CRESWELL CROVE CRESWELL	50 68	460	505	15	10%	2		*
SURUI	2007	AJUIS ONLOWELL GROVE ORESWELL	NP	700	700	-40	10%	2	*	× /
050	2007		IND ND	120	133	0	170	0	×	×
519	2005	BOUDD GAUL RUAD	INB	530	622	91	1/%	4	✓	 ✓
	2005		SB	573	576	3	1%	0	√	~
SDR09	2006	D3041 PARKSIDE AVENUE STAFFORD	SB	88	145	56	64%	5	✓	\checkmark
	2006		NB	33	35	2	5%	0	✓	~
SDR10	2006	A5103 ECCLESHALL ROAD STAFFORD	SB	500	457	-42	-8%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2006		NB	963	833	-130	-13%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR11	2006	A5013 ECCLESHALL ROAD STAFFORD	SB	503	557	54	11%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
	2006		NB	890	916	27	3%	1	~	✓
										*

						2007 PN	l Peak - Po	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
SDR12	2006	D3040 FIRST AVENUE STAFFORD	NB	73	59	-15	-20%	2	√	✓
00044	2006		SB	72	115	43	60%	4	✓	✓
SDR14	2006	D3040 HOLMCROFT ROAD STAFFORD	WB	129	124	-6	-4%	0	✓	✓
00045	2006		EB	178	117	-61	-34%	5	✓	✓
SDR15	2006	A518 UTIOXETER ROAD STOWE	EB	455	448	-7	-2%	0	✓	✓
00040	2006		WB	445	440	-5	-1%	0	~	~
SDR16	2007	A518 WESTON BANK WESTON	EB	3/1	592	222	60%	10	×	×
00040	2007		WB	469	495	26	6%	1	✓	✓
SDR19	2006	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD COTES HEATH	NB	172	209	37	21%	3	✓	✓
	2006		SB	184	228	44	24%	3	 ✓ 	✓
SDR20	2006	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD HANCHURCH	SB	360	296	-63	-18%	4	✓	~
00004	2006		NB	290	246	-44	-15%	3	✓	✓
SDR21	2006	B5027 STONE ROAD MILWICH	EB	92	88	-4	-4%	0	~	~
	2006		WB	93	96	3	3%	0	√	✓
SDR22	2006	B5027 THE LEVEL MILWICH	EB	83	48	-35	-42%	4	✓	✓
	2006		WB	97	83	-15	-15%	2	~	~
SDR23	2006	C279 MILL LANE ACTON TRUSSELL	SB	224	155	-69	-31%	5	✓	~
	2006		NB	94	190	96	103%	8	x	~
TC23-1	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (E)	WB	737	810	73	10%	3	√	√
	2005		EB	583	561	-22	-4%	1	√	√
SDR26	2006	D44 ALSTONFIELDS ROAD STAFFORD	EB	345	334	-10	-3%	1	√	√
	2006		WB	199	229	30	15%	2	\checkmark	~
SDR27	2006	C26 TEDDESLEY ROAD BROCTON	EB	255	69	-187	-73%	15	x	×
	2006		WB	151	149	-2	-1%	0	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR28	2006	A518 NEWPORT ROAD HAUGHTON	EB	322	289	-33	-10%	2	~	~
	2006		WB	483	441	-42	-9%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR29	2006	A5005 LIGHTWOOD ROAD ROUGH CLOSE	NB	339	259	-80	-24%	5	✓	\checkmark
	2006		SB	265	198	-67	-25%	4	✓	✓
SDR30	2005	C26 TEDDESLEY ROAD ACTON TRUSSELL	SB	105	125	20	19%	2	√	√
	2005		NB	180	182	2	1%	0	~	\checkmark
SDR31	2006	C278 BEDNALL ROAD ACTON TRUSSELL	WB	48	121	73	151%	8	x	\checkmark
	2006		EB	82	143	61	75%	6	×	~
VOL01	2004	B5066 NORTH WALLS STAFFORD	EB	352	399	47	13%	2	√	√
TC03-1	2004	CHELL ROAD	SWB	933	884	-48	-5%	2	√	~
	2004		NEB	1095	1093	-2	0%	0	✓	~
TC03-2	2004	BROAD STREET	WB	324	281	-43	-13%	2	√	~
	2004		EB	319	274	-45	-14%	3	√	~
TC03-4	2004	BROAD EYE HILL	SEB	777	697	-80	-10%	3	✓	✓
	2004		NWB	599	684	85	14%	3	✓	~
TC51-6	2004	BRIDGE STREET SERVICE ROAD	SEB	99	93	-6	-6%	1	√	~
	2004		NWB	3	3	0	-15%	0	✓	~
TC51-7	2004	OUTBOUND LICHFIELD ROAD CENTRAL	WB	116	164	48	41%	4	~	~
TC51-7	2004	INBOUND LICHFIELD ROAD CENTRAL	WB	80	74	-6	-7%	1	~	~
VOL02	2005	C230 BILLINGTON LANE DERRINGTON	NB	88	46	-42	-48%	5	~	~
	2005		SB	112	107	-5	-5%	0	✓	✓
ACLS19	2005	C230 DERRINGTON LANE DERRINGTON	NB	96	91	-5	-6%	1	✓	~
	2005		SB	70	79	9	13%	1	✓	✓
LCLS03	2006	A518 CASTLE BANKSTAFFORD	EB	407	370	-38	-9%	2	~	~
	2006		WB	692	698	6	1%	0	✓	~
CP12-14	2007	CAR PARK 12-14	NB	158	140	-18	-11%	1	~	~
01 12 14	2007	0/00/12/14	SB	349	339	-10	-3%	1	· ✓	
CP1-2	2007	CAR PARK 1-2	SB	8/	74	-10	-12%	1		
01 1-2	2007	OARTAIR 1-2	NB	84	78	-10	-7%	1		
CP3-4	2007	CAR PARK 3-4	NB	20	26	6	29%	1	•	
0104	2007	0/11/11/11/04	SB	116	104	-12	-11%	1		
CP5	2007		50	2	04	-12	-100%	2	•	*
0-5	2007			5 /5		-3	-100%		*	*
CP11	2007		\//B	25	22	-4	-0 /0	1	* ./	* ./
UPII	2007			20	50	-3	-12%		× (× (
CB16	2007			02	79	-3	-3%	0	×	V
01 10	2007		IND	90	10	-14	-10%	2	× /	× /
0047	2007		SB	115	110	1	1%	0	✓	×
CP17	2007	CAR PARK 1/	NB	3	3	U	-15%	0	✓	✓
	2007		SB	99	93	-6	-6%	1	✓	✓

Table A.3 – Stafford	Turn Flow	Calibration -	AM Peak 2007

					2007 AM Peak - PCUs					
Ref	Year	Count Location	Turn	То	Count	Modelled	% Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
M6 J13	2005	M6(N)	L	A449(N)	164	43	-74%	12	×	×
	2005		Α	ROUNDABOUT	257	352	37%	5	√	✓
	2005	ROUNDABOUT	L	A449(N)	693	945	36%	9	×	×
	2005		A	ROUNDABOUT	48	14	-72%	6	×	√
	2005	A449(N)	L	M6(S)	406	559	38%	7	×	×
	2005		A	ROUNDABOUT	570	354	-38%	10	×	×
	2005	ROUNDABOUT	L	M6(S)	48	14	-72%	6	×	√
	2005	100	A	ROUNDABOUT	257	352	37%	5	✓	√
	2005	M6(S)	L	A449(S)	15	13	-13%	1	~	~
	2005	DOUNDADOUT	A	ROUNDABOUT	313	564	80%	12	×	×
	2005	ROUNDABOUT	L		626	591	-b%	1	✓	√
	2005	A 440(S)	A	MG(N)	200	115	-43%	1	×	✓
	2005	A449(3)			429	205	00/	2	v ./	×
	2005		1	M6(N)	200	115	-076	7	v	*
	2005	ROONDADOOT	Δ	ROUNDABOUT	313	564	80%	12	×	×
TC56	2005	A34	1		69	13	-81%	9	×	~
	2005	7.01	A	ONTO ROUNDABOUT	903	889	-2%	0	~ √	·
	2005	ECCLESHALL ROAD	L	M6 (S) SLIP	116	108	-7%	1	·	· ~
	2005		A	ONTO ROUNDABOUT	404	466	15%	3	√	~
	2005	M6 (S) SLIP	L	CRESWELL GROVE	140	154	10%	1	√	√
	2005		A	ONTO ROUNDABOUT	799	754	-6%	2	√	~
	2005	CRESWELL GROVE	L	M6 (N) SLIP	58	53	-8%	1	√	√
	2005		Α	ONTO ROUNDABOUT	715	722	1%	0	√	~
	2005	M6 (N) SLIP	L	A34	199	176	-12%	2	√	√
	2005		A	ONTO ROUNDABOUT	335	364	9%	2	√	√
	2005	ROUNDABOUT	L	A34	930	917	-1%	0	√	√
	2005		A	ROUNDABOUT	611	583	-5%	1	√	√
	2005		L	ECCLESHALL ROAD	792	837	6%	2	√	√
	2005		Α	ROUNDABOUT	154	109	-29%	4	√	√
	2005		L	M6 (S) SLIP	769	744	-3%	1	√	~
	2005		Α	ROUNDABOUT	289	255	-12%	2	√	~
	2005		L	CRESWELL GROVE	334	307	-8%	2	√	~
	2005		А	ROUNDABOUT	359	414	15%	3	√	~
	2005		L	M6 (N) SLIP	331	390	18%	3	√	~
	2005		Α	ROUNDABOUT	773	778	1%	0	√	~
TC55	2004	LICHFIELD ROAD	L	WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	111	44	-60%	8	x	√
	2004		Α	ROUNDABOUT	714	853	20%	5	√	×
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	L	WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	434	509	17%	3	√	~
	2004		Α	ROUNDABOUT	120	17	-86%	12	×	×
	2004	WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	L	NEWPORT ROAD	62	20	-67%	6	×	√
	2004		Α	ROUNDABOUT	670	792	18%	5	√	×
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	L	NEWPORT ROAD	371	384	3%	1	~	√
	2004		Α	ROUNDABOUT	463	486	5%	1	√	~
	2004	CENTRE GYRATORY	R	ROUNDABOUT	36	43	17%	1	√	√
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	R	CENTRE GYRATORY	111	132	19%	2	✓	~
	2004	QUEENSWAY	L	LICHFIELD ROAD	332	369	11%	2	✓	✓
	2004		Α	ROUNDABOUT	580	369	-36%	10	×	×
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	L	LICHFIELD ROAD	377	372	-1%	0	✓	✓
	2004		A	ROUNDABOUT	85	88	4%	0	√	~
TC10	2004	A34 STONE ROAD (N)	L	A513 BEACONSIDE	424	473	12%	2	√	√
	2004		Α	A34 STONE ROAD (S)	551	833	51%	11	×	×
	2004		R	A34 TO/FROM M6 J14	423	396	-6%	1	√	√
	2004	A513 BEACONSIDE	L	A34 STONE ROAD (S)	290	104	-64%	13	×	×
	2004		A	A34 TO/FROM M6 J14	452	440	-3%	1	√	✓
	2004		R	A34 STONE ROAD (N)	287	259	-10%	2	√	√
	2004	A34 STONE ROAD (S)	L	A34 TO/FROM M6 J14	69	31	-55%	5	√	~
	2004		A	A34 STONE ROAD (N)	296	464	56%	9	×	×
	2004		R	A513 BEACONSIDE	272	81	-70%	14	×	×
	2004	A34 TO/FROM M6 J14	L	A34 STONE ROAD (N)	332	340	3%	0	√	 ✓
	2004		A	A513 BEACONSIDE		659	-1%	0	1	✓
7011	2004	DOT KINOOMAN	ĸ	R A34 STONE ROAD (S)		39	-58%		×	✓
IC11	2004	D67 KINGSWAY	L	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	165	156	-5%		√	✓
	2004		ĸ	R A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)		/8	9%	1	√	√
	2004	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	A	A A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)		425	-10%	2	√	√
	2004		ĸ		40	44	0% C 49/	6	✓ 	✓ ✓
	2004	ADIO NEVIFUKI KUAD (VV)	L		CO	23	-04%	0	×	*
1	2004		A	ADIO NEWFORI RUAD (E)	030	907	3%	L 2	✓	✓

NTKINS

					2007 AM Peak - PCU		ak - PCUs			
Ref	Year	Count Location	Turn	То	Count	Modelled	% Diff	GEH	GEH	Flow
					oount	mouomou	/• D	01	criteria	criteria
TC16	2004	D58 GREENGATE STREET	L	B5066 SOUTH WALLS	22	8	-66%	4	~	~
	2004		A	D58 BRIDGE STREET	33	203	520%	16	×	×
	2004		R	B5066 MILL BANK	9	0	-100%	4	✓ ✓	 ✓
	2004	B5066 SOUTH WALLS	L	D58 BRIDGE STREET	98	74	-24%	3	~	✓
	2004		A	BOUGO MILL BANK	170	426	151%	15	×	×
	2004	D58 BRIDGE STREET	L	BOUGO MILL BAINK	10	0	-100%	-	× (× (
	2004		R A	B5066 SOUTH WALLS	109	47	210/	3	v (v (
	2004		R	D58 BRIDGE STREET	134	/18	-6/%	9	v	• -/
TC22	2004	D8 CORPORATION ST (NW)		D7 PROSPECT ROAD	40	30	-0470	2	~	•
1022	2004		Δ	D8 CORPORATION ST (SE)	223	255	14%	2		
	2004		R		27	0	-100%	7	×	·
	2004	D7 PROSPECT ROAD	1	D8 CORPORATION ST (SE)	27	18	-33%	2	1	· ·
	2004	57111001201110715	A	D7 CROOKED BRIDGE ROAD	68	43	-37%	3	· ·	· ·
	2004		R	D8 CORPORATION ST (NW)	28	30	9%	0	· ·	· ·
	2004	D8 CORPORATION ST (SE)	L	D7 CROOKED BRIDGE ROAD	94	312	233%	15	×	×
	2004		A	D8 CORPORATION ST (NW)	219	224	2%	0	√	1
	2004		R	D7 PROSPECT ROAD	17	0	-98%	6	x	1
	2004	D7 CROOKED BRIDGE ROAD	L	D8 CORPORATION ST (NW)	30	Ő	-100%	8	×	~
	2004		A	D7 PROSPECT ROAD	51	56	8%	1	√	~
	2004		R	D8 CORPORATION ST (SE)	108	107	-1%	0	~	~
TC23	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (E)	L	D6 COMMON ROAD	63	19	-69%	7	×	~
	2005		A	A513 BEACONSIDE (W)	577	545	-6%	1	√	√
	2005	D6 COMMON ROAD	L	A513 BEACONSIDE (W)	192	61	-68%	12	×	×
	2005		R	A513 BEACONSIDE (E)	13	0	-100%	5	√	1
	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (W)	A	A513 BEACONSIDE (E)	919	1012	10%	3	√	√
	2005		R	D6 COMMON ROAD	365	82	-78%	19	×	x
TC24	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD (N)	L	D14 SANDALWOOD DRIVE	4	3	-32%	1	√	√
-	2005		А	B5066 SANDON ROAD (S)	388	368	-5%	1	1	✓
	2005		R	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	159	114	-28%	4	√	√
	2005	D14 SANDALWOOD DRIVE	L	B5066 SANDON ROAD (S)	17	32	86%	3	√	√
	2005		A	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	12	25	112%	3	√	1
	2005		R	B5066 SANDON ROAD (N)	4	15	275%	4	~	✓
	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD (S)	L	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	207	152	-26%	4	1	✓
	2005		А	B5066 SANDON ROAD (N)	205	222	8%	1	~	✓
	2005		R	D14 SANDALWOOD DRIVE	6	24	295%	5	√	~
	2005	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	L	B5066 SANDON ROAD (N)	111	86	-23%	3	√	~
	2005		Α	D14 SANDALWOOD DRIVE	3	16	442%	4	√	✓
	2005		R	B5066 SANDON ROAD (S)	191	188	-2%	0	√	~
TC25	2005	D6 COMMON ROAD (N)	L	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	244	218	-11%	2	√	√
	2005		А	D6 COMMON ROAD (S)	77	106	38%	3	√	√
	2005	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	L	D6 COMMON ROAD (S)	82	78	-6%	1	√	√
	2005		R	D6 COMMON ROAD (N)	165	213	30%	4	√	√
	2005		Α	D6 COMMON ROAD (N)	54	129	138%	8	×	√
	2005		R	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	120	72	-40%	5	√	√
TC28	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	L	B5066 SANDON ROAD	96	109	14%	1	\checkmark	~
	2005		Α	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	798	772	-3%	1	√	✓
	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD	L	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	360	295	-18%	4	~	~
	2005		R	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	160	129	-20%	3	~	✓
	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	Α	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	584	560	-4%	1	✓	✓
	2005		R	B5066 SANDON ROAD	85	86	1%	0	~	~
TC29	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	Α	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	852	754	-12%	3	~	~
	2005		R	D68 TOLLGATE DRIVE	151	0	-100%	17	×	×
	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	L	D68 TOLLGATE DRIVE	162	287	77%	8	×	×
	2005		Α	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	585	398	-32%	8	×	×
	2005	D68 TOLLGATE DRIVE	Α	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	87	0	-100%	13	×	~
	2005		R	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	64	127	99%	6	×	~
TC30	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	A	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	917	915	0%	0	~	~
	2005		R	B5066 SANDON ROAD	277	144	-48%	9	×	×
	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	L	B5066 SANDON ROAD	231	187	-19%	3	~	~
	2005		Α	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)		537	5%	1	~	✓
	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD	L	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)		108	-35%	5	~	~
	2005		R	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)		166	-16%	2	~	~
TC40	2006	A449 WOLVERHAMPTON RD (N)	R	D37 PARK STREET	51	1	-99%	10	×	√
	2006	A449 WOLVERHAMPTON RD (S)	L	D37 PARK STREET	191	151	-21%	3	~	~
TC42	2006	D37 AUSTIN FRIARS	L	A449 WOLVERHAMPTN RD (NE)	80	2	-97%	12	×	√
	2006		R	A449 WOLVERHAMPTN RD (SW	77	160	106%	8	×	\checkmark

NTKINS

		Vear Count Location T				2	007 AM Pe	ak - PCUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Turn	То	Count	Modelled	% Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
TC43	2006	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	L	D37 FRIARS ROAD	51	44	-13%	1	√	√
	2006	D37 FRIARS ROAD	L	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	178	163	-9%	1	√	✓
	2006		R	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	12	0	-100%	5	√	~
	2006	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	R	D37 FRIARS ROAD	135	144	6%	1	√	~
TC45	2007	C376 RIVERWAY (N)	L	D3019 FAIRWAY	152	145	-4%	1	√	~
	2007		Α	C376 RIVERWAY (S)	279	230	-17%	3	√	√
	2007	D3019 FAIRWAY	L	C376 RIVERWAY (S)	89	44	-50%	5	√	√
	2007		R	C376 RIVERWAY (N)	239	294	23%	3	√	√
	2007	C376 RIVERWAY (S)	Α	C376 RIVERWAY (N)	358	220	-39%	8	×	×
	2007		R	D3019 FAIRWAY	23	12	-45%	2	✓	✓
TC46	2007	D3008 CORPORATION STREET	L	A518 WESTON ROAD	22	65	196%	7	×	~
	2007		Α	C376 RIVERWAY	213	246	16%	2	√	√
	2007		R	A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	133	81	-39%	5	√	√
	2007	A518 WESTON ROAD	L	C376 RIVERWAY	64	39	-39%	4	√	√
	2007		Α	A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	737	741	1%	0	√	√
	2007		R	D3008 CORPORATION STREET	85	192	125%	9	×	×
	2007	C376 RIVERWAY	L	A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	269	111	-59%	11	×	×
	2007		Α	D3008 CORPORATION STREET	206	362	76%	9	×	×
	2007		R	A518 WESTON ROAD	76	41	-46%	5	√	✓
	2007	A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	L	D3008 CORPORATION STREET	110	98	-11%	1	√	✓
	2007		Α	A518 WESTON ROAD	560	661	18%	4	√	×
	2007		R	C376 RIVERWAY	140	98	-29%	4	√	√
TC48	2007	C376 RIVERWAY	L	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	212	89	-58%	10	×	×
	2007		Α	N/A UNKNOWN	31	181	494%	15	×	×
	2007		R	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	57	5	-92%	9	×	√
	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	L	N/A UNKNOWN	6	0	-100%	3	√	√
	2007		A	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	754	828	10%	3	√	√
	2007	N/A UNKNOWN	L	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	24	100	318%	10	×	~
	2007		A	C376 RIVERWAY	333	232	-30%	6	×	×
	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	L	C376 RIVERWAY	57	12	-79%	8	×	√
	2007		A	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	548	694	27%	6	×	×
	2007		R	N/A UNKNOWN	44	36	-18%	1	√	√
TC49	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	L	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVENUE	77	33	-57%	6	×	✓
	2007		A	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	753	781	4%	1	~	~
	2007	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVENUE	L	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	39	140	265%	11	×	×
	2007		R	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	33	1	-97%	8	×	~
	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	A	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	1056	1137	8%	2	~	~
70.54	2007		R	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVENUE	232	337	45%	6	×	×
1C50	2007	D3019 FAIRWAY	L	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (E)	120	156	31%	3	✓	✓
	2007		R	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (W)	151	124	-18%	2	✓	✓
	2007	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (E)	A	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (W)	13	17	33%	1	✓	✓
	2007		R	D3019 FAIRWAY	26	23	-10%	1	~	~
	2007	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (W)	L		185	314	70%	8	×	×
TOFO	2007		A	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (E)	96	54	-43%	5	✓	✓
1052	2007	0/C TESCO SUPERSTORE	L D	AS18 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	07	70	-070	1	×	✓
	2007		Γ. Λ		00	524	-10%	1	v ./	*
	2007	ASTO NEWFORT ROAD (W)	P		70	107	350/	2	¥ ./	*
	2007				82	113	38%	3	* ✓	* ✓
	2007	ASTO NEWLONT NOAD (E)	Δ	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	434	483	11%	2	· /	· /
TC53	2007	D58 BRIDGE STREET	<u></u>	A518 LICHEIELD ROAD	196	217	11%	2	•	•
	2007	Doo Bridde Officer	R	A518 NEWPORT ROAD	83	108	31%	3	√	·
	2007	A518 LICHFIELD ROAD	A	A518 NEWPORT ROAD	364	370	2%	0	· ·	· ·
	2007		R	D58 BRIDGE STREET	19	35	88%	3	✓	· ·
	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD	A	A518 LICHFIFI D ROAD	519	440	-15%	4	✓	
TC54	2007	A5187 STATION ROAD		A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	210	204	-3%	0	√	· ·
	2007		R	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	356	345	-3%	1	1	√
	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	A	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	194	196	1%	0	1	~
	2007		R	A5187 STATION ROAD	270	349	29%	4	~	~
	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	L	AS187 STATION ROAD		506	10%	2	√	✓
	2007		A	A5187 STATION ROAD A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)		426	3%	1	√	√
TC20SPLIT	2004	CHURCHILL ROAD	Ĺ	RISING BROOK (N)		0	-100%	7	×	~
	2004		R	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS	5	0	-100%	3	√	√
	2004	RISING BROOK (N)	Α	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS		791	23%	5	√	×
	2004	· · ·	R	CHURCHILL ROAD		0	-100%	4	√	✓
	2004	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS	L	CHURCHILL ROAD	57	12	-79%	8	×	√
	2004		А	RISING BROOK (N)	777	1193	54%	13	×	×
-										

					2007 AM Peak - PCUs					
Ref	Year	Count Location	Turn	То	Count	Modelled	% Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
TC20SPLIT	2004	RICKERSCOTE ROAD	L	RISING BROOK (S) 251		208	-17%	3	~	~
	2004		R	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS	290	249	-14%	3	√	✓
	2004	RISING BROOK (S)	Α	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS	544	956	76%	15	×	×
	2004		R	RICKERSCOTE ROAD	184	127	-31%	5	√	✓
	2004	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS	L	RICKERSCOTE ROAD	225	184	-18%	3	√	✓
	2004		Α	RISING BROOK (S)	426	606	42%	8	×	×
TC06	2004	SOUTH WALLS (E)	R	COPE STREET	396	430	8%	2	~	~
	2004		A	SOUTH WALLS (W)	548	598	9%	2	√	√
	2004		L	COPE STREET	226	215	-5%	1	√	√
TC03	2004	CHELL ROAD	L	BROAD STREET	160	248	55%	6	×	~
	2004		Α	VICTORIA ROAD	427	490	15%	3	√	✓
	2004		R	BROAD EYE HILL	274	263	-4%	1	√	√
	2004	BROAD STREET	L	VICTORIA ROAD	12	11	-5%	0	√	√
	2004		Α	BROAD EYE HILL	16	14	-13%	1	√	√
	2004		R	CHELL ROAD	29	37	29%	1	√	√
	2004	VICTORIA ROAD	L	BROAD EYE HILL	263	303	15%	2	√	✓
	2004		А	CHELL ROAD	551	427	-23%	6	×	×
	2004		R	BROAD STREET	142	263	86%	9	×	×
	2004	BROAD EYE HILL	L	CHELL ROAD	455	444	-2%	0	~	~
	2004		Α	BROAD STREET	BROAD STREET 55 125 128% 7		×	√		
	2004		R	VICTORIA ROAD	317	234	-26%	5	~	~

Table A.4 – Stafford	Turn Flow	Calibration – I	PM Peak 2007
		• and a definition of the second seco	

					2007 PM Peak - PCUs					
Ref	Year	Count Location	Turn	То	Count	Modelled	% Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
M6 J13	2005	M6(N)	L	A449(N)	226	65	-71%	13	×	×
	2005		Α	ROUNDABOUT	329	356	8%	1	√	✓
	2005	ROUNDABOUT	L	A449(N)	758	785	4%	1	√	√
	2005		Α	ROUNDABOUT	24	14	-44%	2	√	~
	2005	A449(N)	L	M6(S)	240	444	85%	11	×	×
	2005		A	ROUNDABOUT	451	382	-15%	3	√	√
	2005	ROUNDABOUT	L	M6(S)	24	14	-44%	2	√	√
	2005		A	ROUNDABOUT	329	356	8%	1	~	~
	2005	M6(S)	L	A449(S)	6	17	173%	3	~	~
	2005		A	ROUNDABOUT	317	369	16%	3	~	~
	2005	ROUNDABOUT	L	A449(S)	681	686	1%	0	~	~
	2005		A	ROUNDABOUT	100	52	-48%	5	√	✓
	2005	A449(S)	L	M6(N)	403	401	-1%	0	√	√
	2005	DOUNDADOUT	A	ROUNDABOUT	465	430	-7%	2	~	✓
	2005	ROUNDABOUT	L	M6(N)	100	53	-47%	5	 ✓ 	✓
TOFO	2005	424	A		317	368	16%	3	~	√
1056	2005	A34	L	ECCLESHALL ROAD	96	45	-53%	0	×	✓
	2005		A		918	846	-8%	2	√	✓
	2005	ECCLESHALL ROAD	L		135	80	-41%	5	√	✓
	2005		A		611	725	19%	4	√	×
	2005	MIG (S) SLIP	L		740	138	-0%	1	√	×
	2005		A		/12	710	0%	0	√	✓
	2005	GRESWELL GROVE	L		19	6	-09%	4	√	✓
	2005		A		517	499	-4%	1	√	✓
	2005	MIG (N) SLIP	L		159	150	-0%	1	√	✓
	2005		A		209	310	48%	0	×	×
	2005	ROUNDABOUT	L		842	795	-0%	2	√	×
	2005		A		414	422	2%	0	√	×
	2005		L		409	192	12%	3	✓	×
	2005		A	MG (S) SLID	709	102	33%	4	✓	×
	2005		L		246	094	-2%	1	✓	×
	2005		A		611	504	-3%	1	v /	× (
	2005		L ^		246	460	-3%	6	v	v
	2005		A		340	409	30%	0	*	*
	2005		L ^		1059	719	220/	11	×	*
TC55	2003		1		83	77	-92/0	1	*	~
1055	2004	LIGHTIELD ROAD	Δ		566	624	10%	2	•	•
	2004				721	79/	10%	3	*	* -/
	2004	ROONDABOOT	Δ	ROLINDABOLIT	220	251	14%	2	· ✓	· ·
	2004	WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD		NEWPORT ROAD	77	201	-73%	8	v v	•
	2004		Δ	ROUNDABOUT	521	588	13%	3	~	•
	2004	ROUNDABOUT		NEWPORT ROAD	467	619	33%	7	· ×	×
	2004		A	ROUNDABOUT	319	256	-20%	4	1	1
	2004	CENTRE GYRATORY	R	ROUNDABOUT	89	164	84%	7	×	~
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	R	CENTRE GYRATORY	74	74	0%	0	√	~
	2004	QUEENSWAY	L	LICHFIELD ROAD	303	422	39%	6	×	×
	2004		Α	ROUNDABOUT	822	422	-49%	16	×	×
	2004	ROUNDABOUT	L	LICHFIELD ROAD	405	557	37%	7	×	×
	2004		А	ROUNDABOUT	193	131	-32%	5	√	√
TC10	2004	A34 STONE ROAD (N)	L	A513 BEACONSIDE	237	349	47%	7	×	×
	2004		Α	A34 STONE ROAD (S)	390	495	27%	5	√	×
	2004		R	A34 TO/FROM M6 J14	271	421	55%	8	×	×
	2004	A513 BEACONSIDE	L	A34 STONE ROAD (S)	199	143	-28%	4	√	✓
	2004		Α	A34 TO/FROM M6 J14	547	372	-32%	8	×	×
	2004		R	A34 STONE ROAD (N)	380	384	1%	0	~	~
	2004	A34 STONE ROAD (S)	L	A34 TO/FROM M6 J14	84	40	-53%	6	×	✓
	2004		Α	A34 STONE ROAD (N)	611	722	18%	4	✓	×
	2004		R	A513 BEACONSIDE	245	58	-76%	15	×	×
	2004	A34 TO/FROM M6 J14	L	A34 STONE ROAD (N)	366	369	1%	0	~	~
	2004		Α	A513 BEACONSIDE	450	433	-4%	1	✓	~
	2004		R	R A34 STONE ROAD (S)		36	-53%	5	√	~
TC11	2004	D67 KINGSWAY	L	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	52	53	3%	0	√	~
	2004		R	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	56	48	-14%	1	√	~
	2004	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	A	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	1031	1012	-2%	1	√	✓
	2004		R	D67 KINGSWAY	125	130	4%	0	✓	✓
	2004	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	L	D67 KINGSWAY	159	150	-5%	1	√	√
1	2004		A	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	439	413	-6%	1	√	√

					2007 PM Peak - PCUs					
Ref	Year	Count Location	Turn	То	Count	Modelled	% Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
TC16	2004	D58 GREENGATE STREET	L	B5066 SOUTH WALLS	40	46	15%	1	~	~
	2004		Α	D58 BRIDGE STREET	101	210	108%	9	×	×
	2004		R	B5066 MILL BANK	20	0	-100%	6	×	~
	2004	B5066 SOUTH WALLS	L	D58 BRIDGE STREET	165	83	-50%	7	×	√
	2004		A	B5066 MILL BANK	154	205	33%	4	√	√
	2004	D58 BRIDGE STREET	L	B5066 MILL BANK	1	0	-100%	1	~	~
	2004		R	B5066 SOUTH WALLS	30	51	67%	3	~	~
	2004		A	B5066 SOUTH WALLS	153	249	63%	7	×	√
	2004		R	D58 BRIDGE STREET	199	276	38%	5	√	√
1C22	2004	D8 CORPORATION ST (NW)	L	D7 PROSPECT ROAD	51	40	-21%	2	~	√
	2004		A	D8 CORPORATION ST (SE)	225	276	23%	3	~	✓
	2004		R		25	0	-99%	1	×	✓
	2004	D7 PROSPECT ROAD	L		14	3	-79%	4	✓	✓
	2004		P		20	32	-22%	2	v /	× (
	2004	D8 CORPORATION ST (SE)			118	265	125%	2 11	v	v
	2004	Do CORFORATION ST (SE)	Δ		260	203	12570	1	~	~
	2004		R		19	8	-57%	3	· ✓	· ·
	2004	D7 CROOKED BRIDGE ROAD		D8 CORPORATION ST (NW)	35	0	-100%	8	· ×	
	2004		A	D7 PROSPECT ROAD	130	140	7%	1	~	· ~
	2004		R	D8 CORPORATION ST (SE)	132	116	-12%	1	· ~	· ~
TC23	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (E)	L	D6 COMMON ROAD	33	0	-100%	8	×	~
	2005		A	A513 BEACONSIDE (W)	704	810	15%	4	√	×
	2005	D6 COMMON ROAD	L	A513 BEACONSIDE (W)	320	121	-62%	13	×	×
	2005		R	A513 BEACONSIDE (E)	69	0	-100%	12	×	~
	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (W)	Α	A513 BEACONSIDE (E)	514	561	9%	2	~	~
	2005		R	D6 COMMON ROAD	89	65	-27%	3	√	~
TC24	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD (N)	L	D14 SANDALWOOD DRIVE	6	32	431%	6	×	~
	2005		Α	B5066 SANDON ROAD (S)	377	346	-8%	2	√	√
	2005		R	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	93	57	-39%	4	√	~
	2005	D14 SANDALWOOD DRIVE	L	B5066 SANDON ROAD (S)	10	19	86%	2	√	~
	2005		A	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	2	6	194%	2	√	√
	2005		R	B5066 SANDON ROAD (N)	3	3	1%	0	√	√
	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD (S)	L	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	179	166	-7%	1	√	√
	2005		A	B5066 SANDON ROAD (N)	572	568	-1%	0	~	~
	2005		R	D14 SANDALWOOD DRIVE	24	35	47%	2	~	√
	2005	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	L	B5066 SANDON ROAD (N)	250	159	-37%	6	×	√
	2005		A	D14 SANDALWOOD DRIVE	8	30	290%	5	√	√
TOOF	2005		ĸ	B5066 SANDON ROAD (S)	219	146	-33%	5	✓	√
1025	2005	D6 COMMON ROAD (N)	L	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	1/4	184	6%	1	√	√
	2005		A	D6 COMMON ROAD (S)	61	183	199%	71	×	×
	2005	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	L	D6 COMMON ROAD (S)	105	44	-58%	1	×	✓
	2005		R A		125	167	2/0/	2	v /	×
	2005		R		1/2	151	6%	1	¥	×
TC:28	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)		B5066 SANDON ROAD	166	145	-12%	2	v V	v √
1020	2005		A	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	619	585	-5%	1		
	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD	L	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	92	107	16%	2	✓	✓
	2005		R	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	89	81	-8%	1	√	√
	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	A	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	661	647	-2%	1	√	~
	2005	(-)	R	B5066 SANDON ROAD	275	268	-3%	0	√	√
TC29	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	Α	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	623	425	-32%	9	×	×
	2005		R	D68 TOLLGATE DRIVE	40	0	-100%	9	×	√
	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	L	D68 TOLLGATE DRIVE	44	62	41%	2	√	√
	2005		Α	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	703	666	-5%	1	√	√
	2005	D68 TOLLGATE DRIVE	Α	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	121	0	-100%	16	×	×
	2005		R	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	152	305	101%	10	×	×
TC30	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)	A	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	529	534	1%	0	~	~
	2005		R	B5066 SANDON ROAD	175	159	-9%	1	√	~
	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	L	B5066 SANDON ROAD	233	210	-10%	2	~	~
	2005		Α	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)		676	1%	0	√	✓
	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD	L	A513 BEACONSIDE (N)		239	-15%	3	√	~
	2005		R	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	236	109	-54%	10	×	×
TC40	2006	A449 WOLVERHAMPTON RD (N)	R	D37 PARK STREET	25	0	-100%	7	×	✓
	2006	A449 WOLVERHAMPTON RD (S)	L	D37 PARK STREET	97	158	63%	5	√	√
TC42	2006	D37 AUSTIN FRIARS	L	A449 WOLVERHAMPTN RD (NE)	58	11	-80%	8	×	√
	2006		R	A449 WOLVERHAMPTN RD (SW	156	166	7%	1	√	√

NTKINS

					2007 PM Peak - PCUs					
Ref	Year	Count Location	Turn	То	Count	Modelled	% Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
TC43	2006	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	L	D37 FRIARS ROAD	44	6	-87%	8	×	√
	2006	D37 FRIARS ROAD	L	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	140	174	24%	3	~	✓
	2006		R	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	4	0	-100%	3	~	1
	2006	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	R	D37 FRIARS ROAD	118	187	58%	6	×	✓
TC45	2007	C376 RIVERWAY (N)	L	D3019 FAIRWAY	142	102	-28%	4	~	√
	2007		A	C376 RIVERWAY (S)	403	427	6%	1	~	√
	2007	D3019 FAIRWAY	L	C376 RIVERWAY (S)	98	28	-71%	9	×	√
	2007	5001017440111	R	C376 RIVERWAY (N)	189	189	0%	0	1	
	2007	C376 RIVERWAY (S)	A	C376 RIVERWAY (N)	378	265	-30%	6	×	×
	2007	001011112111111(0)	R	D3019 FAIRWAY	45	76	69%	4	~	·
TC46	2007	D3008 CORPORATION STREET		A518 WESTON ROAD	43	33	-31%	2	•	•
1040	2007	D3008 CORFORATION STREET			211	257	-31%	2	v	v
	2007				126	124	70%	9	~	~
	2007		R I	ASTO LAWIWASCOTE ROAD	130	134	-2%	12	v	v (
	2007	ASTO WESTON ROAD	L		90	676	-100%	13	×	× (
	2007		A		629	676	8%	2	✓	✓
	2007		ĸ	D3008 CORPORATION STREET	40	66	68%	4	~	~
	2007	C376 RIVERWAY	L	A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	258	120	-53%	10	×	×
	2007		A	D3008 CORPORATION STREET	208	250	20%	3	√	✓
	2007		R	A518 WESTON ROAD	99	84	-16%	2	~	~
	2007	A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	L	D3008 CORPORATION STREET	122	102	-16%	2	~	~
	2007		A	A518 WESTON ROAD	756	785	4%	1	√	✓
	2007		R	C376 RIVERWAY	202	171	-15%	2	√	✓
TC48	2007	C376 RIVERWAY	L	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	345	408	18%	3	~	✓
	2007		Α	N/A UNKNOWN	32	34	6%	0	~	\checkmark
	2007		R	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	51	13	-75%	7	×	✓
	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	L	N/A UNKNOWN	5	0	-100%	3	√	✓
	2007		Α	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	477	668	40%	8	×	×
	2007	N/A UNKNOWN	L	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	53	19	-64%	6	×	√
	2007		Α	C376 RIVERWAY	275	339	23%	4	√	✓
	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	L	C376 RIVERWAY	24	2	-92%	6	×	√
	2007		Α	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	554	839	51%	11	×	×
	2007		R	N/A UNKNOWN	25	146	483%	13	×	×
TC49	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	L	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVENUE	25	6	-77%	5	~	√
	2007		Α	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (SE)	1031	1361	32%	10	×	×
	2007	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVENUE	1	A34 LICHEIELD ROAD (SE)	127	228	80%	8	×	×
	2007		R	A34 LICHEIELD ROAD (NW)	35	7	-79%	6	×	1
	2007	A34 LICHEIELD ROAD (SE)	Δ	A34 LICHEIELD ROAD (NW)	801	885	10%	3	~	
	2007	AGT EIGHT IEED NOAD (GE)	R	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVENUE	142	73	-48%	7	v	•
TC50	2007		1	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (E)	27	28	3%	,	~	•
1050	2007	Dours FAirwar	D		205	145	52%	11	v v	v
	2007				303	07	-32 /0	0	~	~
	2007	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (E)	A	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (W)	04	0/	4%	0	v	v (
	2007		R	D3019 FAIRWAY	105	110	5%	1	~	✓
	2007	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (W)	L		136	38	-72%	10	×	✓
TOFA	2007		A	D3019 ST LEONARDS AVE (E)	5	41	811%	8	×	√
1C52	2007	U/C TESCO SUPERSTORE	L	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	282	205	-27%	5	~	✓
	2007		R	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	123	215	/6%	7	×	✓
	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	A	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	288	278	-3%	1	√	✓
	2007		R	U/C TESCO SUPERSTORE	186	204	10%	1	✓	✓
	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	L	U/C TESCO SUPERSTORE	233	163	-30%	5	~	~
	2007		A	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	759	841	11%	3	√	~
TC53	2007	D58 BRIDGE STREET	L	A518 LICHFIELD ROAD	289	330	14%	2	√	✓
	2007		R	A518 NEWPORT ROAD	196	236	21%	3	√	✓
	2007	A518 LICHFIELD ROAD	A	A518 NEWPORT ROAD	613	601	-2%	0	~	✓
	2007		R	D58 BRIDGE STREET	27	39	43%	2	√	✓
	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD	Α	A518 LICHFIELD ROAD	358	295	-18%	3	√	✓
TC54	2007	A5187 STATION ROAD	L	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	266	339	27%	4	~	✓
	2007		R	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	574	463	-19%	5	~	×
	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	A	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	657	648	-1%	0	~	✓
	2007		R	A5187 STATION ROAD	369	398	8%	1	√	✓
	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	L	A5187 STATION ROAD	433	441	2%	0	✓	✓
	2007	- \ /	А	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	202	144	-29%	4	√	✓
TC20SPLIT	2004	CHURCHILL ROAD	L	RISING BROOK (N)		0	-100%	7	×	√
	2004		R	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS		0	-100%	4	~	✓
	2004	RISING BROOK (N)	А	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS		1015	9%	3	~	✓
	2004	()	R	CHURCHILL ROAD	15	61	301%	7	×	✓
	2004	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS	L	CHURCHILL ROAD	43	12	-72%	6	×	✓
	2004			RISING BROOK (N)	941	957	2%	1	~	√
	2004					001	270	· · ·		· · ·

					2007 PM Peak - PCUs					
Def	Veee	Count Location	T	Ta			007 PIVI Pe	ak - PCUS	0511	171
Ret	rear	Count Location	Turn	10	Count	Modelled	% Diff	GEH	GEH	FIOW
TOACOR							10/		criteria	criteria
TC20SPLIT	2004	RICKERSCOTE ROAD	L	RISING BROOK (S)	217	225	4%	1	~	~
	2004		R	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS	323	303	-6%	1	~	~
	2004	RISING BROOK (S)	A	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS	661	678	3%	1	~	~
	2004		R	RICKERSCOTE ROAD	264	217	-18%	3	~	~
	2004	RISING BROOK BETWEEN JUNCTIONS	L	RICKERSCOTE ROAD	438	353	-19%	4	√	✓
	2004		A	RISING BROOK (S)	497	661	33%	7	×	×
TC06	2004	SOUTH WALLS (E)	R	COPE STREET	194	180	-7%	1	~	~
	2004		Α	SOUTH WALLS (W)	359	337	-6%	1	√	✓
	2004		L	COPE STREET	400	477	19%	4	√	✓
TC03	2004	CHELL ROAD	L	BROAD STREET	170	122	-29%	4	√	√
	2004		Α	VICTORIA ROAD	507	432	-15%	3	√	✓
	2004		R	BROAD EYE HILL	255	331	30%	4	~	~
	2004	BROAD STREET	L	VICTORIA ROAD	98	49	-50%	6	×	~
	2004		Α	BROAD EYE HILL	96	63	-34%	4	~	~
	2004		R	CHELL ROAD	128	170	32%	3	√	✓
	2004	VICTORIA ROAD	L	BROAD EYE HILL	244	291	19%	3	√	√
	2004		Α	CHELL ROAD	552	573	4%	1	√	~
	2004		R	BROAD STREET	88	98	11%	1	√	√
	2004	BROAD EYE HILL	L	CHELL ROAD	415	351	-15%	3	√	√
	2004		Α	BROAD STREET	58	55	-6%	0	√	✓
	2004		R	VICTORIA ROAD	299	291	-3%	0	√	√
TC17	2004	A34 QUEENSWAY (N)	L	U/C ASDA	332	65	-80%	19	×	×
	2004	U/C ASDA	L	A34 QUEENSWAY (S)	268	185	-31%	6	×	~
	2004		R	A34 QUEENSWAY (N)	233	165	-29%	5	~	✓
	2004		R	U/C ASDA	188	130	-31%	5	~	✓

Appendix B Screenlines

5089310/Stafford Local Model Validation Report Rev1.docx

Screenline 1	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria						
A5013 ECCLESHALL ROAD	EB	809	850	5%	1	ok						
A34 STONE ROAD	SB	854	977	14%	4	ok						
B5066 SANDON ROAD	SB	504	332	-34%	8	fail						
A5013 ECCLESHALL ROAD	WB	494	574	16%	3	ok						
A34 STONE ROAD	NB	486	576	19%	4	ok						
B5066 SANDON ROAD	NB	361	275	-24%	5	ok						

Table B.1 – Screenline Comparison - AM Peak

Screenline 2	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A449 MOSS PIT	NB	840	989	18%	5	ok
A34 CANNOCK ROAD	NB	788	803	2%	1	ok
A449 MOSS PIT	SB	955	912	-4%	1	ok
A34 CANNOCK ROAD	SB	716	676	-6%	2	ok

Screenline 3	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A518 WESTON ROAD	WB	819	958	17%	5	ok
A513 MILFORD ROAD	WB	327	359	10%	2	ok
TIXALL ROAD	WB	747	903	21%	5	ok
A518 WESTON ROAD	EB	456	617	35%	7	fail
A513 MILFORD ROAD	EB	387	384	-1%	0	ok
TIXALL ROAD	EB	188	231	23%	3	ok

Screenline 4	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
DOXEY ROAD	EB	279	236	-15%	3	ok
A518 CASTLE BANK	EB	478	529	11%	2	ok
DOXEY ROAD	WB	137	156	13%	2	ok
A518 CASTLE BANK	WB	236	274	16%	2	ok

Screenline 5	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A34 FOREGATE ROAD NORTH ENTRY/EXIT TO	SB	1509	1679	11%	4	ok
B5066 GAOL ROAD STAFFORD	SB	532	407	-23%	6	fail
A34 FOREGATE ROAD NORTH ENTRY/EXIT TO	NB	1046	1031	-1%	0	ok
B5066 GAOL ROAD STAFFORD	NB	495	465	-6%	1	ok

Screenline 6	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
FROM WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	NB	731	812	11%	3	ok
D37 FRIARS ROAD	NB	191	163	-15%	2	ok
FROM WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	SB	545	553	1%	0	ok
D37 FRIARS ROAD	SB	187	188	1%	0	ok

Screenline 7	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	WB	928	927	0%	0	ok
A34 LICHFIELD ROAD	SB	825	898	9%	2	ok
A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	EB	809	857	6%	2	ok
A34 LICHFIELD ROAD	NB	681	741	9%	2	ok

Screenline 8	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	EB	873	932	7%	2	ok
BROAD EYE HILL	SEB	832	803	-3%	1	ok
A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	WB	550	541	-2%	0	ok
BROAD EYE HILL	NWB	557	580	4%	1	ok

Screenline 9	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A5013 CRESWELL GROVE	SB	721	775	7%	2	ok
M6 J14-15	SB	4386	4360	-1%	0	ok
A34 STONE ROAD	SB	1477	1657	12%	5	ok
A5013 CRESWELL GROVE	NB	395	462	17%	3	ok
M6 J14-15	NB	4554	4510	-1%	1	ok
A34 STONE ROAD	NB	1070	1066	0%	0	ok

Screenline 10	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
M6 J12-13	NB	4910	5002	2%	1	ok
A449 DUNSTON	NB	848	830	-2%	1	ok
A34	NB	447	730	64%	12	fail
M6 J12-13	SB	4803	4848	1%	1	ok
A449 DUNSTON	SB	651	604	-7%	2	ok
A34	SB	419	541	29%	6	fail

Screenline 1	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria			
A5013 ECCLESHALL ROAD	EB	554	593	7%	2	ok			
A34 STONE ROAD	SB	548	673	23%	5	ok			
B5066 SANDON ROAD	SB	400	368	-8%	2	ok			
A5013 ECCLESHALL ROAD	WB	701	805	15%	4	ok			
A34 STONE ROAD	NB	814	820	1%	0	ok			
B5066 SANDON ROAD	NB	504	347	-31%	8	fail			

Table B.2 - Screenline	Comparison	-	PM Peak
------------------------	-------------------	---	----------------

Screenline 2	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A449 MOSS PIT	NB	966	850	-12%	4	ok
A34 CANNOCK ROAD	NB	648	722	11%	3	ok
A449 MOSS PIT	SB	679	761	12%	3	ok
A34 CANNOCK ROAD	SB	532	642	21%	5	ok

Screenline 3	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A518 WESTON ROAD	WB	666	699	5%	1	ok
A513 MILFORD ROAD	WB	398	361	-9%	2	ok
TIXALL ROAD	WB	224	266	19%	3	ok
A518 WESTON ROAD	EB	991	925	-7%	2	ok
A513 MILFORD ROAD	EB	364	489	34%	6	fail
TIXALL ROAD	EB	598	570	-5%	1	ok

Screenline 4	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
DOXEY ROAD	EB	118	143	21%	2	ok
A518 CASTLE BANK	EB	203	305	50%	6	fail
DOXEY ROAD	WB	318	374	18%	3	ok
A518 CASTLE BANK	WB	529	441	-17%	4	ok

Screenline 5	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A34 FOREGATE ROAD NORTH ENTRY/EXIT TO	SB	1096	1188	8%	3	ok
B5066 GAOL ROAD STAFFORD	SB	564	576	2%	1	ok
A34 FOREGATE ROAD NORTH ENTRY/EXIT TO	NB	1602	1579	-1%	1	ok
B5066 GAOL ROAD STAFFORD	NB	545	622	14%	3	ok

Screenline 6	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
FROM WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	NB	598	609	2%	0	ok
D37 FRIARS ROAD	NB	144	174	21%	2	ok
FROM WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	SB	804	871	8%	2	ok
D37 FRIARS ROAD	SB	163	192	18%	2	ok

Screenline 7	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	WB	1022	930	-9%	3	ok
A34 LICHFIELD ROAD	SB	653	700	7%	2	ok
A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	EB	1079	1058	-2%	1	ok
A34 LICHFIELD ROAD	NB	638	979	54%	12	fail

Screenline 8	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	EB	635	585	-8%	2	ok
BROAD EYE HILL	SEB	777	697	-10%	3	ok
A518 NEWPORT ROAD (W)	WB	1231	1101	-11%	4	ok
BROAD EYE HILL	NWB	599	684	14%	3	ok

Screenline 9	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
A5013 CRESWELL GROVE	SB	460	505	10%	2	ok
M6 J14-15	SB	4652	4507	-3%	2	ok
A34 STONE ROAD	SB	974	1250	28%	8	fail
A5013 CRESWELL GROVE	NB	726	733	1%	0	ok
M6 J14-15	NB	4186	4293	3%	2	ok
A34 STONE ROAD	NB	1379	1451	5%	2	ok

Screenline 10	Direction	Observed Flow	Modelled Flow	% Diff	GEH	GEH Criteria
M6 J12-13	NB	4607	4605	0%	0	ok
A449 DUNSTON	NB	769	831	8%	2	ok
A34	NB	546	582	7%	2	ok
M6 J12-13	SB	4923	4858	-1%	1	ok
A449 DUNSTON	SB	857	703	-18%	6	fail
A34	SB	574	619	8%	2	ok

Appendix C

Observed vs. Modelled Count Validation

				2007 AM Peak - PCUs						
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
PC29	2005	B5026 CHESTER ROAD	SEB	247	245	-2	-1%	0	√	~
			NWB	69	89	19	27%	2	\checkmark	✓
PVOL18	2007	B5026 CHESTER ROAD ECCLESHALL	EB	228	245	17	7%	1	 ✓ 	 ✓
DO10	0000		WB	100	89	-11	-11%	1	√ 	✓
PC49	2006	B5026 CHESTER ROAD	SEB	237	245	-22	3%	0	 ✓ 	V
PC23	2004	A449 WOI VERHAMPTON ROAD	SEB	833	875	42	-21%	1	▼ ✓	 ✓
. 020	2001		NWB	799	959	160	20%	5	· ✓	×
PC37	2005	A449 WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	SEB	862	874	12	1%	0	~	~
			NWB	705	959	254	36%	9	×	x
PC47	2006	A518 UTTOXETER ROAD	NEB	346	302	-44	-13%	2	~	✓
0.70	0007		SWB	473	405	-68	-14%	3	 ✓ 	✓
PC/0	2007	D33 WEST WAY	SED NW/B	30/	378	-03	-14%	3	×	V
SDR32	2006	A51 STONE ROAD SWYNNERTON	FB	326	323	-3	-1%	0	 ✓	 ✓
0 D T KOL	2000		WB	256	289	32	13%	2	~	· · ·
TC24-1	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD (N)	SB	551	485	-66	-12%	3	~	~
			NB	321	323	2	1%	0	~	~
TC47-1	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	SEB	801	921	121	15%	4	~	×
			NWB	1405	1467	62	4%	2	~	√
PC50	2006	A51 LICHFIELD ROAD	SEB	577	501	-76	-13%	3	√	✓
ACI \$27	2006		NVV B	530	529	-1	1%	0	√ .(√ .(
AGLOZI	2000	AST LICHFIELD ROAD, SANDON	SB	541	501	-40	-7%	2	✓ ✓	v
LCLS33	2006	A51 LONDON ROAD PASTEURFIELDS	NB	553	578	24	4%	1	· ✓	· ·
			SB	526	518	-8	-2%	0	\checkmark	~
LCLS36	2007	A34 YARLET BANK YARLET	SB	1560	1657	98	6%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
			NB	1029	1066	37	4%	1	~	✓
PVOL17	2007	A449 DUNSTON	NB	858	830	-28	-3%	1	✓	✓
1.01.007	0000		SB	657	604	-53	-8%	2	√ ,	✓
LCLS27	2006	A449 DUNSTON	NB	927	830	-97	-10%	3	✓ (✓
TC32-3	2005	A519 NEWPORT ROAD (S)	NB	350	349	-01	-12%	0	✓ ✓	
1002.0	2000		SB	243	340	97	40%	6	×	· ·
PVOL27	2007	A519 NEWPORT ROAD, ECCLESHALL	NB	359	349	-10	-3%	1	~	~
			SB	297	340	43	15%	2	~	\checkmark
SDR28	2007	A520 LONGTON ROAD STONE	NB	320	346	25	8%	1	~	~
			SB	473	402	-71	-15%	3	✓	~
1044-2	2006	A518 LICHFIELD ROAD	NWB	522	404	-117	-22%	5	✓ (×
TC:07-1	2004		WB	471	404	-90	-13%	4	✓ ✓	
1007 1	2004		EB	763	658	-106	-14%	4	~	· ·
TC44-1	2006	D58 BRIDGE STREET	SB	273	325	52	19%	3	~	~
			NB	39	47	8	21%	1	\checkmark	~
TC09-2	2004	A518 WESTON ROAD	WB	906	972	66	7%	2	√	√
			EB	703	767	64	9%	2	\checkmark	√
TC48-4	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	SEB	648	741	93	14%	4	✓	✓
TC51-2	2004		NVVB	835 601	898	63 206	8%	2	V V	~
1031-2	2004		FB	709	741	33	5%	1	~	× ✓
TC09-1	2004	RIVERWAY	NB	619	514	-105	-17%	4	✓	×
	-		SB	412	375	-38	-9%	2	~	✓
SDR39	2007	A520 LONGTON ROAD STONE	NB	323	453	130	40%	7	×	×
			SB	483	505	22	5%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC39-2	2006	A51 LONDON ROAD (SE)	NWB	478	508	30	6%	1	✓	✓
DOOD	0004	Ma	SEB	515	595	81	16%	3	√ ,	✓
PC05	2004	Mb	NB SB	41/1	4510	340 964	8% 28%	5	√ ↓	✓ ✓
SDR18	2006	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD SWYNNERTON	NR	184	232	48	26%	3	× √	~
CENTO	2000	ASTO NEW ONCITE ROAD OW FINITERION	SB	159	235	76	48%	5	✓	· ~
PC59	2006	M6	SEB	3790	4671	881	23%	14	×	×
			NWB	4792	4975	183	4%	3	\checkmark	✓
PC68	2007	A34 STONE ROAD	NEB	1095	1093	-2	0%	0	~	✓
			SWB	863	902	39	4%	1	~	✓
SDR13	2006	D3040 SECOND AVENUE STAFFORD	NB	51	12	-39	-76%	7	×	✓
M6 114	2004		SB	60 726	15 775	-45	-/5%	1	×	✓ /
WO J14	2004		WR	505	461	-44	-9%	2	✓ ✓	× √
		1	**0	505		-++	-370	4	*	L

Table C.1 – Stafford Link Flow	Validation – AM Peak 2007
--------------------------------	---------------------------

				2007 AM Peak - PCUs						
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
M6 J14	2004	M6(N) SLIP	NB	477	443	-34	-7%	2	~	~
MC 144	2004		SB	499	540	41	8%	2	~	√
IVI6 J14	2004	A34 SLIP	EB WB	1083	1093	-58	-6%	0	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
M6.J14	2004	ECCLESHALL ROAD	SEB	736	850	114	-0%	4	v √	×
	2001		NWB	532	574	41	8%	2	~	√
M6 J14	2004	M6(S) SLIP	SB	794	851	58	7%	2	~	√
			NB	867	908	41	5%	1	~	✓
M6 J14	2004	ROUNDABOUT	CW	1220	1168	-52	-4%	2	~	✓
			CW	1479	1500	21	1%	1	 ✓ 	 ✓
			CW	896	947	51	0% -11%	2	✓	✓
			CW	858	721	-137	-16%	5	× ✓	×
TC28-2	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD	EB	520	423	-97	-19%	4	~	√
			WB	181	195	13	7%	1	~	~
TC09-3	2004	CORPORATION STREET	SB	364	392	28	8%	1	~	~
			NB	399	651	251	63%	11	×	×
TC09-4	2004	A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	EB	835	857	22	3%	1	~	~
TO 10.0	0004		WB	1209	927	-282	-23%	9	×	×
IC10-3	2004	A34 STONE ROAD (S)	NB	641	576	-65	-10%	3	✓ (√ (
PC66	2006	Δ513	SEB	920	977	-2	0%	2	* -/	v ./
1 000	2000		NWB	590	617	27	5%	1	~	· ✓
PC13	2004	A518	NEB	426	435	9	2%	0	~	~
			SWB	844	765	-78	-9%	3	~	√
PC18	2004	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD	SEB	800	814	14	2%	1	√	√
			NWB	1195	1138	-57	-5%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR40	2006	A518 BILLINGTON BANK HAUGHTON	EB	500	508	7	1%	0	~	✓
1/01/00	0004		WB	289	270	-20	-7%	1	~	✓
VOL03	2004		SB	3	61 1702	58	1793%	10	×	✓ ✓
1010-1	2004	A34 STONE ROAD (N)	NB	959	1063	104	11%	3	×	×
TC03-3	2004	VICTORIA ROAD	NEB	961	993	31	3%	1	· ~	· ~
			SWB	761	735	-25	-3%	1	~	~
TC06-1	2004	SOUTH WALLS (E)	WB	944	1028	84	9%	3	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC07-3	2004	A518 NEWPORT ROAD	EB	571	452	-118	-21%	5	~	×
			WB	540	478	-62	-11%	3	~	✓
SDR33	2006	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD MILL MEECE	NB	192	234	41	22%	3	✓	 ✓
SDP34	2006		SB NB	760	209	40	21%	3	✓	 ✓
501(34	2000	ASTTAKEET CONNER GREATTATWOOD	SB	709	671	-58	-8%	2	*	v √
SDR36	2006	A449 RISING BROOK STAFFORD	NB	714	1175	461	65%	15	×	×
			SB	688	788	100	15%	4	~	√
SDR29	2007	A34 CANNOCK ROAD BROCTON	NB	743	880	137	18%	5	~	×
			SB	615	685	69	11%	3	✓	\checkmark
PVOL21	2007	A520 STAFFORD ROAD STONE	EB	788	760	-29	-4%	1	~	~
DV OL OO	0007		WB	825	965	139	17%	5	 ✓ 	×
PVOL22	2007	A5013 ECCLESHALL ROAD STAFFORD	SB	866	850	-15	-2%	1	✓ (√ (
SF2	2005	A34 QUEENSWAY SOUTH ENTRY/EXIT TO GYRATORY	NR	1276	1428	JZ 152	12%	<u>ک</u> ۸	✓ ✓	× √
012	2000		SB	983	954	-28	-3%	1	✓	√
PVOL23	2007	C252 TIXALL ROAD STAFFORD	EB	137	93	-44	-32%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark
			WB	378	393	15	4%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
PVOL25	2007	A34 CANNOCK ROAD BROCTON	SB	759	644	-115	-15%	4	~	×
			NB	726	803	77	11%	3	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR03	2007	A51 LONDON ROAD WESTON	NB	727	722	-5	-1%	0	 ✓ 	 ✓
	2004		SB	678	745	67	10%	3	√ ∕	✓ /
ACLS28	∠004	AD 19 SLINDUN NK. EUCLESHALL	SB NR	205	209	3 17	∠% 8%	1	×	×
ACL S20	2004	SCHOOL LANE	NR	64	10	-54	-85%	9	×	× √
	2007		SB	137	15	-122	-89%	14	×	×
LCLS20	2007	A34 STONE ROAD TITTENSOR #CA006	NB	1132	1217	86	8%	3	~	~
LCLS23	2006	A449 MOSS PIT STAFFORD	NB	683	964	281	41%	10	×	×
			SB	818	860	42	5%	1	\checkmark	~
LCLS24	2007	A34 STONE ROAD MEAFORD	SB	1011	1060	49	5%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark

						2007 AN	l Peak - Po	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
TC51-3	2004	WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD SB	NB	846	812	-33	-4%	1	√	√
			SB	550	553	3	0%	0	✓	✓
SDR08	2007	B5066 GAOL ROAD STAFFORD	SB	532	407	-125	-23%	6	x	×
			NB	495	465	-30	-6%	1	✓	✓
SF8	2005	A34 QUEENSWAY NORTH ENTRY/EXIT TO GYRATORY	NB	1024	835	-190	-19%	6	x	×
			SB	1181	1183	2	0%	0	✓	✓
ACLS21	2004	B5066 HILDERSTONE ROAD HILDERSTONE	NB	199	202	3	2%	0	√	\checkmark
			SB	491	475	-16	-3%	1	√	✓
ACLS22	2004	D37 FRIARS TERRACE, STAFFORD	NB	190	163	-27	-14%	2	√	\checkmark
			SB	132	188	56	43%	4	√	\checkmark
PC25	2005	M6	NB	4742	4975	232	5%	3	√	√
			SB	4498	4671	173	4%	3	√	~
TC37-3	2006	B5026 STONE ROAD (SW)	NEB	244	234	-10	-4%	1	√	√
			SWB	179	191	11	6%	1	√	√
TC51-1	2004	QUEENSWAY	SB	924	939	15	2%	0	√	√
			NB	1200	1412	213	18%	6	×	×
ACLS26	2005	C278 COMMON LANE BEDNALL	EB	44	102	58	131%	7	x	√
			WB	37	92	55	151%	7	x	\checkmark
PC41	2005	D385 SCHOOL LANE	NEB	16	10	-6	-39%	2	√	√
			SWB	15	15	0	-2%	0	✓	\checkmark
TC54-2	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	WB	464	544	81	17%	4	~	\checkmark
			EB	624	631	7	1%	0	✓	\checkmark
TC20-3	2004	A449 RISING BROOK (S)	NB	728	1083	356	49%	12	x	×
			SB	676	814	138	20%	5	✓	×
TC24-4	2005	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	EB	305	290	-15	-5%	1	~	\checkmark
			WB	377	291	-86	-23%	5	✓	\checkmark
TC29-2	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	NB	747	685	-62	-8%	2	√	✓
			SB	916	881	-35	-4%	1	✓	✓
TC16-2	2004	B5066 SOUTH WALLS	WB	268	500	233	87%	12	x	×
			EB	239	210	-29	-12%	2	✓	✓
PC44	2005	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD	NB	252	234	-18	-7%	1	\checkmark	~
			SB	218	209	-9	-4%	1	✓	~
PC33	2005	A51	SEB	511	518	7	1%	0	\checkmark	~
			NWB	522	581	59	11%	3	✓	√

						2007 PM	Peak - P	CUs		
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
PC29	2005	B5026 CHESTER ROAD	SEB	77	122	45	59%	5	~	~
DVOL 40	0007		NWB	230	235	5	2%	0	✓	✓
PVOL18	2007	B5026 CHESTER ROAD ECCLESHALL	EB	108	122	14	13%	1	✓ ✓	√ ∕
PC/19	2006	B5026 CHESTER ROAD	SEB	210	230	20	12%	2 1	✓ .(✓ √
1 0 10	2000	Boozo oneo ren nondo	NWB	220	235	15	7%	1	· ~	· ✓
PC23	2004	A449 WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	SEB	677	767	89	13%	3	√	√
			NWB	911	847	-65	-7%	2	\checkmark	~
PC37	2005	A449 WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD	SEB	646	767	121	19%	5	~	×
			NWB	954	847	-108	-11%	4	 ✓ 	~
PC47	2006	A518 UTIOXETER ROAD	NEB	378	347	-32	-8%	2	✓ ✓	✓
PC70	2007	D33 WEST WAY	SVB	401	326	-03	-22%	5	V	× √
10/0	2007	Doo webh wat	NWB	608	474	-134	-22%	6	×	×
SDR32	2006	A51 STONE ROAD SWYNNERTON	EB	292	313	21	7%	1	~	~
			WB	275	326	51	18%	3	\checkmark	✓
TC24-1	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD (N)	SB	476	435	-41	-9%	2	~	~
			NB	826	729	-97	-12%	3	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC47-1	2007	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD (NW)	SEB	1315	1576	261	20%	7	×	×
PC50	2006		NVVB	944	956	12	1%	0	✓ .(√ .(
FC30	2000	AST LICHFIELD ROAD	NWB	534	490 511	-23	-4%	1	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
ACLS27	2006	A51 LICHFIELD ROAD. SANDON	NB	543	511	-32	-6%	1	· ~	· ✓
			SB	503	496	-7	-1%	0	\checkmark	~
LCLS33	2006	A51 LONDON ROAD PASTEURFIELDS	NB	527	519	-9	-2%	0	\checkmark	~
			SB	586	593	7	1%	0	~	~
LCLS36	2007	A34 YARLET BANK YARLET	SB	988	1250	262	27%	8	x	×
DVOL 47	0007		NB	1491	1451	-41	-3%	1	 ✓ 	 ✓
PVOL17	2007	A449 DUNSTON	NB	764	831	67	9%	2	✓ 	✓
LCLS27	2006		NB	797	831	-157	-10%	0	×	×
LOLOLI	2000		SB	923	711	-212	-23%	7	×	×
TC32-3	2005	A519 NEWPORT ROAD (S)	NB	318	300	-17	-5%	1	√	√
			SB	324	355	31	10%	2	✓	~
PVOL27	2007	A519 NEWPORT ROAD, ECCLESHALL	NB	306	300	-5	-2%	0	~	~
			SB	366	355	-11	-3%	1	✓	~
SDR28	2007	A520 LONGTON ROAD STONE	NB	541	537	-4	-1%	0	 ✓ 	 ✓
TC44.2	2006		SB	331	344	13	4%	1	✓ 	✓
1044-2	2000	ASTO LICHPIELD ROAD	SEB	745	625	-120	-16%	5	v ./	v
TC07-1	2004	A518 LICHFIELD ROAD	WB	591	640	49	8%	2	· ~	√
			EB	840	625	-215	-26%	8	x	×
TC44-1	2006	D58 BRIDGE STREET	SB	511	565	54	11%	2	\checkmark	~
			NB	32	51	18	57%	3	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC09-2	2004	A518 WESTON ROAD	WB	732	743	10	1%	0	 ✓ 	✓
TC 49 4	2007		ÉB	937	902	-35	-4%	1	✓ 	✓
1040-4	2007		SEB NM/R	580	700	120	21%	5	×	×
TC51-2	2004	LICHFIELD ROAD EB	WB	702	700	-2	0%	0	~	~
			EB	766	979	213	28%	7	×	×
TC09-1	2004	RIVERWAY	NB	561	454	-107	-19%	5	✓	×
			SB	541	529	-12	-2%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR39	2007	A520 LONGTON ROAD STONE	NB	541	519	-22	-4%	1	 ✓ 	 ✓
TC20-2	2006		SB NM/B	338 575	393	55 72	10%	3	√ ./	√ ./
1039-2	2000	AST LONDON ROAD (SE)	SFR	562	577	16	3%	3 1	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
PC05	2004	M6	NB	4173	4293	121	3%	2	· ~	√
			SB	4318	4507	189	4%	3	~	~
SDR18	2006	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD SWYNNERTON	NB	177	246	70	39%	5	~	\checkmark
			SB	206	296	90	43%	6	×	~
PC59	2006	M6	SEB	4982	4822	-160	-3%	2	~	~
DCCC	0007		NWB	4755	4673	-82	-2%	1	 ✓ 	 ✓
PC68	2007	A34 STONE ROAD	NEB SM/P	843	945	103	12%	3	√ 	√
SDR13	2006		NR	65	12	-53	-81%	4 Q	~	× ./
ODITIO	2000	DUG DECOND AVENUE STAFFORD	SB	43	12	-31	-72%	6	×	· ~
M6 J14	2004	CRESSWELL ROAD SLIP	EB	455	505	50	11%	2	✓	~
			WB	866	729	-137	-16%	5	\checkmark	×

Table C.2 – Stafford Link Flow Validation – PM Peak 2007

				2007 PM Peak - PCUs						
Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria
M6 J14	2004	M6(N) SLIP	NB	363	467	103	28%	5	~	×
			SB	409	460	50	12%	2	✓	~
M6 J14	2004	A34 SLIP	EB	907	945	39	4%	1	√	✓
MG 114	2004		WB SED	984	891	-93	-9%	3	√ ∕	√
100 J 14	2004	ECCLESHALL ROAD	SED NM/B	712	905	03	13%	3	×	×
M6.114	2004	M6(S) SLIP	SB	732	775	42	6%	2	* ✓	↓
1010 014	2004		NB	832	848	16	2%	1	· ✓	· ✓
M6 J14	2004	ROUNDABOUT	CW	1051	1179	128	12%	4	~	~
			CW	1132	1217	85	8%	2	~	~
			CW	645	731	86	13%	3	~	✓
			CW	1105	1029	-77	-7%	2	~	✓
			CW	1084	1059	-25	-2%	1	~	\checkmark
IC28-2	2005	B5066 SANDON ROAD	EB	181	189	8	4%	1	✓	 ✓
TC00.2	2004	CORPORATION STREET	WB	441	413	-28	-b%	1	✓ 	✓
1009-3	2004	CORPORATION STREET	SD NB	425	524 410	98	23%	5	×	×
TC:09-4	2004	A518 LAMMASCOTE ROAD	FB	1116	1058	-58	-5%	2	* ✓	↓
1003-4	2004		WB	946	930	-16	-2%	1	· ·	· ·
TC10-3	2004	A34 STONE ROAD (S)	NB	940	820	-120	-13%	4	~	~
			SB	664	673	10	2%	0	\checkmark	~
TC18-1	2004	C76 RIVERWAY	SB	399	455	55	14%	3	✓	~
			NB	408	341	-66	-16%	3	~	~
PC66	2006	A513	SEB	570	686	117	20%	5	~	×
			NWB	381	477	96	25%	5	✓	√
PC13	2004	A518	NEB	527	560	34	6%	1	~	√
BB i i			SWB	476	481	5	1%	0	~	~
PC18	2004	A34 LICHFIELD ROAD	SEB	1083	1367	283	26%	8	×	×
CDD40	2000		NWB	880	892	12	1%	0	√ ∕	✓ ✓
SDR40	2006	AS 18 BILLINGTON BANK HAUGHTON	ED W/B	562	322	-24	-1%	5	×	v
VOL03	2004	D34 BARNES ROAD STAFFORD	SB	2	445	-110	736%	5	×	×
TC10-1	2004	A34 STONE ROAD (N)	SB	899	1266	367	41%	11	×	×
10101	2001		NB	1356	1475	119	9%	3	~	√
TC03-3	2004	VICTORIA ROAD	NEB	891	961	70	8%	2	~	~
			SWB	910	772	-138	-15%	5	~	√
TC06-1	2004	SOUTH WALLS (E)	WB	553	517	-36	-7%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
TC07-3	2004	A518 NEWPORT ROAD	EB	454	307	-147	-32%	8	×	×
			WB	732	836	104	14%	4	\checkmark	\checkmark
SDR33	2006	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD MILL MEECE	NB	167	209	42	25%	3	✓	✓
00024	2000		SB	1/8	228	50	28%	4	✓	✓ ✓
5DR34	2006	AST FARLET CORNER GREAT HATWOOD	IND S.D.	708	004	-04	-8%	2 1	×	V (
SDR36	2006	A449 RISING BROOK STAFFORD	NB	857	942	-30	10%	3	* ✓	
ODI(30	2000		SB	845	1077	232	27%	7	×	×
SDR29	2007	A34 CANNOCK ROAD BROCTON	NB	643	706	63	10%	2	√	√
	-		SB	704	720	16	2%	1	~	√
PVOL21	2007	A520 STAFFORD ROAD STONE	EB	887	959	72	8%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark
			WB	806	849	42	5%	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
PVOL22	2007	A5013 ECCLESHALL ROAD STAFFORD	SB	557	593	36	7%	2	~	✓
050	0005		NB	733	805	72	10%	3	 ✓ 	 ✓
SF2	2005	A34 QUEENSWAY SOUTH ENTRY/EXIT TO GYRATORY	NB	1154	1071	-83	-1%	2	✓ /	✓ ✓
DV/OL 22	2007		2B ED	1135	1292	10/	14%	4	√	√
F VUL23	2007	UZUZ TIMALL RUAD STAFFURD	LD WR	∠00 121	102	-30	-10%	2	× √	v v
PVOI 25	2007	A34 CANNOCK ROAD BROCTON	SB	745	652	-19	-12%		· ~	· ~
			NB	769	656	-112	-15%	4	~	~
SDR03	2007	A51 LONDON ROAD WESTON	NB	667	674	8	1%	0	✓	\checkmark
			SB	627	638	11	2%	0	\checkmark	\checkmark
ACLS28	2004	A519 SLINDON NR. ECCLESHALL	SB	215	228	14	6%	1	~	\checkmark
			NB	224	209	-14	-6%	1	\checkmark	~
ACLS29	2004	SCHOOL LANE	NB	132	82	-50	-38%	5	~	\checkmark
1.01.000			SB	79	18	-61	-77%	9	×	✓
LCLS20	2007	A34 STONE ROAD TITTENSOR #CA006	NB	1117	1107	-11	-1%	0	√	√
LULS23	2006	A449 MUSS PIT STAFFURD	NB	909	694	-132	-15%	5	✓ /	✓ ✓
101924	2007		3D 92	1047	004	_51	∠% _5%	2	✓ √	√ √
LULO24	2007	AG4 STORE NOAD WEAFORD	50	1047	390	-51	-070	۷ ک	v	v

Ref	Year	Count Location	Direction	2007 PM Peak - PCUs							
				Count	Modelled	Diff	%Diff	GEH	GEH criteria	Flow criteria	
TC51-3	2004	WOLVERHAMPTON ROAD SB	NB	650	609	-41	-6%	2	✓	~	
			SB	851	871	20	2%	1	✓	\checkmark	
SDR08	2007	B5066 GAOL ROAD STAFFORD	SB	564	576	13	2%	1	~	\checkmark	
			NB	545	622	76	14%	3	✓	~	
SF8	2005	A34 QUEENSWAY NORTH ENTRY/EXIT TO GYRATORY	NB	914	774	-140	-15%	5	~	\checkmark	
			SB	982	998	16	2%	1	✓	√	
ACLS21	2004	B5066 HILDERSTONE ROAD HILDERSTONE	NB	437	419	-18	-4%	1	√	~	
			SB	208	194	-14	-7%	1	✓	~	
ACLS22	2004	D37 FRIARS TERRACE, STAFFORD	NB	138	174	36	26%	3	√	~	
			SB	177	192	16	9%	1	✓	~	
PC25	2005	M6	NB	4110	4673	563	14%	8	x	×	
			SB	3974	4822	848	21%	13	×	×	
TC37-3	2006	B5026 STONE ROAD (SW)	NEB	183	169	-13	-7%	1	√	√	
			SWB	204	191	-13	-6%	1	✓	~	
TC51-1	2004	QUEENSWAY	SB	1185	1411	226	19%	6	x	x	
			NB	1243	1036	-207	-17%	6	x	×	
ACLS26	2005	C278 COMMON LANE BEDNALL	EB	41	112	71	174%	8	×	~	
			WB	25	105	80	322%	10	×	~	
PC41	2005	D385 SCHOOL LANE	NEB	8	82	74	912%	11	x	~	
			SWB	17	18	1	4%	0	√	√	
TC54-2	2007	A518 NEWPORT ROAD (E)	WB	1026	1046	20	2%	1	√	~	
			EB	468	483	15	3%	1	√	√	
TC20-3	2004	A449 RISING BROOK (S)	NB	925	896	-30	-3%	1	√	~	
			SB	714	866	152	21%	5	✓	×	
TC24-4	2005	D44 ASTONFIELDS ROAD	EB	477	334	-143	-30%	7	×	×	
			WB	274	229	-45	-16%	3	✓	~	
TC29-2	2005	A513 BEACONSIDE (S)	NB	747	728	-19	-3%	1	√	~	
			SB	775	730	-45	-6%	2	\checkmark	\checkmark	
TC16-2	2004	B5066 SOUTH WALLS	WB	319	287	-32	-10%	2	✓	✓	
			EB	224	346	122	55%	7	×	×	
PC44	2005	A519 NEWCASTLE ROAD	NB	310	209	-101	-33%	6	×	×	
			SB	223	228	5	2%	0	✓	\checkmark	
PC33	2005	A51	SEB	515	593	78	15%	3	√	\checkmark	
			NWB	461	516	54	12%	2	✓	\checkmark	

Appendix D Journey Time Routes

Figure D.1 - Journey Time Route 1 – A518: 2007 AM Peak





Route 1 A518 Eastbound: AM Peak

Route Timing Point

Figure D.2 - Journey Time Route 1 – A518: 2007 PM Peak



Route 1 A518 Westbound: PM Peak

Route 1 A518 Eastbound: PM Peak



Route Timing Point
800

Route 2 Baswich Eastbound: AM Peak Modelled Lower C1 Average Average +15% Average -15%









Figure D.4 - Journey Time Route 2 – Baswich: 2007 PM Peak















5089310/Stafford Local Model Validation Report Rev1.docx









Route Timing Point

Figure D.7 - Journey Time Route 4 – M6: 2007 AM Peak





Route 4 M6 Southbound: AM Peak





Route 4 M6 Northbound: PM Peak



























Route 6 TC Anticlockwise: AM Peak

Route Timing Point



Route 6 TC Clockwise: AM Peak

Route Timing Point





Route 6 TC Anticlockwise: PM Peak

Route Timing Point



Route 6 TC Clockwise: PM Peak

Route Timing Point











Figure D.14 - Journey Time Route 7 – Triangle: 2007 PM Peak









Figure D.15 - Journey Time 8 - Wildwood: 2007 AM Peak



































Figure D.19 - Journey Time Route 10 - A34: 2007 AM Peak



Route 10 A34 Northbound: AM Peak

Route Timing Point



Route 10 A34 Southbound: AM Peak









Route 10 A34 Southbound: PM Peak

















